

Internal_ID	44673
USER_Forename	
USER_Surname	
USER_Username	
PAGE_PagePublishedDate	11/09/2017 17:18
1. Do you have any comments regarding the Vision?	Seems OK - the devil is in the detail.
2. Do you have any comments regarding the strengths of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	Gosports waterfront is is greatest asset and is what has led to the other aspects of its success historically.A key additional strength which seems to be unnoticed is the potential access to the water for water users, which is hampered by the currently layout.
3. Do you have any comments regarding the weaknesses of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	I agree strongly with the lack of connectedness between areas (e.g. Falkland Gardens to Royal Clarence yard).Additionally a key weakness is a lack of access to the water for water users. There is for instance no public hard or pontoon. Gosport as a town is a closed shop to private users of the harbour, except for those using marinas, but these remain disconnected from the town and the community. A further weakness is the lack of clear pedestrian and cycle access to large portions of Gosports waterfront - Gosports greatest asset.
4. Do you have any comments regarding the opportunities of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	Opportunity to re-introduce public access to the water - slipways, pontoons, a public hard - which would be a return to the heritage of Gosport, add to its attractiveness, add to the potential for visitors, and provide waterside activities (e.g. crabbing for kids). Agree strongly with the desire to extend current waterside walkways / cycle paths. It would be a huge benefit to make a waterside pathway through the boatyards, and then following the waterfront round to Royal Clarence yard.
5. Do you have any comments regarding the challenges of the Waterfront and Town Centre area identified in the SPD? (eg. agree, disagree, any additional ones?)	The challenge is balancing competing aims. Water access must not be sacrificed for the sake of residential developers. This has happened at Harbour Road where vehicle access to the slipway has been effectively removed due to the development of retirement flats. This was one of the last places you could launch a kayak along the Gosport waterfront but even after the development is complete all hope of parking in the vicinity will be effectively lost.
Theme A: Creating an Attractive Townscape	Agree.
Theme B: Creating New Employment Opportunities	This is a better priority than continuing to fill Gosport with more residential properties which create more traffic out of the town for work.
Theme C: Enhancing the Shopping and Leisure Experience	
Theme D: Providing New Homes	This should only be undertaken if a much greater effort is expended on creating the road links in and out of Gosport. Filling the town with more homes just adds to the traffic misery.
Theme E: Improving Accessibility	
Theme F: Improving Public Realm and Green Infrastructure Provision	Agree.
Theme G: Managing Flood Risk	
Theme H: Providing Appropriate Infrastructure	
Theme J: Creating a Healthier Town	Agree.
The Bus Station and Falkland Gardens	Addition of small boat water access - hard, pontoon, slipway, providing a stopping point for visiting yachts separate to the marinas which provide a self contained environment.Continue waterfront walkway with cycleway across the front of the boatyard.Include attractive eating places / coffee shops with direct view of the water.
Gosport Waterfront North of Mumby Road	Retain slipway, and add car parking for users of it. Make waterfront cycleway cutting across to Royal Clarence yard.

Royal Clarence Yard and the Retained Area	See above.
North of the High Street	
The High Street and associated areas	
South Street	
Trinity Green area	Retain full green space. Do not give way to development except that which enhances the area.
Haslar Marina	
The Gosport Lines	
8. Is there anything in the SPD that particularly concerns you and why?	
9. Are there any other sites within the Waterfront and Town Centre area which have potential for development?	
10. Please can you give any suggestions of what else the Council should consider to include in the final version of the SPD?	
11. Please include any comments you may have	The waterfront walkway along the whole area should take priority over other uses and should be at the waterfront edge throughout. It should also allow both pedestrian and cycle access. The route on the Opportunities plan does not take this seriously enough, especially where the route crosses the area marked New Marine Businesses hub, south of Royal Clarence yard. The whole area should be opened up at waterfront level to pedestrians and cyclists - this would in turn connect the town centre with the Royal Clarence Yard business and prevent RCY from becoming a separate marina only community.
12. Please could you complete your contact details	David Stanford
Organisation (if applicable)	
Address	
Postcode	
Email	