#### SHLAA Proforma

#### Site location

| Site name                  | The Mead                    | Site reference | BN021            |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Site address and post code | The Mead, Gosport, PO13 0TJ | Ward           | Bridgemary North |
| Last updated               | February 2019               |                |                  |

### Site details

| Site description                        | Amenity open space in a cul-de-sac with bungalows |
|---|---|
| Topography                              | Flat grass with hedgerows and mature trees        |
| Existing land use                       | Open space  |
| Surrounding land use and storey heights | Bungalows (single storey)                         |
| Site size                               | 0.15 ha   |
| Development status                      | None  |



Scale <sup>1:1000</sup> 0 8 16 24 32 40 m

If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

# Key

| Unconstrained   |
|---|
| Site may be acceptable, subject to further study,<br>infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements |
| Site is not suitable for allocation   |

# Suitability

| Issue                                       | Suitability             | Comments  | Actions |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| Local plan designations                     | Local plan designations |   |         |  |  |
| Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary? | Yes                     |   |         |  |  |
| Is the site allocated for development?      | No                      | Site may still be<br>appropriate for<br>development.  |         |  |  |
| Is the site in the Strategic Gap?           | No                      |   |         |  |  |
| Is the site Protected Open Space?           | Yes                     | Site assessed as low value<br>in the Open Space<br>Monitoring Report. Low<br>value open space may be<br>appropriate for<br>development but the<br>requirements of Policy<br>LP35 must be met. |         |  |  |

| Issue   | Suitability | Comments  | Actions |
|---|-------------|---|---------|
| Is the site a Protected Employment Site?  | No          |   |         |
| Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?   | No          |   |         |
| Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?   | No          |   |         |
| Is the site currently tourist accommodation?  | No          |   |         |
| Flooding  |             |   |         |
| Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?   | No          |   |         |
| Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?   | No          |   |         |
| Ecology   |             |   |         |
| Does the site contain any irreplaceable<br>habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient<br>and veteran trees)? | Yes         | Site may not contain<br>ancient or veteran trees.<br>An ecology survey may be<br>required. Development will<br>not be permitted unless no<br>adverse impact upon the<br>habitat can be<br>demonstrated. |         |
| Does the site have any TPO trees?   | No          |   |         |
| Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?                               | Unknown     | Further investigation will be required.   |         |
| Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA,                       | No          |   |         |

| Issue  | Suitability | Comments | Actions |
|--|-------------|----------|---------|
| Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent<br>Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a<br>biodiversity-offset site?   |             |          |         |
| Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?  | No          |          |         |
| Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?   | No          |          |         |
| Access   |             |          |         |
| Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?   | Yes         |          |         |
| Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?  | Yes         |          |         |
| Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck be provided?   | Yes         |          |         |
| Heritage   |             |          |         |
| Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?  | No          |          |         |
| Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any<br>Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings,<br>scheduled ancient monuments or registered<br>parks and gardens)? | No          |          |         |

| Issue   | Suitability | Comments  | Actions |
|---|-------------|---|---------|
|   |             |   |         |
| Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?   | No          |   |         |
| Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?  | No          |   |         |
| Contamination   |             |   |         |
| Is it likely the site could be contaminated?  | Yes         | Land is identified as<br>potentially contaminated. A<br>Contaminated Land<br>Assessment and potential<br>mitigation will be required. |         |
| Amenity   |             |   |         |
| Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?  | Yes         | Proposals would need to<br>minimise impacts upon<br>surrounding dwellings.<br>Proposal will need to<br>minimise amenity impacts.      |         |
| Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)? | Νο          |   |         |
| Services  |             |   |         |
| Is the site serviced by utilities?  | Yes         |   |         |
| Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone                                     | Yes         | Site is within the<br>Safeguarding Zone for   |         |

| Issue                            | Suitability | Comments  | Actions |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---|---------|
| which covers the whole borough)? |             | Fleetlands helipad and<br>Daedalus aerodrome.<br>Restrictions on<br>development may apply.<br>Under Policy LP15<br>statutory bodies will be<br>consulted. |         |

# Availability

| Issue   | Availability | Comments   | Actions |
|---|--------------|--|---------|
| Does the site have multiple owners?                                   | Νο           | Site is GBC land (Housing).  |         |
| Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?     | Yes          | The site is owned by the<br>Borough Council. Subject<br>to full approval of the<br>Council the land may be<br>developable. |         |
| Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?        | Νο           |  |         |
| Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?       | No           |  |         |
| Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)? | Unknown      |  |         |
| Are there any existing tenancies or operations                        | No           |  |         |

| Issue    | Availability | Comments | Actions |
|----------|--------------|----------|---------|
| on site? |              |          |         |

#### Achievable

| Issue  | Achievability | Comments  | Actions |
|--|---------------|---|---------|
| Is there a realistic prospect that the site would<br>come forward for development within the plan<br>period?   | Yes           |   |         |
| Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc)?         | Yes           | Potential decontamination.<br>Funding or planning gain<br>may be needed to make<br>the site viable. |         |
| Does the site require significant new<br>infrastructure investment in order to be<br>suitable for development? | Νο            |   |         |

### Conclusion

| Suitable | Available | Achievable |
|----------|-----------|------------|
|          |           |            |

| Issue                  | Figure  | Assumptions   |
|------------------------|---------|---|
| Developable area       | 0.11 ha | Excludes cluster of mature (possibly ancient or veteran) trees.           |
| Local area density     | Medium  |   |
| Development density    | 45dph   | Density of developable area.  |
| Capacity for dwellings | 2       | Based on a potential GBC Housing Scheme with approximately 2-3 bungalows. |

| Concluding comments | The site could be a suitable development site and may be available should the Council wish to pursue development on the site. However the site is considered too small to accommodate five or more dwellings and is therefore under the threshold for the SHLAA. |
|---------------------|--|
| Concluding actions  | No further action.   |