



SHLAA Proforma

Site location

Site name	Pound Close	Site reference	RH018
Site address and post code	Pound Close, Gosport, PO13 0EH	Ward	Rowner and Holbrook
Last updated	April 2019		

Site details

Site description	Garage and parking area to the west of Pound Close. The site is accessed by vehicle from Pound Close.
Topography	Flat with asphalt hardstanding
Existing land use	Garages and parking
Surrounding land use and storey heights	The site is surrounded on all sides by two storey dwellings.
Site size	0.09 ha
Development status	None



If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

Key

	Unconstrained
	Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
	Site is not suitable for allocation

Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Local plan designations			
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	Yes		
Is the site allocated for development?	No	Site may still be appropriate for development.	
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	No		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	No	Adjacent to open space.	
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		
Flooding			
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	No		
Ecology			
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	No		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	Unknown	Old garages. Further investigation required.	
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site?	No		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	No		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a	Yes	Site is within 100m of	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?		Rowner Copse SINC. Proposals should protect the habitat.	
Access			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided?	No	Access road may need widening. Highway infrastructure works may be required.	
Heritage			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?	No		
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Contamination			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	Yes	Site is in 20m buffer area. A Contaminated Land Assessment and potential mitigation will be required.	
Amenity			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Potential impact on neighbouring properties due to close proximity. Proposal will need to minimise amenity impacts.	
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	No		
Services			
Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	Yes	Site is in safeguarded area for Fleetlands helipad and aerodrome at Daedalus. Restrictions on development may apply. Under Policy LP15 statutory bodies will be consulted.	

Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	Unknown		
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	Unknown		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	No		
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	Unknown		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	Yes	Existing properties may require access to rear gardens over site. May affect site viability.	
Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site?	Yes	Site used for parking and garages. Confirmation will be needed if they can be ended or relocated.	

Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	Unknown		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)?	Yes	Demolition of existing garages and site decontamination. Funding or planning gain may be needed to make the site viable.	
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	No		

Conclusion

Suitable	Available	Achievable
----------	-----------	------------

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.09 ha	Based on whole site.
Local area density	Medium	40dph
Development density	40dph	Density of developable area.
Capacity for dwellings	3	Based on initial visual assessment.

Concluding comments	The enclosed nature of the site limits the level of development achievable to less than the SHLAA threshold. Although the site may be suitable for a limited number of dwellings, this will likely be unable to justify the loss of parking in this area. The complex land ownership with many garages may also cause viability issues.
Concluding actions	No further action.