



From: [planning@leeresidents.org.uk](mailto:planning@leeresidents.org.uk)

To: [planningpolicyconsultation@gosport.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicyconsultation@gosport.gov.uk)

09 January 2019

[REDACTED]  
Planning and Regeneration Services  
Gosport Borough Council, Town Hall, High Street  
Gosport, Hampshire. PO12 1EB

Dear Kim,

**Statement of Community Involvement Review - Consultation Response & Land Use Response.**

1. The Lee Residents Planning Team thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revision of the current Statement of Community Involvement.

2. The Association recognises the relevant updating in line with the 2018 NPPF, GDPR and other relevant National Government legislation and welcomes the illustrations that help explain the requirements and complexities of the planning processes. The new inclusion of paragraphs 7.19 to 7.25 concerning the Brownfield register and Enforcement are fully supported.

3. The Association has no major concerns or corrections to convey but would offer the following comments for your consideration:

- A. Para 1.1, second line the word 'all' would seem more appropriate than 'both.'
- B. 7.13 the collection of 10 signatures to support a Regulatory Board Deputation seems somewhat onerous, while recognising that it has an important function in demonstrating community support it is suggested 5 signatures could be regarded as sufficient. This also more closely aligns with the requirement in other localities.
- C. 7.7 Delegated Powers – The apparent absence of any documented democratic process to 'call in' a decision where it has been advised it will be authorised under delegated powers.
- D. It is suggested the term "Permitted Development" should be outlined in the document, with reference to the authority it enjoys. If added it should also be included in the glossary.
- E. As I am sure you are aware the list of "organisations contacted" requires revision.

4. **Call for development sites and potential current use land use allocations.** The Association recognises the obvious identification of some of Daedalus Waterfront as brownfield and would not be averse to expanding the town and its character into the former military site within the provisions of the Conservation Area and following the characteristics being considered for the proposed 'West Lee SPD'. In recognition that the housing quota has already been reached, surpassed if the seafront multi-occupancy residences are added; then any residential increase must include adequate provision for schools, health and other currently overwhelmed services.

5. As previously identified by the Association all of the Cliff Headland, former Daedalus Sports Ground, Alver Valley and the small open spaces within Lee would be included in the 'protected' open space. This is vital as many areas of the town fall short of the recommended margins for open space, (NPPF 2018 & GBC Design Guidance SPD i.a.w pages 27 to 42). This makes the small greens and open areas in Skipper Way, the Cherque Estate and within Daedalus developments most critical.

Yours sincerely, **Lee Residents Planning Team**