#### SHLAA Proforma

#### Site location

Site name	Birch Drive Garage Site	Site reference	BN007
Site address and post code	Birch Drive, Gosport, PO13 0UN	Ward	Bridgemary North
Last updated	February 2019		

### Site details

Site description	Car garages to the north east of Birch Drive with houses on all sides.
Topography	Flat with no vegetation and concrete hardstanding
Existing land use	Garages and parking area
Surrounding land use and storey heights	Residential (two-storey)
Site size	0.05 ha
Development status	None





If this map has been transmitted electronically, use the scale bar in preference to the written scale

Sc	ale	1:	500			
0	4	8	12	16	20	m
H	<u>  </u>	<u>.   .</u>	<u></u>	· · · · ·		

# Key

Unconstrained
Site may be acceptable, subject to further study, infrastructure or mitigation works, or policy requirements
Site is not suitable for allocation

# Suitability

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Local plan designations			
Is the site within the Urban Area Boundary?	Yes		
Is the site allocated for development?	No		
Is the site in the Strategic Gap?	No		
Is the site Protected Open Space?	No		
Is the site a Protected Employment Site?	No		
Does the site have a community, culture or leisure use?	No		
Is the site within a Defined Shopping Area?	No		
Is the site currently tourist accommodation?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Flooding	I		
Is the site in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No		
Is the site predicted to be in Flood Zone 2 or 3 in 2115?	No		
Ecology			
Does the site contain any irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees)?	No		
Does the site have any TPO trees?	No		
Does the site contain any protected species (bats, badgers, Great crested newts)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is within 400m of, a SAC or possible SAC, SPA or potential SPA, Ramsar site or proposed Ramsar site, a Brent Goose site or proposed Brent Goose site, or a biodiversity-offset site?	Νο		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SSSI or candidate SSSI?	No		
Does the site contain, or is in proximity of, a SINC, candidate SINC or a Local Green Space?	No		

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
Access			
Can satisfactory vehicular access be achieved?	Yes		
Can safe pedestrian and cycle access be achieved?	Yes		
Can adequate emergency service and refuse truck be provided?	Yes		
Heritage			
Is the site within or is adjacent to a Conservation Area?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any Designated Heritage Assets (listed buildings, scheduled ancient monuments or registered parks and gardens)?	No		
Does the site contain, or is adjacent to, any locally listed buildings?	No		
Is the site likely to be of archaeological interest?	No		
Contamination			
Is it likely the site could be contaminated?	Yes	Site is within a contaminated land buffer area. A Contaminated	

Issue	Suitability	Comments	Actions
		Land Assessment and potential mitigation will be required.	
Amenity			
Is development likely to have an adverse impact upon neighbouring amenity?	Yes	Proposals will need to minimise impacts upon neighbouring properties given the enclosure of the site.	
Are neighbouring uses likely to have an adverse impact upon the amenity of future occupiers (industrial uses, major roads)?	Νο		
Services			1
Is the site serviced by utilities?	Yes		
Is the site within a Safeguarded Area (other than Southampton Airport Safeguarding Zone, which covers the whole borough)?	Yes	Site is within the Daedalus aerodrome and Fleetlands helipad Safeguarding Zones. Restrictions on development may apply. Statutory bodies will need to be consulted.	

## Availability

Issue	Availability	Comments	Actions
Does the site have multiple owners?	No	Site is GBC land.	
Is the site owned by a developer or is the owner willing to sell?	Unknown		
Is it necessary to acquire land off-site to develop this site?	No		
Does the site have any legal issues (covenants, ransom strips)?	Unknown		
Are there any on-site constraints (pylons, rights of way, easements)?	No		
Are there any existing tenancies or operations on site?	Yes	Existing garages and parking are in use. There is also access to the rear gardens of properties in Bridgemary Road. Confirmation will be needed if they can be ended or relocated.	

#### Achievable

Issue	Achievability	Comments	Actions
Is there a realistic prospect that the site would come forward for development within the plan period?	Unknown		
Are there any known abnormal development costs (contamination, heritage conservation, demolition etc.)?	Yes	Potential decontamination and demolition costs. Funding or planning gain may be needed to make the site viable.	
Does the site require significant new infrastructure investment in order to be suitable for development?	Νο		

### Conclusion

Suitable	Available	Achievable

Issue	Figure	Assumptions
Developable area	0.05 ha	Based on whole site.
Local area density	Medium	50dph
Development density	60dph	Density of developable area.
Capacity for dwellings	3	Based on initial visual assessment.

Concluding comments	To develop a larger scheme, this would require the acquisition of occupied properties. This is not considered a viable solution as there is no indication that the landowner is willing to develop. As a result, the site in isolation is unable to accommodate five or more dwellings and is unsuitable for inclusion in the SHLAA.
Concluding actions	No further action.