SHLAA Proforma

Site location

Site name	Woodside	Site reference	BN023
Site address and post code	Woodside, Gosport, PO13 0YU	Ward	Bridgemary North
Last updated	February 2019		

Site details

Site description	Area of land adjoining 86 Woodside, currently appears to be in use as a garden.
Topography	Flat with shrubs and other garden related planting
Existing land use	Residential garden
Surrounding land use and storey heights	Residential (two storey), Important open space to the east on the opposite side of Woodside.
Site size	0.03 ha
Development status	None





If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

Sc	ale	1:	500			
0	4	8	12	16	20	m
Ě		<u>.</u>		·····	<u></u>	3143

Key

Unconstrained
Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
Site is not suitable for allocation

Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Local plan designations	Local plan designations		
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	Yes		
Is the site allocated for development?	No		
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	No		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	No		
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Flooding			
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	No		
Ecology		1	
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	Νο		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	Unknown	There is potential given the sites is a garden. Further investigation would be required.	
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site?	Νο		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	No		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?	Νο		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Access			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck access be provided?	Yes		
Heritage			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?	No		
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Contamination			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	No		
Amenity			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Potential impact on existing neighbouring properties. Proposal would need to minimise amenity impacts.	
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	Yes	Potential noise and disturbance from BRT at rear. Appropriate mitigation would be required.	
Services			
Is the site likely to be serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	Yes	Site is in safeguarded area for Fleetlands helipad and aerodrome at Daedalus. Restrictions on development may apply. Under Policy LP15 statutory bodies will be consulted.	

Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	No	Site appears to be owned by GBC.	
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	Unknown		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	Νο		
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	Unknown		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	Unknown		
Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site?	Yes	Being used as garden for adjoining property.	

Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	Unknown		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc.)?	Νο		
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	Νο		

Conclusion

Suitable	Available	Achievable

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.03 ha	Based on whole site.
Local area density	Medium	Based on surrounding properties.
Development density	33dph	Density of developable area.
Capacity for dwellings	1	Based on initial visual assessment.

Concluding comments	The site area was assessed as unable to accommodate five dwellings. As a result the site is unsuitable for the SHLAA. Should the land owner wish to develop this site, any proposal would be dealt with through the planning application process.
Concluding actions	No further action.