

Internal_ID	44793
USER_Forename	
USER_Surname	
USER_Username	
PAGE_PagePublishedDate	18/09/2017 20:30
1. Do you have any comments regarding the Vision?	
2. Do you have any comments regarding the strengths of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	
3. Do you have any comments regarding the weaknesses of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	
4. Do you have any comments regarding the opportunities of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	
5. Do you have any comments regarding the challenges of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	
Theme A: Creating an Attractive Townscape	
Theme B: Creating New Employment Opportunities	
Theme C: Enhancing the Shopping and Leisure Experience	
Theme D: Providing New Homes	
Theme E: Improving Accessibility	
Theme F: Improving Public Realm and Green Infrastructure Provision	
Theme G: Managing Flood Risk	
Theme H: Providing Appropriate Infrastructure	

Theme J: Creating a Healthier Town	
The Bus Station and Falkland Gardens	There is nothing outlined in the proposal for the bus station about arts, culture, charity, kids etc. The recent development at the Hot Walls in Old Portsmouth is a really successful and vibrant one which supports local artists giving an outlet for creativity. Mixed in with local artists are popular cafes. 8. Proposals for taller buildings need also to have special regard to the setting of the adjacent Conservation Area, Trinity Church and THE VICARAGE which is also Grade II listed. Please do not disregard this.
Gosport Waterfront North of Mumby Road	
Royal Clarence Yard and the Retained Area	
North of the High Street	
The High Street and associated areas	
South Street	

Trinity Green area	<p>- Extremely concerned about creating a new development - Extremely concerned about maximising the benefits of key spaces such as Trinity Green The first paragraph of the description of Trinity Green is incorrect. The buildings surrounding the Green date from mid to late 20th century and reflect building practices of the time - this is correct. However there is also a Grade II listed building - our home - which was built in 1790. It is part of the setting of the area - just as the Locally Listed Harbour and Seaward Towers is. More buildings means more noise, vibration and air pollution too. Any new development to the East side of Trinity Green will : a) restrict views to the dockyard - the views are a selling point of the house and will have a material effect on the value of the house b) compromise the original landscaping of the area - far from framing the spaces the open spaces it will severely impact the green space - itself a preservation area c) increase the traffic flow and parking requirements - already under pressure d) comprise the set amount of open space required in the original design of the towers to allow for community usage and potential accidents Where development occurs, the terrace houses proposed opposite The Vicarage 1. Do not provide our house with an key open space - they reduce it 2. By establishing a block structure, there is little concern for the positioning of The Vicarage 3. Small front gardens only positively address the street if they are well-maintained and well looked after. Visually most people use their front gardens for their recycling bins. 4. The height the buildings is a key concern. 5. We already have a visual link out through the Millennial Space and to the harbour beyond. This will be lost. 6. This development will not enhance any open space in the vicinity. 7. Respect heritage assets mostly notably Trinity Church - where is the mention of the Vicarage? It is also Grade II listed and has huge historical importance and value. 8. If the development proposed opposite the Vicarage is to incorporate parking provision - there is parking outside Seaward Tower. Now there will be more parking, more cars behind these terrace houses. 9. Further building will have consequences to ground stability. The Vicarage is a 200 year old property and any major building work would have to be undertaken with lawful guarantees that they could in no way cause damage to the stability of our property. 10. It is hard to understand how the development proposed will 'create a new visual link focused on the Vicarage' since the terrace houses appear to jut out onto the frontage of the house. The proposed space cannot accommodate terrace houses with rear gardens and parking without significantly impacting the line of sight from the front door. 11. Provide a mix of terrace and flatted development - or no development. 12. The proposed terrace houses in no way protect and enhance the existing areas of open spaces - it is just a developer wishing to extract more potential and maximise the benefits of the space. 13. The development of the bus station should be community focused - giving the kids in the towers somewhere to go rather than more leisure and retail opportunities which - with Gunwharf Quays opposite - few people would consider making use of. This wonderful area of green space is also used for the extremely successful Gosport Marine Festival, drawing people into Trinity Green. This development would mean the festival lose this area to make use of and the associated benefits. We are extremely concerned about this proposal and the damage it will cause to the resale value of our property. This is a material issue. We are guardians of this incredible property and invest money into its upkeep. We are invested in the property and do not believe this proposed development will do anything to preserve its value. It is extraordinary to contemplate how houses could 'fit' in the area you have proposed.</p>
Haslar Marina	
The Gosport Lines	
8. Is there anything in the SPD that particularly concerns you and why?	Yes, the redevelopment of Trinity Green area, especially the terraced town houses opposite the Vicarage.
9. Are there any other sites within the Waterfront and Town Centre area which have potential for development?	
10. Please can you give any suggestions of what else the Council should consider to include in the final version of the SPD?	

11. Please include any comments you may have	
12. Please could you complete your contact details	Mr Benjamin and Mrs Emma Scott-Robinson
Organisation (if applicable)	
Address	
Postcode	
Email	

