# Infrastructure Funding Statement 2020

including the Infrastructure Delivery Plan

Planning Policy Gosport Borough Council November 2020



# Contents

1.	Introduction	1
	Role and structure of the Infrastructure Funding Statement	1
	What is Infrastructure?	2
	National Context	2
	Sub-regional Context	3
	Local Planning Policy	4
2.	Gosport's Infrastructure Programme	6
	Infrastructure	6
	Funding the cost of Infrastructure	7
3.	Community Infrastructure Levy: 2019/20	9
	What is the Community Infrastructure Levy?	
	The CIL Report 2019/20	
	The CIL Neighbourhood Fund	18
	CIL Neighbourhood Fund: Funds available at 1 September 2020	20
	The CIL Outlook: potential future income	21
4.	Planning Obligations: 2019/20	23
	What are Planning Obligations?	23
	The Section 106 Report 2019/20	25
	Section 106 - secured and received 2019/20	28
	Section 106 - allocated and spent	32
	Section 106 monitoring fees	35
	The S106 Outlook	
	Other Planning Obligations	41
	Employment and Training Measures	
	Section 278 agreements	
	Solent Recreation Mitigation Partnership (SRMP)	44
5.	Infrastructure delivered to date	45
	Review of infrastructure delivery	45
6.	The infrastructure list	48
	Role of the infrastructure list	48
	The infrastructure list	49
	Money available (not yet allocated for any project)	50
7.	Monitoring and further information	53
	Publishing developer contributions data	
	Monitoring and review	
App	endix 1 – Mechanisms to secure infrastructure	54
	endix 2 – Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP)	
-1-1-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# **Abbreviations**

AMR Authority Monitoring Report Alver Valley Country Park AVCP **Bus Rapid Transit** BRT CIL Community Infrastructure Levy GBC Gosport Borough Council **GVA** Gosport Voluntary Action GBLP or GBLP 2011-2029 Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (Adopted October 2015) GBLP2036 The forthcoming Gosport Borough Local Plan 2036 ESP **Employment and Skills Plan** HCC Hampshire County Council IDP Infrastructure Delivery Plan IFS Infrastructure Funding Statement LEP Local Enterprise Partnership MHCLG Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government NPPF National Planning Policy Framework PfSH Partnership for South Hampshire PPG Planning Practice Guidance

# Summary

From 31 December 2020 the Government requires local authorities to publish an Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS). This IFS sets out how developer contributions have been and will be used to provide infrastructure within Gosport Borough. This funding has been secured through planning permissions using the policies of the adopted Gosport Borough Local Plan (2011-2029) and the linked Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule (2016).

It is necessary to ensure that the appropriate level and type of infrastructure is provided to support the anticipated level of development within Gosport Borough to facilitate new homes, additional jobs and the regeneration of brownfield sites. The Borough Council can use two main planning mechanisms to secure the money required to fund infrastructure; the Community Infrastructure Levy, a charge levied on new development in the Borough, and Planning Obligations, which are legally enforceable obligations normally secured using Section 106 agreements.

In this monitoring period, 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020, the Borough Council has received £471,384.41 from CIL, with a cumulative total since February 2016 of £1,031,764.78. During the monitoring period, £758,147.03 of CIL funds have been allocated to projects and £40,541.19 has been spent. As at 1 April 2020 there is currently a total of £180,412 of Strategic CIL which is available to spend.

The Borough Council has also secured £181,842 from S106 agreements, £162,000 of which is to be paid directly by the developer, or transferred by the Borough Council to HCC. A total of £8,000 has been received, of which £6,000 is to be transferred to HCC. As at 1 April 2020 there is currently a total of £570,452 available for open space and biodiversity projects and £632,616 available for transport infrastructure. The Borough Council has also allocated but not yet spent £424,116 of S106 funds to projects and during the monitoring year has spent £221,082. Other obligations have also been secured as explained in this report.

In accordance with the latest Government regulations this document also includes the 'Infrastructure List' which replaces the 'CIL 123 List'. This is contained in Section 6 and reports on the infrastructure projects or types of infrastructure that the Borough Council intends to fund either wholly or partly using the CIL and other Planning Obligations.

Appendix 2 of this IFS contains the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) which sets out the latest identified infrastructure schemes, the lead providers, costings and timescales.

# 1. Introduction

# Role and structure of the Infrastructure Funding Statement

- 1.1 This **Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS)** sets out how developer contributions have been and will be used to provide infrastructure within Gosport Borough. The report sets out the following information:
  - Section 1 (this section) explains the purpose and structure of this report, why the Council produces the IFS and outlines the planning policy context within Gosport Borough.
  - Section 2 sets out 'Gosport's Infrastructure Programme', and explains why the
    Council needs to secure infrastructure to support new development and provides an
    overview of the potential funding sources.
  - Section 3 details how the Council uses the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)
    to secure infrastructure and provides a full report for the monitoring period.
  - Section 4 details how the Council uses various Planning Obligations (particularly Section 106 agreements) to secure infrastructure and provides a full report for the monitoring period.
  - Section 5 shows the infrastructure secured or implemented over the past 12 months and since the start of the current Local Plan period in 2011.
  - Section 6 reports on the infrastructure projects or types of infrastructure that the Borough Council intends to fund either wholly or partly using the Community Infrastructure Levy and other Planning Obligations. This is called the 'infrastructure list' and replaces the Regulation 123 list.
  - Section 7 concludes the report and provides signposts to other key documents and sources of information.
  - Appendix 1 sets out the most appropriate mechanisms for securing each key type of
    infrastructure together with the other key Local Plan policy requirements that are not
    forms of infrastructure (such as training initiatives).
  - Appendix 2 contains the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) which sets
    out the latest identified infrastructure schemes, the lead providers, costings and
    timescales.
- 1.2 The IFS has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of The Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) and national planning policy and guidance. The amendment requiring the Council to publish this IFS is The Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2019. The monitoring period for this first IFS is 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020 unless specifically stated. The IFS is published on the Council's website: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure">www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure</a>

#### Figure 1.1: What is Infrastructure?

### What is Infrastructure?

'Infrastructure shapes our lives. Transport links get us where we need to be, energy systems power our homes and businesses, and digital networks allow us to communicate. Infrastructure supplies us with clean water, takes away our waste and helps to protect us from the elements. It is vital to our quality of life and integral to the creation of vibrant new places to live and work'

National Infrastructure Delivery Plan 2016-2021

In Gosport Borough the Council ensures the appropriate level and type of infrastructure is provided to support the anticipated level of development set out in the Gosport Borough Local Plan (2011-2029). This infrastructure includes but is not limited to the following:

- Transport including roads, public transport infrastructure (bus and ferry), and measures to encourage walking and cycling;
- education and training facilities including nurseries, primary schools, secondary schools and post 16 education;
- social facilities including community facilities, sports centres, sports pitches, parks and play space;
- public services including libraries and cemeteries;
- emergency services including fire and rescue, ambulance and police;
- utilities including gas, electricity, water supply, wastewater, telecommunications and energy generation;
- green infrastructure; and
- flood risk management.

Extract from the Adopted Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029

#### **National Context**

# Reporting on developer contributions

- 1.3 The Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2019 came into force on 1 September 2019 and amended the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010. The new regulations make several changes to the way that CIL operates, these changes include:
  - removing the restriction on pooling more than five planning obligations towards a single piece of infrastructure; and
  - deleting the 'Regulation 123 List' and replacing it with the 'Infrastructure List' within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS); and
  - allowing authorities to choose to pool funding from different routes to fund the same infrastructure provided that authorities set out in their infrastructure funding statements which infrastructure they expect to fund through the levy and through planning obligations.

- 1.4 The IFS document summarises the developer contributions received and how they have been, and will be, spent. In addition, the Regulations and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) require all local planning authorities to publish their developer contributions data regularly and in an agreed format.
- 1.5 The Council's developer contributions data will be published annually on the Council's website by the 31 December. The new data format and IFS will provide better insights into how developer contributions are supporting new development and local infrastructure.

# **Sub-regional Context**

## Partnership for South Hampshire

- 1.6 Local authorities are under a Duty to Co-operate with each other on strategic matters such as housing, economic growth, transport and infrastructure provision which have cross-boundary implications. In South Hampshire, there is a long history of cross-boundary working between local authorities and their strategic partners. The Borough Council has been a founding member of the Partnership for South Hampshire (PfSH). The PfSH includes twelve local authorities and closely collaborates with the Solent Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP), the Environment Agency, Natural England and Homes England.
- 1.7 In June 2016, the South Hampshire Spatial Position Statement was published. It sets out development targets for each Council to 2034. In relation to infrastructure, the Spatial Position Statement specifically considers how sustainable transport can encourage a modal shift in the sub-region and considers the aggregate impact of new development on utilities infrastructure. Further work is currently being undertaken to inform a new spatial strategy to cover the period to 2036. Further information about PfSH including the Spatial Position Statement and underlying evidence base can be found online: <a href="https://www.push.gov.uk">www.push.gov.uk</a>

### Solent Local Enterprise Partnership

- 1.8 The Solent Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) was established in 2010 after the Government offered local areas the opportunity to have more control over their future economic development. The Solent LEP is a business-led collaboration between private, public and education sectors and has nine local authority members, including Gosport Borough Council. Since 2010, the Solent LEP has secured £182.9m through the Solent Growth Deal to help create jobs, support businesses and encourage growth.
- 1.9 The LEPs economic strategy Solent 2050 sets out a vision for the Solent economy in 2050 to be the global leader in maritime and climate change adaption, with towns and cities that are fantastic places to live, trade and with opportunities for all our communities to flourish. The provision of infrastructure is one of the LEP's strategic priorities.

## Gosport Infrastructure Investment Plan

- 1.10 The Gosport Infrastructure Investment Plan (GIIP) was prepared on behalf of the Solent LEP and published in 2019. The overarching purpose of the GIIP was to identify future infrastructure needs of Gosport Borough across a range of 'economic infrastructure' themes to support the work of the Gosport Task Force which is considering the potential growth opportunities that may be realisable as a result of the disposal of various public sector sites in the Borough.
- 1.11 The GIIP provides an initial framework for taking forward a future economic vision for Gosport and highlights key areas where there is scope for targeted public sector action and investment. The Final Report can be viewed online: https://solentlep.org.uk/media/2543/gosport-infrastructure-investment-plan-feb-2019.pdf

# **Local Planning Policy**

# The Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 and supporting evidence

- 1.12 The Gosport Borough Local Plan (2011-2029) was adopted by the Council in October 2015 and sets out the planning strategy for the Borough for the period 2011 to 2029. It identifies key proposals, allocates land for development and sets out detailed policies which the Borough Council uses to determine planning applications.
- 1.13 The Gosport Borough Local Plan sets out a series of policies and proposals relating to new development. It identifies key requirements including the provision of necessary infrastructure to support the proposed development. The most appropriate mechanism for securing each key type of infrastructure is outlined in Appendix 1 together with the other key Local Plan policy requirements that are not forms of infrastructure (such as training initiatives).
- 1.14 The Local Plan is supported by an evidence base which includes:
  - Infrastructure Assessment Report (IAR) which provides an assessment of the current infrastructure in the Borough and identifies future infrastructure requirements where it is known because of the proposed growth over the plan period to 2029. Available in the GBLP 2011-2029 evidence base online: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/localplan2029">www.gosport.gov.uk/localplan2029</a>
  - Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). The Council has published the Infrastructure
    Delivery Plan (IDP) since 2014. The document sets out the latest identified infrastructure
    scheme details, lead providers, costings, and timescales (where these are known). The
    IDP linked to the Infrastructure Assessment Report 2014 and was prepared as evidence
    to support the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029.

The IDP is a 'living document' and is updated regularly as new information about the progress of identified schemes as well as new infrastructure proposals becomes available. The IDP is now incorporated into this Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) and can be seen in Appendix 2 of this document. Previous IDPs can be found online: www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure

Planning Obligations and Developer Contributions Strategy. The Planning
 Obligations and Developer Contributions Strategy was published by the Council in 2015

and sets out the Borough Council's approach for using planning obligations as required by the CIL Regulations. It also considers how planning conditions and other agreements related to planning interact with planning obligations and CIL and the overall viability of development. Elements of this strategy have been incorporated into the IFS in Appendix 1.

The principal purpose of the strategy is to provide transparency on what the Council, as the local planning authority, intends to fund in whole or part through the Community Infrastructure Levy and those matters where planning obligations or other mechanisms may be sought. The strategy is available online at <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure">www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure</a>

# The Gosport Borough Local Plan 2036

- 1.15 The Council is currently reviewing the adopted GBLP. The revised Local Plan will have regard to changes in national planning policy, the Partnership for South Hampshire (PfSH) Spatial Position Statement (published 2016) and the revised position statement which is currently being prepared. The GBLP 2036 is currently at the evidence gathering stage, the latest information about the GBLP 2036 is available at: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/GBLP2036">www.gosport.gov.uk/GBLP2036</a>
- 1.16 As part of the Local Plan review, the Council will assess the current infrastructure in the Borough, and the future infrastructure requirements as a result of proposed growth over the period to 2036. The proposed level of growth over the plan period and the currently known on-site and other adjacent site specific infrastructure requirements for the regeneration areas and other development sites identified in the Local Plan will be set out in an updated Infrastructure Assessment Report.

# 2. Gosport's Infrastructure Programme

#### Infrastructure

- 2.1 Delivering infrastructure to support development is key to implementing the vision and objectives of the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (GBLP). The GBLP sets out the level of development to be accommodated in the Borough over the period to 2029 and identifies broad regeneration areas and specific development sites.
- 2.2 As summarised in Section 1, an assessment of the infrastructure required to support this development is contained in the Infrastructure Assessment Report (IAR) (2014).
- 2.3 Policy LP3 of the GBLP relates specifically to the delivery of infrastructure and aims to ensure sufficient levels of infrastructure is associated with new development. There are a number of other Local Plan policies which relate to specific types of infrastructure and these are summarised in Appendix 1.

## Planned Growth to 2029

- 2.4 The planned growth for the remainder of the plan period to 2029, including housing, commercial and employment related development is set out in the Council's Authority Monitoring Report at <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/amr">www.gosport.gov.uk/amr</a>
- 2.5 Table 2.1 summarises the level of expected growth in new houses over the remainder of the Local Plan period, which ends in 2029.

Table 2.1: Planned growth in new houses to 2029

Planned growth in new houses to 2029					
Source of growth	Dwellings				
Houses already completed since the start of the plan period in 2011 to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2020	1,166				
Allocations in the GBLP that have not yet been permitted and/or built	789				
Net dwellings with planning permission that have not yet been built	868				
Other deliverable sites – unallocated or without planning permission	380				
Anticipated windfall development	266				
Total number of new houses planned to be built by 2029	2,303				
Total including new houses already built since 2011	3,469				

# Funding the cost of Infrastructure

- 2.6 Infrastructure (as identified in Figure 1.1) is funded in several different ways and it is common for several funding sources to be combined to pay for a single piece of infrastructure. The funding required for infrastructure usually needs to cover the costs for construction, operation and maintenance, with significant upfront capital investment needed to get projects underway.
- 2.7 Infrastructure requirements can be costly, and the Council itself is only one of many infrastructure providers operating within the Borough.
- 2.8 Potential funding sources which can be used to secure key types of infrastructure are summarised in Table 2.2. A significant amount of infrastructure is provided by the infrastructure providers' capital programmes which they secure from a variety of sources such as customer bills and borrowing. This IFS concentrates on the last source of funding in Table 2.2, i.e. those secured through the planning system, which in many cases is used in conjunction with other sources.

Table 2.2: Potential funding sources to secure infrastructure

Sources	Comment
Infrastructure providers own capital investment programmes  • Statutory undertakers (such as Southern Water and Portsmouth Water)  • Private investment	Private sector organisations will have their capital investment programmes and are responsible for large areas of infrastructure. For example, water companies will use profits from bills to invest in new infrastructure.
<ul> <li>Central Government</li> <li>Housing Infrastructure Fund</li> <li>New Homes Bonus</li> <li>Local Growth Deal via the Solent Local Enterprise Partnership</li> </ul>	Investment can be distributed by Central Government using a variety of mechanisms. For example, the Solent LEP may distribute funds awarded in a 'Local Growth Deal'. Local planning authorities can bid for funds from the Housing Infrastructure Fund.
Local Authority- Gosport Borough Council and Hampshire County Council  Council Tax Business Rates Prudential borrowing	Local authorities will also have capital investment programmes. The Council receives additional Council Tax and/or Business Rates including from new development. Along with prudential borrowing, this money can be used for capital investment and allocated in the Council's budget.
Funding from development secured using the planning system  S106 and S278 agreements  CIL income	Planning mechanisms available to local planning authorities that can be used to secure funds for infrastructure. The Council's use of these mechanisms is detailed in Section 3 and 4 of this report.

## How can planning fund infrastructure?

- 2.9 New development places an additional burden on existing infrastructure in an area. It is, therefore, appropriate for the developer to contribute towards new infrastructure in order to meet the demands of their new development.
- 2.10 Several planning tools can be used to secure financial and non-financial contributions, or other works, to provide infrastructure to support development and mitigate the impacts. These planning tools are collectively called 'developer contributions' and include the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and planning obligations (such as Section 106 and Section 278 agreements). Figure 2.1 shows the tools that can be used by the Borough Council's Planning and Regeneration service to fund infrastructure.

Figure 2.1: Mitigating development impact with developer contributions

# Mitigating development impact with developer contributions

Developer contributions are designed to address individual or cumulative impacts of development. These developer contribution tools cannot be used to remedy existing deficiencies or demands from population growth driven by other factors such as birth rates - they can only be used to deal with the impact of development.

# Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

CIL is a tool to deal with the cumulative impacts of development on infrastructure. CIL is specifically designed to enable the pooling of contributions from development. 80% of CIL funds are spent on Strategic projects, 15% of Neighbourhood projects and 5% on administration of the Levy.

# Section 106 agreements (S106)

S106 agreements are negotiated between the Council and the developer to mitigate the impact of a development or to secure local plan policy requirements. S106 is designed to mitigate the specific impacts of an individual development.

# Section 278 agreements (S278)

S278 can be used to allow developers to enter into a legal agreement with the highway authority (Hampshire County Council) to make permanent alterations or improvements to a public highway, as part of a planning approval.

# Other mechanisms secured through Planning

e.g. SRMP payment is required in accordance with the **Habitats** Regulations and to meet the requirements of Policy LP42 in the GBLP in order to demonstrate appropriate mitigation and that a proposal will not cause significant 'in combination effects' on internationally important habitats in terms of recreational disturbance.

# 3. Community Infrastructure Levy: 2019/20

# What is the Community Infrastructure Levy?

- 3.1 The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a charge on development which is set by Gosport Borough Council to help fund the important infrastructure needed to support new development in the Borough. The Council has been collecting CIL since 1<sup>st</sup> February 2016.
- 3.2 The amount of CIL payable depends on the size of the development. The amount charged by the Council strikes an appropriate balance between the additional investment required to support development and the potential effect on the viability of developments. The CIL rate has been set following an independent examination by a Government Inspector in 2015. The charges levied for new development in Gosport can be viewed in the Gosport Charging Schedule: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/cil">www.gosport.gov.uk/cil</a>
- 3.3 The money collected is used to pay for infrastructure such as transport, leisure and open spaces, and schools. The types of projects that the Borough Council spends CIL on are set out in the 'Infrastructure List' in Section 6 of this report which replaces the Regulation 123 list previously published by the Council. Further information relating to how CIL is collected and spent in the Borough is available in the Guide to the Community Infrastructure Levy (December 2015), available online: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/cil">www.gosport.gov.uk/cil</a>

# The CIL Report 2019/20

3.4 To comply with the <u>Regulations</u>¹ on the reporting of the CIL, 'The CIL Report' provides a breakdown for the monitoring period with a description describing the matter being reported alongside the value (in £). The report details the money collected and how it is spent.

# CIL receipts

3.5 The total amount of CIL receipts for the reported year (2019/20) is shown in Table 3.1. The table also includes previously reported amounts. When a CIL payment has been received by the Council, the Council has taken 'receipt' of the money. In 2019/20, a total of £471,384.41 of CIL was received.

Table 3.1: Total CIL received each year (at 1 April 2020)

Total CIL received (CIL receipts) each year (since CIL adoption in 2015)						
Year (1 April to 31 (80%) March)		CIL *CIL administration (5%)		Total CIL received		
2015/16*	£0	£0	£0	£0		
2016/17	£16,856.00	£3,160.50	£1,053.50	£21,070.00		
2017/18	£68,043.22	£12,758.11	£4,252.70	£85,054.03		
2018/19	£363,405.07	£68,138.45	£22,712.82	£454,256.34		
2019/20	£377,107.53	£70,707.66	£23,569.22	£471,384.41		
Total	£825,411.82	£154,764.72	£51,588.24	£1,031,764.78		

\*Please note: 2015/16 time period is 1 February 2015 to 31 March 2016. CIL administration: In accordance with the Regulations, the adopted CIL Spending Protocol allows for the provision of 5% of CIL receipts (collected since the adoption of CIL in 2016) to be spent on administration of the Levy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended)

# Annual summary

Figure 3.1: Total spent and collected 1 April 2019 - 31 March 2020

# Total CIL spent and collected between 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020

The total income from CIL and the total CIL expenditure between the **1 April 2019** and **31 March 2020** are:

Total CIL income received (2019/20):	£ 471,384.41	
	Strategic CIL: £ 622,500	
Total CIL allocated to project in 2019/20 but not yet	Neighbourhood CIL: £ 130,119	
spent (at 31 March 2020):	Administration: £ 10,593	
	Total: £ 763,212	
	Strategic CIL: £ 27,565	
Total CIL expenditure (2019/20):	Neighbourhood CIL: £ 0	
Total GIL experiulture (2019/20).	Administration: £ 12,977	
	Total: £ 40,542	

Figure 3.2: CIL funds in the account (31 March 2020)

# CIL funds in the account (available to spend)

On the **31 March 2020**, the following CIL was available to the Council and had not been allocated at that time. In accordance with the Regulations the CIL funds are split:

	80%	£ 180,412	for the Strategic CIL fund  Available to the Council in the Capital Budget setting process. It is imperative that the Strategic CIL fund is used for specific infrastructure projects and types of infrastructure set out in the infrastructure list (formerly Regulation 123 list).		
MEIGHBOURHOOD FUND	15%	£ 24,765	for the CIL Neighbourhood Fund  Allocated through the CIL Neighbourhood Fund in association with Gosport Voluntary Action. Provides grants of between £1,500 and £50,000 for infrastructure-related projects.		
	5%	£0	for CIL administration  To be used for the Council to administer the collection and distribution of CIL including the Neighbourhood Portion. It will also be used to partly fund future reviews of CIL.		
Total CIL funds = <b>£ 205,177</b>					

3.6 Whilst the monitoring period required in the Regulations is 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020 it is also useful to include an additional table which sets out the unallocated funds as at 1 September 2020. These figures are used to determine the amount distributed as part of the CIL Neighbourhood Fund and provide an up-to-date figure for the Strategic CIL Fund which feeds into the Capital Programme.

Table 3.2: Available CIL for distribution at 1 September 2020

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Total money available (not allocated for any project) at 1 September 2020						
Funding source	Amount at 1 September 2020 (£)	What can the money be used for?				
Strategic CIL (80%)	£ 147,594	Available to the Council in the Capital Budget setting process. It is imperative that the Strategic CIL fund is used for specific infrastructure projects and types of infrastructure set out in the infrastructure list.				
CIL Neighbourhood Fund (15%)	£ 27,674	Allocated through the CIL Neighbourhood Fund in associated with Gosport Voluntary Action. Provides grants of between £1,500 and £50,000 for infrastructure- related projects. The maximum for 2020/21 is £10,000.				
Administrative CIL (5%)	£ 9,224	To be used for the Council to administer the collection and distribution of CIL including the Neighbourhood Portion. It will also be used to partly fund future reviews of CIL.				
Total	£184,492					

#### CIL to be received

3.7 The total value of CIL set out in all demand notices issued in the reported year (2019/20) is shown in Table 3.3. A CIL demand notice is served by the Council on each person liable to pay an amount of CIL in respect of a development, setting out the payment due dates. Just because a demand notice has been set out by the Council does not mean the money has been received or is a certainty as the CIL charge only becomes due from the date the development is commenced.

Table 3.3: Total amount of CIL to be received as set out in demand notices (at 1 April 2020)

Total amount of CIL to be received as set out in demand notices (at 1 April 2020)						
Strategic CIL fund (80%)	CIL Neighbourhood Fund (15%)	*CIL administration (5%)	Total CIL to be received			
£190,346.84	£35,690.03	£11,896.68	£237,934			
	Strategic CIL fund (80%) £190,346.84	Strategic CIL fund (80%)  CIL Neighbourhood Fund (15%)  £190,346.84  £35,690.03	Strategic CIL fund (80%)  CIL *CIL administration (5%)  Fund (15%)			

provision of 5% of CIL receipts (collected since the adoption of CIL in 2016) to be spent on administration of the Levy.

# CIL funds allocated but not yet spent

3.8 The total amount of CIL receipts, whenever collected, which were allocated but not spent during the reported year (2019/20) are shown in Table 3.4. This is the CIL the Council has taken receipt of that has been allocated to a specific project but has not yet been spent.

Table 3.4: Total CIL funds allocated but not yet spent (at 1 April 2020)

Total CIL funds allocated but not yet spent (at 1 April 2020)						
Year Strategic CIL fund (80%)		CIL Neighbourhood Fund (15%)	*CIL administration (5%)	Total CIL committed		
At 1 April 2020	£622,500	£130,119	£10,593	£763,212		

\*Please note: CIL administration, in accordance with the Regulations, the adopted CIL Spending Protocol allows for the provision of 5% of CIL receipts (collected since the adoption of CIL in 2016) to be spent on administration of the Levy.

# CIL funds spent

- 3.9 The total amount of CIL expenditure for the reported year (2019/20) is shown in Table 3.5. The table also includes previously reported expenditure. CIL is spent in accordance with the Council's CIL Protocol which was adopted by the Council in November 2018.
- 3.10 As at 1 April 2020, the Council has spent a total of £79,153 of the CIL, £51,134 of which was spent in 2019/20.

Table 3.5: Total CIL expenditure each year (at 1 April 2020)

	Total CIL expenditure each year (since CIL adoption in 2015/16)						
Year (1 April to 31 March)	Strategic CIL fund (80%)	CIL Neighbourhood Fund (15%)	*CIL administration (5%)	Total CIL expenditure			
2015/16	£0	£0	£0	£0			
2016/17	£0	£0	£1,053.50	£1,053.50			
2017/18	£0	£0	£4,252.70	£4,252.70			
2018/19	£0	£0	£22,712.82	£22,712.82			
2019/20	£27,565	£0	£12,976.69	£40,541.69			
Total	£27,565.00	£0.00	£40,995.71	£68,560.71			

<sup>\*</sup>Please note: 2015/16 time period is 1 February 2015 to 31 March 2016. CIL administration: In accordance with the Regulations, the adopted CIL Spending Protocol allows for the provision of 5% of CIL receipts (collected since the adoption of CIL in 2016) to be spent on administration of the Levy.

# Strategic CIL Committed Projects in 2019/20

3.11 In 2019/20 the Council has committed spending to the following infrastructure projects. In total, £622,500 has been committed to projects, of which £27,564.50 has been spent. Table 3.6 shows how the Strategic CIL has been distributed to projects in 2019/20.

Table 3.6: Committed Strategic CIL infrastructure spending (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

# Committed Strategic CIL infrastructure spending (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

Project	Amount committed (£)	Amount spent (£)	Amount allocated but not yet spent (£)	Date project completed	Comment
Tukes Avenue Play Zone	£120,000	£0	£120,000	-	The provision of playground/exercise equipment adjacent to the existing facility. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.
Walpole Park Inclusive Play Zone	£135,000	£0	£135,000	-	Additional play equipment for inclusive play. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.
Grange Farm Office Refurbishment	£35,000	£27,565	£7,436	-	Refurbishment to ensure continued workplace for the Countryside Volunteer Group. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.
Stokes Bay – Gym Equipment	£22,500	£0	£22,500	-	Individual items of gym equipment along Stokes Bay frontage, to complement the existing Golden Mile. 50% funded through CIL. 50%

					funded through S106. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.
Stokes Bay – Sea Defence	£200,000	£0	£200,000	-	Total cost of £500,000 with £200,000 from CIL.
Nobes Avenue Open Space – New Pavilion	£110,000	£0	£110,000	-	To demolish the existing sports pavilion and provide a new pavilion. Funded £110,000 from CIL and £240,000 from S106. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.
Total	£622,500.00	£27,565.00	£594,936.00		

# The CIL Neighbourhood Fund

- 3.12 The neighbourhood portion represents 15% of the total CIL monies in accordance with the provisions of the CIL Regulations. The neighbourhood portion from the Community Infrastructure Levy goes towards a 'CIL Neighbourhood Fund', which provides grants of £1,500 £50,000 for infrastructure-related projects. Information on how community and voluntary organisations working in Gosport Borough can apply for funding from the CIL Neighbourhood Fund can be found on the GVA website: <a href="www.gva.org.uk/grants">www.gva.org.uk/grants</a>
- 3.13 The spending of Neighbourhood CIL in 2019/20 is detailed in Table 3.7. This is spent in accordance with the Council's agreement with Gosport Voluntary Action as set out in the CIL Spending Protocol. All project detailed below were successful in Round 1 of the CIL Neighbourhood Fund (2019/20).

Table 3.7: Committed Neighbourhood CIL infrastructure spending (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020) In accordance with the Council's agreement with GVA (set out in the CIL Spending Protocol)

Committed Neighbourhood CIL infrastructure spending 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020						
Infrastructure project	Amount committed (£)	Amount spent (£)	Amount allocated but not yet spent (£)	Date completed	Comment	
BrendonCare: Improvements to community facility	£2,792	£0	£2,792	Not yet completed	Part of a major refurbishment to a community facility.	
Bridgemary, Rowner Woodcot Community Association (BRWCA): Major repairs to community hall	£12,999	£0	£12,999	Not yet completed	n/a	
Cook's Bethel: Phase 1 improvements to community hall in Town Centre (improving accessibility and toilet provision)	£21,600	£0	£21,600	Not yet completed		
Freedom Church/Y Services: Elson/Hardway area: Improvements to community facility and to set up youth	£24,789	£0	£24,789	Not yet completed	n/a	

# Committed Neighbourhood CIL infrastructure spending 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020

Infrastructure project	Amount committed (£)	Amount spent (£)	Amount allocated but not yet spent (£)	Date completed	Comment
facility in hall					
Fleetlands Football Club, Bridgemary: New changing/community facility	£27,000	£0	£27,000	Not yet completed	Has also attracted significant external funding from Football League. Planning application received and granted permission for this development (20/00061/FULL)
Gosport Cricket Club: Contribution towards refurbishments to pavilion at Privett Park	£10,000	£0	£10,000	Not yet completed	N/a
Helping Angels: Refurbishments at Leesland School swimming pool	£21,939	£0	£21,939	Not yet completed	N/a
Southern Domestic Abuse Service: Improvements to facilities	£9,000	£0	£9,000	Not yet completed	N/a
Total	£130,119.00	£0.00	£130,119.00		

# CIL Neighbourhood Fund: Funds available at 1 September 2020

- 3.14 Table 3.8 below sets out the money available for the CIL Neighbourhood Fund for Round 2 (2020/21).
- 3.15 A total of £27,674 of Neighbourhood CIL is available to spend. Please note: the money available on 1 September 2020 is different to that reported for 31 March 2020. The table below fulfils the purpose of reporting to GVA the money available for the next round of bidding in the CIL Neighbourhood Fund.

Table 3.8: CIL funds in the account for the CIL Neighbourhood Fund (1 September 2020)

# CIL Neighbourhood Fund at 1 September 2020

On the **1 September 2020**, the following CIL is available to the Council and has not yet been allocated. In accordance with the Regulations the CIL funds are split:

	80%	£ 147,594	for the Strategic CIL fund  Available to the Council in the Capital Budget setting process. It is imperative that the Strategic CIL fund is used for specific infrastructure projects and types of infrastructure set out in the infrastructure list (formerly Regulation 123 list).			
NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND	15%	£ 27,674	for the CIL Neighbourhood Fund  Allocated through the CIL Neighbourhood Fund in association with Gosport Voluntary Action. Provides grants of between £1,500 and £50,000 for infrastructure-related projects.			
	5%	£ 9,224	for CIL administration  To be used for the Council to administer the collection and distribution of CIL including the Neighbourhood Portion. It will also be used to partly fund future reviews of CIL.			
		Total CIL funds	Total CIL funds = £ 184,492			

# The CIL Outlook: potential future income

- 3.16 The Government recommends that authorities report on estimated future income from CIL, where they can do so. This gives communities a better understanding of how infrastructure may be funded in the future. The variable trend in housing supply makes it difficult to accurately estimate future CIL income. However, using broad assumptions it is possible to produce an indicative estimate. Please note, this is an estimate and not a guarantee.
- 3.17 The Council estimates the expected CIL coming forward by taking the outstanding CIL to be received and working out the potential development liable for CIL that may be permitted and built in the coming years. This takes into account sites with existing planning permission that have yet to pay CIL and future development in the Housing Trajectory set out in the appendix of the Authority Monitoring Report; available online: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/amr">www.gosport.gov.uk/amr</a>
- 3.18 The total potential CIL income for the following three years, 2020/21 to 2022/23 are shown in Table 3.9. The total potential CIL income for 2020/21 to 2022/23 is £371,086.

Table 3.9: Potential CIL Receipts for 2020/21 to 2022/23

CIL to be received as set out in demand notices at 1 April 2020	C027 024
Sites with planning permission at 1 April 2020 with outstanding CIL to pay the Council	£237,934

# Potential future development sites and indicative CIL liability

Only includes sites that do not have planning permission at 1 April 2020 but are considered likely to come forward and be liable for CIL in the period 2020/21 to 2022/23. These are indicative CIL figures, final CIL liability will be set out in a CIL Demand Notice.

Site	Dwellings	Potential CIL liability	Explanation
Gosport Waterfront and Town Centre	30	£60,000	Based on 30 dwellings in CIL zone 2 using space standard for 2 bed (3 person home) in Design SPD (66 sqm). Figure of £180,000 halved to account for potential affordable housing.
9 to 11 High Street, Gosport (19/00157/FULL)	11	£29,530	Based on proposal in CIL zone 2
17A High Street, Gosport (20/00051/FULL)	9	£43,622	Based on proposal in CIL zone 2
Total indicative CIL (including existing demand notices)		£371,086	

#### Administration of the Levy

3.19 In accordance with the Regulations, the adopted CIL Spending Protocol allows for the provision of 5% of CIL receipts, collected since the adoption of CIL in 2016, to be spent on administration of the levy.

3.20 Table 3.10 sets out how the Council has spent the 5% on CIL administration since 1 February 2016. It also sets out the estimated costs for the coming year 2020/21.

Table 3.10: CIL administration expenditure since 1 February 2016 and estimated costs for 2020/21

CIL administration expenditure					
Item	CIL administration (5%) expenditure				
Expenditure - 1 February 2	2016 to 31 March 2020				
RICS – BICS Annual review and update	£5,732				
GVA – CIL Neighbourhood Fund Administration	£5,000				
Staff costs – scheme administration and training	£2,197				
Licence for software for administration	£28,067				
Sub-total	£40,996				
Estimated costs – 1 April 2	2020 to 31 March 2021				
GVA	£2,500				
Staff Costs	£1,200				
Licence for software for administration	£6,700				
Other costs	£1,900				
Sub-total	£12,300				
Total 1 February 2016 – 31 March 2021	£53,296				

# 4. Planning Obligations: 2019/20

# What are Planning Obligations?

- 4.1 Planning obligations are attached to planning permission to make development acceptable which would otherwise be unacceptable in planning terms. Planning obligations must be directly related to the development and be fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.
- 4.2 The Council uses two types of planning obligations, Section 106 agreements (Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)) and Section 278 agreements (Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980 (as amended)). A summary of what these mechanisms are is set out below.

# Section 106 (s106) agreements

- 4.3 Successive Local Plans covering Gosport Borough have identified infrastructure which has subsequently been funded by Section 106 agreement developer contributions. Since 1 April 2011, the start of the current Local Plan period, a significant number of developer contributions have been secured using Section 106 agreements and spent on open space projects, local transport improvements and affordable housing provision.
- 4.4 Following the introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy by the Government in April 2010 and its adoption in Gosport Borough in 2016, S106 agreements have largely been replaced by CIL. At the time, the government found that only 14% of residential planning permissions and 7% of those for offices had planning obligations (such as S106 agreements) attached to them², meaning many proposals did not provide a financial contribution towards infrastructure. CIL was therefore introduced to unlock additional funding for infrastructure from a wider range of development.
- 4.5 Following the Government's introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and the commencement of the Council's CIL Charging Schedule in February 2016, Section 106 developer contributions can only be used in more limited circumstances, this includes:
  - prescribing the nature of development (for example, requiring a given portion of the housing is affordable);
  - compensating for loss or damage created by a development (for example, the loss of open space);
  - mitigating a development's impact (for example, ensuring there are sufficient school places to serve any extra demand generated by new development); and
  - restricting the development or use of land in a specified way.
- 4.6 Section 106 agreements are used where necessary to deliver the contributions expected from development towards infrastructure and affordable housing. S106 agreements are negotiated between the Council and developer to mitigate the impact of a development or to secure a local plan policy requirement as part of a development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Explanatory Memorandum to the CIL Regulations 2010: <a href="http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/948/made">http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/948/made</a>

4.7 The Council's specific policy requirements are set out in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (GBLP). Appendix 1 of this report also sets out the most appropriate mechanism for securing each key type of infrastructure together with the other key Local Plan policy requirements that are not forms of infrastructure (such as training initiatives).

# Section 278 (s278) agreements

- 4.8 Section 278 agreements (S278) under the Highways Act 1980 are legally binding agreements between the Local Highway Authority (Hampshire County Council), and the developer to ensure the delivery of necessary highway works.
- 4.9 Further information regarding S278 agreements can be found on the Hampshire County Council website: www.hants.gov.uk/transport/developers/section-278

#### Other mechanisms

- 4.10 Bird Aware Solent has been set up to implement measures that mitigate the recreational disturbance impacts generated by new residential development within the sub-region on internationally important habitats. Bird Aware Solent have produced the Solent Recreation Mitigation Strategy which sets out how the partnership (including Gosport Borough Council) secures contributions to make development acceptable: <a href="https://solent.birdaware.org/strategy">https://solent.birdaware.org/strategy</a>
- 4.11 The Council's protocol for receiving Bird Aware payments is available on the Council's website: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/internationalsites">www.gosport.gov.uk/internationalsites</a>
- 4.12 Further information relating to how the Council uses planning obligations and other mechanisms is available in the Planning Obligations and Developer Contributions Strategy (December 2015), which is available online at <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/cil">www.gosport.gov.uk/cil</a>

# The Section 106 Report 2019/20

4.13 To comply with the Regulations on the reporting of planning obligations, 'The Section 106 Report' provides a detailed breakdown of what has been collected through S106 agreements, the projects it is has been allocated to, and how it has been spent. The report covers both monetary and non-monetary contributions and is in two parts, part 1 details the collecting of S106 contributions and part 2 how they are allocated and spent. In each of these parts, the headline figures are presented, and then supplementary details provided.

#### Latest Section 106 balance

Table 4.1: S106 funds in the account (31 March 2020)

# S106 funds in the account\*

On the **31 March 2020**, the Council had secured and/or collected the following S106 funds which for reporting purposes can be split into the following infrastructure types. These pots have been secured through the Local Plan policies and the developer contributions have been sub-divided into smaller pots based on the wording of each respective S106 agreement. Funds secured from S106 agreement must be spent in accordance with the legal agreement. Therefore, many of these funds must be spent in specific locations or on specific items.

£282,577	Sports Facilities (pot 13)  Money from development for sports facilities anywhere in the Borough
£110,249	Any Open Space or Open Space facilities in the Borough (pot 1)  Money to be spent anywhere in the Borough with no time limit stipulated in agreement.
£45,062	Open Space at Priddy's Hard (pot 31)  Money to be spent with no time restriction on open space in Priddy's Hard or other areas in the Borough provided GBC notifies the owner.
£31,484	Open Space facility within the vicinity of the development (pot 28)  Money to be spent within the vicinity of Royal Clarence Yard on open space facilities with no time restriction.
£2,535	Play Facilities (pot 11)  Money from development at Middlecroft Gospel Hall to be used for the refurbishment of the play facility at Forton Recreation ground.
£13,545	Policy LP2 (pot 9)  Money to be spent on infrastructure within the Borough with no time restriction. Secured using Policy LP2 of the Local Plan.

£75,000	Biodiversity Mitigation/Contribution (pot 12)					
	Contribution from Rowner development for mitigation at Browndown.					
£10,000	Admiralty Fuel Depot Biodiversity Contribution (pot 7)					
	Money for sheet pile mitigation barrier as biodiversity mitigation. To be spent by 2023.					
\$	subtotal for non-transport related S106 funds = £ 570,452					
£277,780	Transport					
	Funds for transport infrastructure to be used in accordance with Section 106 wording. Large proportion of this money has been set aside by the Borough Council as a contribution towards the Transforming Cities Fund project for the Gosport Bus Station.					
£194,836	Cycle Improvements at Daedalus					
	Funds from the first phase of the Daedalus Park development for cycle improvements in the vicinity of Daedalus.					
£150,000	Huhtamaki Cycle Track					
	Funds for the Huhtamaki cycle track as approved at Board in November 2015.					
£10,000	Public Realm Improvements					
	Funds from the redevelopment of Mayfield Buildings to be used for improvements in Mumby Road.					
	Subtotal for transport related S106 funds = £ 632,616					
	Total S106 funds = <b>£ 1,203,068</b>					

\*Please note: The S106 pots in this table represent the currently available funds from S106 developer contributions for open space. All other S106 developer contribution pots for open space are closed and the funds contained within them have been spent on open space projects across the Borough in accordance with the requirements of the individual S106 agreement. Future S106 open space pots may be created in accordance with each agreement when these come forward.

# Annual summary

Table 4.2: Total funds secured, collected and spent (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

# Total S106 spent and collected between 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020

The total funds secured from S106 agreements, income from S106 and the total S106 expenditure between the **1 April 2019** and **31 March 2020** are:

Secured and/or received						
Total S106 funds secured and received but unavailable to spend due to not yet reaching trigger point (at 31/3/20):	£ 6,710					
Total S106 funds secured but not yet received (between 1/4/19-31/3/20):	GBC: £ 19,842 HCC: £ 156,000 Total: £ 175,842					
Total S106 income received (between 1/4/19-31/3/20):	GBC: £ 2,000 HCC: £ 6,000 Total: £ 8,000					
Allocated and	/or spent					
Total S106 available to spend and not yet allocated (at 31/3/20):	£ 1,203,068					
Total S106 allocated but not yet spent (at 31/3/20):	£ 424,116					

Total S106 spent (between 1/4/19 - 31/3/20): £ 221,082

# Section 106 - secured and received 2019/20

- 4.14 As part of the 'S106 Report', the Council is required to report what has been secured and received during the monitoring period. Table 4.3 provides summary details of all **monetary S106 obligations** secured in the monitoring period and whether payment has been received or not. Table 4.4 provides summary details of all **non-monetary S106 obligations** secured in the monitoring period and if they have been received or not. Both tables provide details of the planning application to which the S106 is associated and the specific type of infrastructure or project the obligation is for.
- 4.15 Where a payment has been secured but not yet received this is usually due to the progress of a development site. When the trigger point in the S106 contribution is met the contribution should then be paid to the Council.

		Summary of S106 contributions secured/received in 2019/20					
		S106 secured in 2019/20	S106 received in 2019/20				
Monetary	£	GBC: £19,842 HCC: £162,000 Total: £181,842	GBC: £2,000 HCC: £6,000 Total: £8,000				
Non- monetary		Nil	Nil				

Please note, the CIL Regulations (2019) do <u>not</u> require the Council to retrospectively report on S106 obligations secured or received before 2019/20. Table 4.3, therefore, does not report on obligations secured or received before 1 April 2019. This information is available upon request.

Table 4.3: Monetary contributions secured/received by S106 agreements in 2019/20

Source		Contributio	Contribution					
Planning application	Address	Amount secured	Date secured	Amount received	Date received	Infrastructure type & purpose		
Contributions s	ecured and received by GB0							
10/00351/FULL	Former Royal Engineers	£0	10.11.2010	£500	30.04.2019	Contributions secured in 2010 for		
	Depot, Weevil Lane, Gosport			£500	06.08.2019	outdoor playing space (£9,558) and transport improvements (£22,470).		
				£500	01.11.2019	Total received to date for transport improvements: £11,570. Total received		
				£500	1 0.5 07 7070	for outdoor playing space: £9,558.  Total outstanding to pay: £10,900.		
17/00599/FULL	Priddy's Hard, Heritage Way, Gosport	£19,842	26.09.2019	-	-	Contribution to mitigate recreation disturbance pressure on internationally important habitats within the Solent. To be used towards the cost of the Council providing suitable alternative natural greenspace in the Alver Valley Country Park. To be paid prior to commencement of development.		
Sub total		£19,842		£2,000				
Contributions s	ecured and received by/tran	sferred to Ha	mpshire Cour	nty Council				
18/00118/FULL	Haslar Marina, Haslar Road, Gosport	£6,000	05.02.2020	£6,000	10.01.2020	Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to update existing double yellow lines to incorporate a no loading restriction on Haslar Road. To be transferred by the Council to HCC.		

# Monetary contributions from S106 agreements secured/received (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

Source		Contribution					
Planning application	Address	Amount secured	Date secured	Amount received	Date received	Infrastructure type & purpose	
19/00435/FULL	St Marys Church Parish Centre, Green Road, Gosport	£6,000	04.03.2020	-	-	Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to implement parking restrictions. To be transferred by the Council to HCC.	
17/00203/OUT	Hoeford Point, Barwell Lane, Gosport	£150,000	12.09.2019	-	-	Improvement works to junctions on the A32 with Wych Lane and Barwell and Lederle Lanes and a Travel Plan. To be paid by the developer directly to HCC.	
Sub total		£162,000		£6,000			
Total		£181,842		£8,000			

Table 4.4: Non-monetary contributions secured/received by S106 agreements in 2019/20

Non-monetary contributions from S106 agreements secured/received (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)							
Source		Contribution					
Planning application	Address	Amount secured	Date secured	Amount received	Date received	Infrastructure type & purpose	
None to report							

# Section 106 - allocated and spent

4.16 As part of the 'S106 Report', the Council is required to report what has been allocated and spent during the monitoring period (including money transferred to another person/organisation to spend). Table 4.5 provides summary details of all S106 funds which have been allocated or spent in the monitoring period, along with the planning application to which the S106 is associated and details of the specific infrastructure project the obligation will be or has been used for.

	Summary of S106 contributions allocated/spent in 2019/20				
	S106 allocated but not yet spent in 2019/20	S106 spent in 2019/20			
Monetary £	£ 424,116	£ 221,082			
Non- monetary	Nil	Nil			

Please note, funds that have been allocated or spent in this monitoring period may have been secured and/or received in a previous year, before the introduction of the CIL Regulations (2019) and publication of this new Infrastructure Funding Statement. Information relating to S106 obligations secured/received before 1 April 2019 is available upon request.

- 4.17 The total amount of money from S106 agreements which was spent in the monitoring period (including transferring it to another organisation to spend) or allocated but not yet spent is shown in Table 4.5. This is also accompanied by summary details of the items of infrastructure on which that money was spent and the amount spent on each item.
- 4.18 In 2019/20, £645,198 of S106 funds has been allocated to infrastructure projects. £221,082 of this money allocated was spent in 2019/20. This leaves £424,116 allocated but not yet spent.

Table 4.5: Monetary S106 contributions allocated/spent in 2019/20

Source		Contribution						
Project Name	Address	Amount allocated	Date allocated	Amount spent	Date spent	Amount allocated but not yet spent	Comment	
Alver Valley – Western Gateway	Alver Valley, Cherque Way, Lee-on-the- Solent	£221,082	2019/20	£221,082	31.03.2020	£0		
Provide lighting to pathways within Leisure Parks, Gardens and Open Spaces	Various in Gosport Borough	£161,616 (this is the outstanding allocation of a larger sum)	2012 Carried forward to 2019/20	£0	-	£161,616	A number of these projects have been carried out since 2012. This figure represents the outstanding amount as part of an ongoing programme.	
Stokes Bay – Gym Equipment	Stokes Bay	£22,500	2019/20	£0	-	£22,500	Individual items of gym equipment along Stokes Bay frontage, to complement the existing Golden Mile. 50% funded S106. 50% funded CIL. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.	

Monetary S106 contributions –	allocated/spent (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

Source		Contribution					
Project Name	Address	Amount allocated	Date allocated	Amount spent	Date spent	Amount allocated but not yet spent	Comment
Nobes Avenue Open Space – New Pavilion	Nobes Avenue, Gosport	£240,000	2019/20	£0	-	£240,000	To demolish the existing sports pavilion and provide a new pavilion. Funded £240,000 from S106 and £110,000 from CIL. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.
Total		£262,500.00		£221,082.00		£424,116.00	

## Repaying money borrowed

4.19 In 2019/20 there was no money spent on repaying money borrowed.

#### Commuted sums

4.20 In 2019/20 no money was retained at the end of the reported year and allocated for the purposes of longer term maintenance (commuted sums).

#### Section 106 monitoring fees

- 4.21 From 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021, Gosport Borough Council will charge a fee for the monitoring, reporting and delivery of planning obligations. This section sets out the Borough Council's new monitoring fee and provides the rationale for its introduction.
- 4.22 Historically, some Local Planning Authorities have charged monitoring fees for planning obligations due to the administrative burden the activity can generate. Gosport Borough Council has not previously charged monitoring fees due to the lack of explicit law or national policy allowing this. Following a legal challenge in February 2015 (Oxfordshire County Council v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and Others³) the High Court held that administration and monitoring fees imposed in relation to Section 106 agreements were not necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms and thus failed the tests set out in the law. As a result, many local authorities with a monitoring fee ceased charging.
- 4.23 In 2018/19 the Government acknowledged the administrative burden monitoring Section 106 agreements can place on local planning authorities. In a 'technical consultation on draft regulations to reform developer contributions' the Government proposed to permit authorities to seek a proportionate and reasonable monitoring fee towards the monitoring and reporting of planning obligations through Section 106 agreements. This was met with support by respondents to the consultation and was subsequently introduced as part of The Community Infrastructure Levy (Amendment) (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2019 which became law in September 2019.
- 4.24 The change in the CIL Regulations offers the Council the opportunity to introduce a Section 106 monitoring fee and ensure the appropriate resources are in place to ensure ongoing compliance with the Government's new requirements to publish developer contributions data and the Infrastructure Funding Statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> High Court Decision available from: <a href="https://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2015/186.html">https://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2015/186.html</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Government consultation available from: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/developer-contributions-reform-technical-consultation">https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/developer-contributions-reform-technical-consultation</a>

#### Establishing the monitoring fee

4.25 To establish the monitoring fee the Council has followed the Government advice set out in the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).

# Planning Practice Guidance: How can local authorities fund reporting on planning obligations?

'Authorities can charge a monitoring fee through section 106 planning obligations, to cover the cost of monitoring and reporting on delivery of that section 106 obligation. Monitoring fees can be used to monitor and report on any type of planning obligation, for the lifetime of that obligation. Monitoring fees should not be sought retrospectively for historic agreements.

Fees could be a fixed percentage of the total value of the section 106 agreement or individual obligation; or could be a fixed monetary amount per agreement obligation (for example, for in-kind contributions). Authorities may decide to set fees using other methods. However, in all cases, monitoring fees must be proportionate and reasonable and reflect the actual cost of monitoring. Authorities could consider setting a cap to ensure that any fees are not excessive.'

www.gov.uk/guidance/planning-obligations (Paragraph: 036 Reference ID: 23b-036-20190901 Revision date: 01 09 2019)

- 4.26 In the Government's response to the 'Reforming Developer Contributions' consultation in June 2019, it stated its intention to provide guidance on methods that could be used to calculate monitoring costs. No such guidance has been published, nor what 'fair and reasonable' represents. The Borough Council is therefore required to establish its monitoring fee in line with the broad guidance set out in the PPG.
- 4.27 Table 4.6 shows a summary of what some local authorities are currently charging. The approach varies widely, some authorities use a percentage fee (typically 5%) and others use a fixed fee (for example £500 per obligation). It is also worth noting that some authorities do not have CIL so use section 106 agreements more regularly.

Table 4.6: Monitoring fees charged by other local authorities

Local authority	Non-financial obligation	Financial obligation
Portsmouth City Council	£620	£310 for contributions with commencement trigger £620 for contributions with future trigger
Havant Borough Council	£693 per non-financial head of term	5% of cost per financial head of term. Capped at £10,000
South Downs National Park	£440 per eligible covenant	£440 per eligible covenant. Exemptions apply
New Forest National Park	£284 per covenant with percentage increase for certain type/size thresholds. Capped at £10,000	£284 per covenant with percentage increase for certain type/size thresholds. Capped at £10,000
Hampshire County Council	£500 per obligation	£500 per obligation. Capped at £10,000

#### What does monitoring involve?

- 4.28 The monitoring of the receipt and spending of financial developer contributions secured through Section 106 agreements is primarily undertaken by the Development Management Section within the Planning and Regeneration Unit and the Accountancy Section within the Financial Services Unit. The reporting and publishing of information relating to the Council's collection and expenditure of developer contributions is undertaken by the Planning Policy Team within the Planning and Regeneration Unit. From start to finish, the process can involve many officers across the Council and can therefore be resource intensive.
- 4.29 The monitoring of financial obligations involves:
  - Recording the details of the section 106 agreement on an IT system;
  - Recording the triggers for the obligations contained within the agreement;
  - Monitoring the progress of the development to identify when obligations are due to be paid, this includes site visits to monitor development progress;
  - Invoicing for financial contributions and ensuring they are paid by the deadline;
  - Recording how financial contributions are spent by the Borough Council; and
  - Publishing detailed information on the collection and spending of financial contributions in spreadsheets and the IFS on the Councils website annually.

#### Setting a proportionate fee

- 4.30 In setting a proportionate fee, the Borough Council considers it fair and reasonable to base its monitoring fee on the 5% CIL administration fee used by the Government.
- 4.31 The Government allows 5% of CIL receipts to be used for administration of the Community Infrastructure Levy. The Borough Council has collected this administration fee since introduction of CIL on 1 February 2016. The Government considers this a proportionate fee for CIL given the actions local authorities are required to undertake to effectively monitor the collection and spending of CIL. Given the Government considers this percentage fee sound, the Council considers this a proportionate fee for the monitoring of Section 106 agreements.
- 4.32 The Councils use of Section 106 agreements has reduced significantly since the adoption of CIL. Nonetheless, Section 106 agreements continue to be used in some circumstances and can be more complex to monitor. The Council could therefore consider charging a greater amount than 5%, in line with some other local authorities, however, given this is the first time the Council will be charging a monitoring fee for Section 106 agreement, it is considered appropriate to start with a 5% fee as used for CIL administration.
- 4.33 The percentage charge will be reviewed annually to ensure the fee continues to cover the costs of monitoring Section 106 agreements.
- 4.34 Monitoring fees for section 106 agreements will be secured by way of contractual covenant in the Section 106 agreement itself and will be in addition to the legal charge for drafting and checking the obligation. Fees will be payable at the same time as any legal costs or on the execution of the legal agreement. An overview of the Section 106 monitoring fee will be published on the Borough Council's website.

4.35 The total monitoring fee per Section 106 agreement is capped at £10,000. This will ensure the fee is reasonable and does not exceed the actual cost of monitoring.

#### Exceptions to the monitoring fee

- 4.36 Approximately half of the section 106 agreements associated with planning applications approved by the Borough Council since 1 February 2016 involve Hampshire County Council in some way. From 1 October 2020, Hampshire County Council has introduced a monitoring fee for all agreements that it enters into. In addition to making sure the fee is proportionate and reasonable, it is important the fee is set at a level that is not likely to significantly impact developers and therefore give rise to challenge. The Borough Council will therefore only charge a monitoring fee to monitor the financial obligations that it has specifically entered, and not for those elements that Hampshire County Council could charge a monitoring fee for. This will avoid developers being charged twice for monitoring fees.
- 4.37 Any payment secured towards the Solent Recreation Mitigation Strategy and any subsequent scheme of a similar nature will be exempt from a monitoring fee as methods of payment are already established.
- 4.38 Any exemptions will be set out on the Borough Council's website and reviewed regularly to ensure the monitoring fee is being applied reasonably.
- 4.39 At this stage the Council will not charge for non-financial obligations, but this will be kept under review following the experience of any forthcoming non-financial S106 agreements secured over the next monitoring period.

#### Monitoring expenditure

4.40 As the Council has not previously charged monitoring fees for planning obligations, in total £0 has been collected or spent in 2019/20. Future income and expenditure relating to the Section 106 monitoring fee will be reported here.

#### The S106 Outlook

#### Expected S106 funds coming forward in the next monitoring period

- 4.41 The Government recommends that authorities report on estimated future income from S106, where they can do so. This gives communities a better understanding of how infrastructure may be funded in the future.
- 4.42 Because Section 106 agreements are used less frequently and on a site-specific basis, estimating the potential future income with certainty is difficult and subject to change. The Council estimates the expected S106 funds coming forward in the next monitoring period (2020/21) based on the following:
  - planning applications granted permission since 31 March 2020 (the end of the monitoring period for this IFS) with a legal agreement (or subject to a legal agreement); and
  - planning applications with permission that are due to reach a trigger point where contributions are to be paid (e.g. on the occupation of the 601<sup>st</sup> dwelling).
- 4.43 The expected S106 money coming forward in the next monitoring period, 2020/21, along with the assumptions behind this estimate, are shown in Table 4.7. Please note: This money has not necessarily been secured by legal agreement at this stage.

Table 4.7: Expected S106 receipts in 2020/21

	Expected S106 re	eceipts - 1 April 2020 to 31 N	March 2021	
Planning application	Site name	Detail of planning obligation	Amount (£)	Status
11/00282/OUT (K.17976)	Land at HMS Daedalus, Lee-on- the-Solent	Key financial contributions currently outstanding are:  • health infrastructure contribution (£28,160) before occupation of 101st dwelling  • community facility contribution (£30,000) before occupation of 101st dwelling  • open space contribution for sports pitches and other sports facilities (£64,638)	£28,160 £30,000 £64,638	Secured in S106 – likely to reach the trigger point
09/00020/OUT (K.17671)	Grange Road, Howe Road and Nimrod Drive, Rowner, Gosport	Legal agreement requiring the developer to pay commuted sum towards the provision or improvement of sports facilities within the	£96,614	Secured in S106 – likely to reach the trigger point

	Expected S106 re	eceipts - 1 April 2020 to 31 I	March 2021	
Planning application	Site name	Detail of planning obligation	Amount (£)	Status
		Borough, upon the occupation of the 601 <sup>st</sup> dwelling.		
17/00599/FULL	Priddy's Hard, Heritage Way, Gosport	To be spent within the Alver Valley. Contribution to mitigate recreation disturbance pressure on internationally important habitats within the Solent.	£19,842	Secured in S106
19/00435/FULL	St Marys Church Parish Centre, Green Road, Gosport	Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to implement parking restrictions. To be transferred by the Council to HCC.	£6,000	Secured in S106 – due on commencement
19/00316/FULL	Land at Brockhurst Gate, Cotsworth Road, Gosport	Financial contribution to be paid towards off-site improvements, relating to the provision/improvement of football facilities within Gosport Borough.	£277,000	Permitted subject to negotiation of S106 agreement
		Financial contribution to be paid towards improvements to the A32 in the vicinity of the site.	£25,000	
19/00166/FULL	Addenbrooke House, Willis Road, Gosport	Permitted subject to Section 106 agreement relating to:	TBC	Permitted subject to negotiation of
		Affordable housing		S106 agreement
		Nitrates mitigation Contribution towards public		
		open space		
		Recreational disturbance contribution		
		Traffic Regulation Order		
Total			£547,254	

#### **Other Planning Obligations**

4.44 In addition to using Section 106 agreements (both monetary and non-monetary), the Council uses other planning obligations to secure developer contributions towards infrastructure requirements. This section sets out details for the following planning obligations in this monitoring period:

Employment and Training Measures Section 278
Highway
Agreements

Solent Recreation
Mitigation
Payment (SRMP)

#### **Employment and Training Measures**

- 4.45 The need to improve the skills base and employability of local residents is a key objective of the GBLP. The Borough has significant pockets of deprivation. These areas experience higher rates of economic inactivity, lower skill levels and qualification attainment than the Borough as a whole, and when compared to national and regional averages. Policy LP17 seeks to help address this challenge. ESPs are usually secured using planning condition rather than Section 106 agreement. However, they can still be considered a non-financial contribution and will be reported in this IFS.
- 4.46 It has been recognised both locally and within the Solent region that Gosport needs to have a more highly trained workforce in order to increase levels of productivity and employment. Policy LP17 seeks to secure employment and training measures as part of development proposals on appropriate sites using Employment and Skills Plans (ESPs). The policy applies to major employment developments including retail, leisure and office development greater than 1,000m², industrial developments greater than 2,000m², warehouse developments greater than 4,000m² and other developments likely to generate 50 full time equivalent jobs or more. In addition, the policy also applies to construction jobs related to residential schemes of 40 or more dwellings.
- 4.47 There are a number of measures and initiatives which can help to develop the skills and training required to help Gosport's residents secure employment opportunities. These include work experience placements, careers promotion to pupils and students, preemployment training, apprenticeships and workforce training.
- 4.48 To assist developers, contractors and occupiers to comply with Policy LP17, the Council has produced its own practice guide outlining the process for securing employment and training measures. In addition, it chairs the Gosport Employment and Skills Partnership (GE&SP) whose members, which include employment support agencies, training organisations and education establishments operating locally, can help with implementing ESPs.
- 4.49 In terms of the ESP framework, the Council was awarded National Skills Academy for Construction status in 2014 and so where possible uses the Construction Industry Training Board's (CITB) Client Based Approach (CBA). The benefits of using this framework are that it has industry led and agreed benchmarks with target outputs and is a national scheme that has been widely adopted across the country.

#### Latest ESPs

- 4.50 During the monitoring period 2019/20, no new ESPs were secured but are expected for the following planning applications that were submitted or under consideration during 2019/20:
  - 17/00599/FULL at Priddy's Hard (mixed use redevelopment scheme);
  - 19/00316/FULL at Land at Brockhurst Gate (4,404 sqm B1c/B2/B8); and
  - 19/00483/FULL at Former Police Station (88 flats and 274 sqm commercial floorspace).
- 4.51 The following ESPs remain ongoing:
  - 15/00620/FULL at Royal Clarence Yard (55 dwellings);
  - 17/00592/DETS at Land at Former HMS Daedalus, Lee-on-the-Solent (employment-led mixed use scheme, including 200 dwellings for which the ESP applies); and
  - 15/00683/DETS at Royal Hospital Haslar (mixed use regeneration scheme, including 286 dwellings, 60 bed care home and 244 retirement units). For the latter there will be an ESP for each phase of the multi-year development and a revised one for the first phase was agreed with the developer during the monitoring period.

#### Case study: Land at Former HMS Daedalus

In terms of quantifying the local impact and social value of ESPs, currently the most significant ESP relates to the 200 dwellings at Land at Former HMS Daedalus being implemented by Wates Residential. The CBA ESP and related Wates' Community Investment Plan for the Daedalus Village scheme commenced in June 2018 and is scheduled to be completed in March 2021. The outcomes as at 1 April 2020 are:

- → 11 work placements (target 16), including from Fareham College that delivers construction related traineeships and apprenticeships via its facility at Daedalus
- → 101 new job starts onsite are Gosport residents and 303 are from PO postcodes.
- → 11 construction careers (target 7), information and guidance related activities delivered or supported, engaging with 704 people; and
- → 13,197 training weeks onsite (target 522) via Wates' Building Futures preemployment training programme and apprenticeships.

According to Wates, the social value of this activity equates to

- → £5,922,751 invested in training local people
- → £11,417 invested in supporting education related projects
- $\rightarrow$  £18,586,928 spend with local businesses, including £791,588 within 5 miles of Daedalus and £9,756,199 within 15 miles; and
- → Wates employees volunteering on 7 community projects, equating to 594.5 hours of support; and the company has donated £31,000 to local community groups and events.

#### Section 278 agreements

- 4.52 Section 278 agreements (S278) under the Highways Act 1980 are legally binding agreements between the Local Highway Authority (for Gosport Borough this is Hampshire County Council), and the developer to ensure the delivery of necessary highway works. Gosport Borough Council is not involved in the negotiation and signing of a S278 and does not receive or spend any money from the agreement.
- 4.53 To provide a complete picture of developer contributions received and spent in the Borough, the Borough Council will endeavour to report information from Hampshire County Council relating to S278 agreements in this IFS.
- 4.54 Table 4.8 provides summary details of the S278 agreements that have been secured in 2019/20 and information on their implementation where this is known by the Borough Council. This information will be retrospectively updated as required when further information is received from Hampshire County Council.

Table 4.8: S278 contributions received and spent by HCC (1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020)

S278 contributions received and spent by HCC 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2020							
Planning Application	Site	Date signed	Obligation	Status of obligation	Comment		
18/00177/FULL	Land South of Howe Road, Gosport	01/07/2019	Highway works including the provision of new access on Howe Road and carriageway/footway alterations along Howe Road.	Works complete			

#### **Solent Recreation Mitigation Partnership (SRMP)**

- 4.55 SRMP payment is required in accordance with the Habitats Regulations and to meet the requirements of *Policy LP42* in the <u>Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029</u> in order to demonstrate appropriate mitigation and that a proposal will not cause significant 'in combination effects' on internationally important habitats in terms of recreational disturbance.
- 4.56 The Council expects the developer to pay the contribution directly to GBC in its role as the 'competent authority' as defined by the Habitats Regulations. Without this contribution, the planning application would be unacceptable. The total money collected for the SRMP during the monitoring period is shown below:

Money received from SRMP – 2019/20				
Contributions received:	£20,537			
Refunds relating to previous years:	£1,729			
Net contribution for monitoring period:	£18,808			



- 4.57 £1,729 of SRMP was refunded during the monitoring period. These refunds can be due to developments not commencing within 3 years and planning applications being refused.
- 4.58 It is important to note that many SRMP payments are paid by developers on the commencement of development, so there is a time delay between planning permission being granted and the money being paid. For this reason, the money collected from SRMP may not always reflect the planning permissions granted each year.
- 4.59 The SRMP contributions received by the Council are transferred quarterly to the Solent Recreation Mitigation Partnership. The Partnership sets out the contributions received each year and details of all expenditure in an annual statement of accounts which is published online: <a href="https://solent.birdaware.org/article/28133/Annual-reports">https://solent.birdaware.org/article/28133/Annual-reports</a>

## 5. Infrastructure delivered to date

#### Review of infrastructure delivery

- 5.1 Since the start of the Local Plan period in 2011, there has been a significant amount of infrastructure delivered to support new development in Gosport Borough. This section provides an overview of the key infrastructure projects completed in this monitoring period 2019/20, and since the start of the plan period in 2011.
- 5.2 The infrastructure delivered each year can vary from large strategic schemes to smaller local schemes. As explained in Section 2, schemes are often funded using a variety of funding sources and use varying amounts of developer contributions.
- 5.3 A full breakdown of schemes delivered since the start of the plan period and information relating to the progress of identified schemes along with lead providers, costings and timescales, is available in **Appendix 2 Infrastructure Delivery Plan.**

#### Summary of delivery since 2011 for Gosport Borough Council Schemes

Table 5.1 summarises Gosport Borough Council infrastructure schemes which have been completed and funded using developer contributions secured by policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan. These schemes are primarily funded from Section 106 contributions secured prior to the introduction of CIL in February 2016. All schemes were approved at the relevant Council Board and total £1,281,988 of spending.

Table 5.1: Summary of infrastructure delivered and funded by Section 106 contributions since 1 April 2011

Location	Provision	Amount (£)	Date Spent
Alver Valley	Western Gateway Car Park, Toilet, Café and Eastern	640,000	2017/2020
	Gateway and interpretation signage throughout park.		
Lee on Solent	Splash Park	122,000	2019
Pathway Lighting	Lighting schemes (Forton Rec, Grove Rd Rec, Lee	33,200	2012/2018
Various	Rec & Privett Park & Bridgemary Park, Cunningham		
	Drive)		
St Lukes Road	St Lukes Road improvement of Land	8,672	2018
Leesland Play Area	Leesland Skatepark equipment & safety railings	8,500	2015
Stokes Bay	Wet & Dry play area replacing Paddling Pool	250,000	2013/2014
Rowner Copse	New Facility - other sports facilities and childrens	45,800	2013/2014
	play area		
Lee-on-the-Solent	Skate Park and youth shelter	14,281	2012/2014
Privett Park	Protective Fence, surfacing of tennis courts and	71,191	2012/2014
	cricket nets		
Walpole Park	Children's play equipment	7,000	2013
Elson Rec	Play area refurbishment	7,000	2013
Brookers Field	Drainage improvements	70,000	2013
Nobes Avenue play	Play equipment	4,000	2012
area			
Tukes Avenue	Playing field	344	2012
Total		£ 1,281,988	

#### Key infrastructure scheme delivered in 2019/20

#### Lee-on-the-Solent Splash Park

**Completed July 2019** / Total cost: £551,995 with £122,000 from Section 106 contributions / led by Gosport Borough Council. New Splash Park in Lee-on-the-Solent featuring jets, water cannons, and suspended water buckets. Project includes a new pump house and Changing Places facility. Scheme funded in 2018/19 and delivered in 2019/20.



Sample of infrastructure schemes delivered since 2011

#### South East Hampshire Bus Rapid Transit

Completed April 2012 / cost £24,522,000 from developer contributions, community infrastructure funding and HCC funding. The scheme saw the construction of a shared bus and cycleway from Tichborne Way to Redlands Lane.

#### South Street/Dock Road

Completed March 2016 / cost approx. £270,000 with £233,000 from developer contributions. Scheme saw the conversion of the junction to traffic signal controlled to provide pedestrian crossings and improved cycle safety.





#### Marine Parade West Cycle Track

Completed May 2014 / cost £241,000 from developer contributions, Local Sustainable Transport Fund and Sustrans grant. 2.5km shared use cycleway along Marine Parade East with Toucan crossing and landscaping.

#### Alver Valley Country Park - Play park

Completed 2015 / £340,000 with funds from developer contributions. Located at the Western Gateway, close to Cherque Way Lee-on-the-Solent.



#### Stokes Bay Wet and Dry Play Area

Completed 2013/14 / cost £250,000 from developer contributions. The scheme saw the replacement of a poor condition paddling pool with a new outside play facility with traditional all year round play equipment and summer water features. Known as 'Gosport Splash Park'

#### Improvements to Privett Park

**Completed March 2014** / cost £71,191 from developer contributions. Included a new protective fence, upgrade to surfacing of tennis courts and cricket nets





### 6. The infrastructure list

#### Role of the infrastructure list

- 6.1 This section sets out the Council's 'infrastructure list'. The infrastructure list sets out Gosport Borough Council's future spending priorities on infrastructure detailing the types of infrastructure and specific infrastructure projects which the Council intends to be or may be wholly or partly funded by CIL and other developer contributions.
- 6.2 The 123 List previously approved by the Council includes generic types of infrastructure that Strategic CIL funding can be used for. The production of the IFS allows the Council an opportunity to set out specific projects that it wishes to spend developer contributions on.
- 6.3 There are a number of parameters that need to be considered when determining how developer contributions are spent and this varies depending on which funding source is used, this is summarised below:
  - Section 106 developer contributions the projects must be in accordance with the Section 106 agreement. A summary of each S106 funding pot is set out below in Table 6.2.
  - **Strategic CIL** the Council's CIL Spending Protocol (approved 14 November 2018) includes the following paragraphs:

It is imperative that the use of strategic CIL is clearly identified for specific infrastructure projects which are compliant with the 123 List, as the spending will need to be listed within the Council's 'CIL Annual Financial Statement<sup>5</sup>', which are required to be produced on an annual basis. Preferably any projects would also have been identified in the following:

- The latest version of the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan<sup>6</sup>
- Any approved Council strategy or action plan
- o Any approved strategy or action plan of an infrastructure provider

It is essential for reporting and monitoring purposes that the CIL funds are ringfenced for the types of infrastructure set out in the 123 List and that this list accords with Government legislation.

- Neighbourhood CIL the CIL Regulations set out a wider range of projects that can be funded using Neighbourhood CIL. The Council's approach is detailed in the Economic Development Board Report of 3 July 2019.
- 6.4 The requirement for the Council to maintain a CIL Regulation 123 list has been removed as part of the new CIL Regs 2019 and the Council's infrastructure list replaces the Regulation 123 list. However, the 123 List is considered still to be relevant as it is based on the evidence used to support the Adopted Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 and the Council's CIL Charging Schedule. The evidence will be refreshed for the forthcoming Gosport Borough

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This is now incorporated into Section 3 of the IFS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This is now incorporated in Appendix 2 of the IFS

Local Plan 2036 and a revised CIL Charging Schedule will be published. Consequently, the Council's 123 List will be carried forward as the Council's Infrastructure List until such time it is reviewed as part of the forthcoming review of CIL or any alternative arrangements introduced by the Government's Planning for the Future White Paper (published August 2020).

#### The infrastructure list

The following types of infrastructure projects will be funded at least in part by the Community Infrastructure Levy or planning obligations:

#### Community Facilities (including cultural and built leisure) excluding:

- the replacement of such facilities lost as a result of new development; and/or
- where a site specific measure is required to secure the future use of an existing building for a community use (for example a historic building); and/or
- facilities are required for a major residential development (normally over 100 dwellings) where it may be necessary to provide community buildings on the site or close by to serve the new community.

**Education** excluding any specific identified measures required to serve a major development.

**Flood management** excluding specific flood risk measures required to facilitate the alleviation of flood risk in relation to the development site.

**Open space** excluding the provision of on-site open space and maintenance arrangements for developments of 50 or more dwellings or off-site provision in-lieu of this provision (to be specified by a Section 106 agreement within the locality of the development).

**Transport schemes.** A number of schemes have been identified in liaison with Hampshire County Council as being most suited for CIL:

- BRT Improvements within Gosport Borough;
- Strategic improvements to the capacity and management of existing traffic signal controls on the A32 Fareham Road, except where a development proposal would require specific highway or signal improvement;
- Military Road to Browndown Road off-road shared cycle track (south side);
- Improvements in cycle access from Rowner to Daedalus

#### Money available (not yet allocated for any project)

- This section sets out the latest amount of developer contributions available to spend on infrastructure. In summary, the following is available to spend:
  - **CIL Strategic Fund** Table 6.1 identifies that £147,594 is currently available and unallocated and could be considered as part of the Council's capital programme providing it is on infrastructure set out in the infrastructure list and accords with the principles set out in the Council's CIL Spending Protocol;
  - Section 106 Developer Contributions Table 6.2 identifies the unallocated sums in each of the S106 pots which need to be spent on infrastructure as stipulated in the legal agreement itself. In total £570,452 is available for open space and biodiversity projects and £632,616 is available for transport infrastructure.
- 6.6 Table 6.1 provides a summary of the total money from CIL and Table 6.2 the total S106 money that is available to spend (not yet allocated for any project) on 1 April 2020. For a detailed breakdown of these figures, see Section 3 and Section 4 of this report. Please note, the money available to spend may be subject to caveats and other particulars.
- 6.7 For CIL, the total money available on 1 September 2020 is also included as this is the date the money becomes available for the CIL Neighbourhood Fund. The Council communicate to GVA the amount of money in the CIL Neighbourhood Fund on 1 September each year.

Table 6.1: Total money available (not allocated for any project) on 1 April 2020 and 1 September 2020

#### Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - Total money available (not allocated for any project) at 1 April 2020 and 1 September 2020 **Funding source** Amount at 1 Amount at 1 What can the money be used for? April 2020 (£) September 2020 (£) **Strategic CIL** £ 180,412 £ 147,594 Available to the Council in the Capital Budget (80%)setting process. It is imperative that the Strategic CIL fund is used for specific infrastructure projects and types of infrastructure set out in the infrastructure list. CIL Allocated through the CIL Neighbourhood £ 24,765 £ 27,674 Neighbourhood Fund in associated with Gosport Voluntary **Fund (15%)** Action. Provides grants of between £1,500 and £50,000 for infrastructure-related projects. The maximum for 2020/21 is £10,000. Administrative To be used for the Council to administer the £0 £ 9,224 CIL (5%) collection and distribution of CIL including the Neighbourhood Portion. It will also be used to partly fund future reviews of CIL. £ 184,492 Total available £ 205,177

Table 6.2: Total S106 money available (not allocated for any project) on 1 April 2020

#### S106 funds in the account\*

On the **1 April 2020**, the Council had secured and/or collected the following S106 funds which for reporting purposes can be split into the following infrastructure types. These pots have been secured through the Local Plan policies and the developer contributions have been sub-divided into smaller pots based on the wording of each respective S106 agreement. Funds secured from S106 agreement must be spent in accordance with the legal agreement. Therefore, many of these funds must be spent in specific locations or on specific items.

C202 F77	Sports Facilities (pot 13)
£282,577	
	Money from development for sports facilities anywhere in the Borough
£110,249	Any Open Space or Open Space facilities in the Borough (pot 1)
	Money to be spent anywhere in the Borough with no time limit stipulated in agreement.
£45,062	Open Space at Priddy's Hard (pot 31)
	Money to be spent with no time restriction on open space in Priddy's Hard or other areas in the Borough provided GBC notifies the owner.
£31,484	Open Space facility within the vicinity of the development (pot 28)
	Money to be spent within the vicinity of Royal Clarence Yard on open space facilities with no time restriction.
£2,535	Play Facilities (pot 11)
	Money from development at Middlecroft Gospel Hall to be used for the refurbishment of the play facility at Forton Recreation ground.
£13,545	Policy LP2 (pot 9)
, i	Money to be spent on infrastructure within the Borough with no time restriction. Secured using Policy LP2 of the Local Plan.
£75,000	Biodiversity Mitigation/Contribution (pot 12)
	Contribution from Rowner development for mitigation at Browndown.
£10,000	Admiralty Fuel Depot Biodiversity Contribution (pot 7)
, i	Money for sheet pile mitigation barrier as biodiversity mitigation. To be spent by 2023.
s	subtotal for non-transport related S106 funds = £ 570,452

£277,780	Transport
	Funds for transport infrastructure to be used in accordance with Section 106 wording. Large proportion of this money has been set aside by the Borough Council as a contribution towards the Transforming Cities Fund project for the Gosport Bus Station.
£194,836	Cycle Improvements at Daedalus
	Funds from the first phase of the Daedalus Park development for cycle improvements in the vicinity of Daedalus.
£150,000	Huhtamaki Cycle Track
	Funds for the Huhtamaki cycle track as approved at Board in November 2015.
£10,000	Public Realm Improvements
	Funds from the redevelopment of Mayfield Buildings to be used for improvements in Mumby Road.
	Subtotal for transport related S106 funds = £ 632,616
	Total S106 funds = <b>£ 1,203,068</b>

\*Please note: The S106 pots in this table represent the currently available funds from S106 developer contributions for open space. All other S106 developer contribution pots for open space are closed and the funds contained within them have been spent on open space projects across the Borough in accordance with the requirements of the individual S106 agreement. Future S106 open space pots may be created in accordance with each agreement when these come forward.

# 7. Monitoring and further information

#### Publishing developer contributions data

- 7.1 Gosport Borough Council is committed to working with the local community and other stakeholders to ensure that planning contributions are used fairly and transparently to maximise the benefits and opportunities arising from development in the Borough.
- 7.2 In accordance with the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended), any local planning authority that has received developer contributions is required to publish an Infrastructure Funding Statement annually. This IFS fulfils the Government's requirements and provides a comprehensive report of all money collected by the Council from developers for infrastructure and how it is spent. To collect data for the IFS, the Government recommends that local authorities monitor data on Section 106 planning obligations and CIL in line with the government's data format and publishes the data regularly.
- 7.3 The Council publishes its developer contributions data in 3 separate spreadsheets (known as CSV files), along with the IFS, as recommended by the Government. The data and the IFS can be found online: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure">www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure</a>

#### Monitoring and review

7.4 The information in the IFS will feed into the ongoing review of the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2036 and future CIL reviews to ensure that policy requirements for developer contributions remain realistic and do not undermine the deliverability of the Plan.

#### **Further information**

A list of all relevant pages on the Council's website can be found below:

Infrastructure Funding Statement (including Infrastructure Delivery Plan) and published Developer Contributions Data: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure">www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure</a>

Community Infrastructure Levy: www.gosport.gov.uk/cil

Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029: www.gosport.gov.uk/localplan2029

Gosport Borough Local Plan 2036 (ongoing review): www.gosport.gov.uk/gblp2036

Authority Monitoring Report: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/amr">www.gosport.gov.uk/amr</a>

# Appendix 1 - Mechanisms to secure infrastructure

The most appropriate mechanism for securing each key type of infrastructure is outlined below together with the other key Local Plan policy requirements that are not forms of infrastructure (such as training initiatives). A summary is provided in Table A1. For further detail including caveats and exceptions please see Section 4 of the Planning Obligations and Developer Contributions Strategy (December 2015) available online: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/cil">www.gosport.gov.uk/cil</a>

Table A1: Summary of potential mechanisms to secure infrastructure and non-infrastructure policy requirements

Requirement	Most likely mechanism(s)	Relevant policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (in addition to Policy LP2 and the relevant sites-specific policy (Policy LP4-LP9)
Transport		
Strategic off-site transport infrastructure (for example strategic highway and Bus Rapid Transit)	CIL – although planning obligations may be required for major sites generating significant travel demands (for example to provide a major upgrade in the local highway network) <sup>7</sup>	LP21
Site specific highway works in the vicinity and access arrangements to the site	Planning obligation and/or Section 278 and/or planning condition	LP21, LP22, LP23
On-site access requirements	Section 278 and/or planning conditions	LP21, LP22, LP23
Travel Plan and associated measures (not covered above)	Planning obligation and/or planning condition	LP21, LP22, LP23
Housing		
Affordable housing	Planning obligation (although certain requirements have been secured solely through the use of a planning condition)	LP24
Education and Training		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Such S106 requirements could not be included on the CIL 'Regulation 123 List'

Requirement	Most likely mechanism(s)	Relevant policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (in addition to Policy LP2 and the relevant sites-specific policy (Policy LP4-LP9)
Primary School Secondary School Other training and education facilities	CIL – although planning obligation may be required for major residential sites generating significant demand <sup>8</sup>	LP32
Employment and Training Plans and associated in-kind measures and/or developer contributions for training initiatives (non-infrastructure)	Planning obligation	LP17
Community Facilities		
Medical and health Indoor sports, leisure and recreation Multi-functional community halls Care/creche facilities Cultural facilities	CIL – although planning obligation may be required for major sites <sup>9</sup> for a variety of reasons <sup>10</sup>	LP32
Flood Management		
Flood management infrastructure	CIL although planning obligation/planning conditions will be required for major regeneration sites as well as very site specific measures for smaller sites where flood management measures will be essential to deliver a safe scheme <sup>11</sup> .	LP41, LP45
Evacuation Plans	Planning obligation/planning condition	LP45

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Such S106 requirements could not be included on the CIL 'Regulation 123 List' and as at September 2015

on specific requirements have been identified

9 For the purposes of this requirement, the justification text of Policy LP32 of the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 describes major developments as normally over 100 dwellings.

10 Such S106 requirements could not be included on the CIL 'Regulation 123 List'

11 Such S106 requirements could not be included on the CIL 'Regulation 123 List'

Requirement	Most likely mechanism(s)	Relevant policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (in addition to Policy LP2 and the relevant sites-specific policy (Policy LP4-LP9)
Utilities		
Gas, electricity, water supply, waste water, telecommunications and broadband	Bilateral agreements with utility provider. In some instances a planning condition and/or planning obligation agreement may be appropriate depending on whether there are any specific site issues.	LP20, LP38, LP39, LP40
Open Space		
Open space (play space, amenity space, natural/semi-natural) for sites of under 50 dwellings	CIL	LP34
Open space (play space, amenity space, natural/semi-natural) for sites of 50 or more dwellings	Normally on-site provision secured by planning condition.  In instances where it is more applicable for offsite provision for a specific project in the vicinity it will be necessary to secure a contribution by planning obligation.	
Allotments	CIL	
Outdoor sports facilities and pitches	CIL	
Maintenance of open space and green in	frastructure	
Maintenance	On-site open space provision secured on sites of 50 or more dwellings will need to be managed and maintained for a period of 25 years and can be secured by a planning	LP34, LP41

Requirement	Most likely mechanism(s)	Relevant policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (in addition to Policy LP2 and the relevant sites-specific policy (Policy LP4-LP9)	
	condition or a planning obligation to be negotiated with the developer.  Other forms of on-site green infrastructure will also require to be maintained for 25 years and can be secured by a planning condition or a planning obligation to be negotiated with the developer.  Sustainable drainage systems will require a longer term management scheme to be agreed by the		
	relevant agencies.		
Biodiversity			
Solent Recreation and Mitigation Partnership (SRMP) initiatives to mitigate recreation disturbance impacts on internationally important habitats	Planning obligation/planning condition for any on-site measures (normally for identified impacts generated 'alone' by the development).	LP41, LP42	
	Direct payments as part of a scheme to demonstrate appropriate mitigation under the		

Requirement	Most likely mechanism(s)	Relevant policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (in addition to Policy LP2 and the relevant sites-specific policy (Policy LP4-LP9)
	Habitats Regulations for in-combination mitigation identified by the Solent Recreation Mitigation Partnership.	
On-site measures (not related to recreational disturbance) following an ecological report (which could include an appropriate assessment relating to potential impact on internationally important sites).	Planning conditions and/or obligations	LP41, LP42, LP43, LP44
Off-site measures (not related to recreational disturbance) following an ecological report (which could include an appropriate assessment relating to potential impact on internationally important sites).	Planning obligation/planning condition	
Heritage		
Archaeology	Normally planning conditions are sufficient to secure on-site research and mitigation.	LP11, LP13
Interpretation (boards, display, exhibitions)	Planning conditions and/or planning obligation depending on necessary arrangements for interpretation.	
Use of building (such as making available for public use)	Planning conditions and/or planning obligation depending on arrangements required.	
Use of resources: Energy		
Energy efficiency	Building Regulations	1.500
On-site measures	Planning condition and/or planning obligation depending on the nature	LP38

Requirement	Most likely mechanism(s)	Relevant policies in the Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (in addition to Policy LP2 and the relevant sites-specific policy (Policy LP4-LP9)
	and scale of measures.	
Use of resources: Water		
Water consumption measures	Planning condition	LP39
Use of resources: Waste and Material Re	sources	
Site Waste Management Plans	Planning condition	LP40
Waste and recycling storage	Planning condition	LP40
Contaminated Land		
Contamination remediation	Planning condition	LP47

# **Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029**

# INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN

November 2020



## 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (GBLP) was adopted by the Council on 14<sup>th</sup> October 2015. The new development promoted by the GBLP will require various types of infrastructure to support it. The Council has prepared this Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) which sets out the latest identified scheme details, lead providers, costings and timescales (where these are known). The IDP is a 'living document' which can be updated on a regular basis as new information becomes available about the progress of identified schemes as well as new infrastructure proposals.
- 1.2 The IDP is divided into a number of key infrastructure areas including: transport, education, community facilities and open space. The document represents a snap shot at a particular point in time and will be updated as schemes are completed and new information about future schemes becomes available. The base period for information in this IDP is 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020 unless specifically stated.
- 1.3 The IDP Report links to the Infrastructure Assessment Report (2014) which was prepared as evidence for the Local Plan. This will be updated for the new Local Plan 2036 which will take into account the latest long term infrastructure requirements beyond 2029 and the findings of the Solent Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) Gosport Infrastructure Investment Plan (February 2019) which is available to view online: https://solentlep.org.uk/media/2543/gosport-infrastructure-investment-plan-feb-2019.pdf
- 1.4 Under the provisions of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) there is now a requirement for Local Authorities to prepare an Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS). The IFS is published annually and sets out how the money collected to provide infrastructure within Gosport Borough has and will be used in the future. This IDP is published with the IFS and is available online: <a href="https://www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure">www.gosport.gov.uk/infrastructure</a>.

# Infrastructure Delivery Plan - Scheme Progress 2020

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
TRANSPORT			
Schem	es delivered since	April 2011	
Public Transport			
South-East Hampshire Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) - Phase 1 Shared bus and cycleway from Tichborne Way to Redlands Lane	Transport for South Hampshire  Hampshire County Council as delivery agency	Total £24,522,000  Community Infrastructure Funding £20m  Circa £4million funding from HCC  £543,000 Developer Contribution	Completed April 2012
BRT Enhancements between Rowner Road / Busway and Gosport Ferry (in Gosport Borough):-  Anns Hill Road / Bury Road junction capacity improvements to reduce delays to buses and general traffic		£290,000 Local Sustainable Transport Fund / Developer Contributions	Completed July 2016
Bus stop infrastructure improvements— Lee-on-the-Solent New bus shelters and raised kerbs serving Daedalus and in Newgate Lane.	Transport for South Hampshire	£130,000 (includes works in Stubbington within Fareham Borough Council area) Local Sustainable Transport Fund.	Completed March 2014
BRT Bus stop infrastructure – Improved bus shelters, information and CCTV on Eclipse routes between Fareham and Gosport bus stations including Creek Road.	Transport for South Hampshire	£200,441 Local Sustainable Transport Fund	Completed September 2013

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Rowner to Gosport Ferry priority bus stops (within Gosport)  New shelters, branding, real time information services, CCTV and other infrastructure improvements to improve quality of selected bus stops on Eclipse on-road routes (Better Connected South Hampshire Project)	Solent Transport / HCC	£219,000 allocated	Installation of phase 1 and 2 of new bus stop shelters was completed on site in February 2017
Bus Priority Measures - Brockhurst Roundabouts Bus priority lanes and signals, improved cycle facilities (TDP 2013)	Transport for South Hampshire	£688,000 Better Bus Area Fund	Completed September 2013
Bus Priority Measures – Lees Lane Bus Contra-Flow (TDP 2013)	Transport for South Hampshire	Better Bus Area Fund	Completed September 2013
BRT Phase 1 Bus Passenger Information  New broadcast units and Eclipse branding at the terminus points at Fareham and Gosport bus stations		£205,000 LSTF Grant / LTP (Large Project Package)	Completed July 2014
Bus Stop Infrastructure – Newgate Lane / Daedalus phases 2 and 3 Bus stop infrastructure improvements		£260,244 Developer Contributions / LSTF Grant	Completed March 2015
BRT Enhancements on A27 (outside Gosport Borough): - (Western Way Bus Gate Project Appraisal, Nov. 2013)			
A27 Western Way Bus Gate To improve journey time reliability by providing direct access for buses to Western Way avoiding delays at Quay Street	Hampshire County Council	£250,000 allocated Better Bus Area / HCC LTP	Completed 2014

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Roundabout.			
A27 Western Way Bus Priority Lane Dedicated bus lane and improvements to Station Road Roundabout.	Hampshire County Council	£840,000 allocated from Local Growth Fund	Completed 2014
Portsmouth, The Hard Interchange Improvements (outside Gosport Borough) Improvements to bus / rail / ferry interchange to maximise	Portsmouth City Council	£6.8 million from the following sources:	Scheme completed and operational.
public transport accessibility, improve public realm and support local redevelopment		£2.0 million from Portsmouth City Council and;	
(TDP 2013 and SSEP 2014 LTB Prioritised Scheme).		£4.8 million from Solent Local Enterprise	
BRT – Additional Bus Stops Two new bus stops to be implemented (north/southbound) on the BRT Eclipse route at Vian Close	HCC	Estimated cost - £116,000	Works completed
https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/brtbusst ops			
Encouraging Use of Sustainable Modes			
Smart Ticketing (sub-regional project) Inter-operable smart ticketing for bus and ferry travel. (Better Connected South Hampshire Project).	TfSH	£9.3 million allocated from LSTF (Large Project Package)	Solent Go Smartcard Implemented August 2014
Area-wide and corridor-specific Travel Choice interventions (with Gosport as part of a sub-regional project)	TfSH	LSTF (Large Project Package)	Completed 2014

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
My Journey travel planning initiatives including targeted areas in Gosport to encourage uptake of public transport, walking and cycling.  (Better Connected South Hampshire Project).			
Ferry Infrastructure			
Gosport Ferry Pontoon  New and improved structure for pedestrians and cyclists using ferry to Portsmouth.	Gosport Borough Council	£4.5 million project costs funded by GBC. The pontoon has been leased to the Gosport Ferry Company.	Completed June 2011
Walking and Cycling			
Stokes Bay Cycle Route (The Ray Reece Cycleway) Strategic cycle track from Bay House School along Stokes Bay to Anglesey Road forming part of NCN2.	Hampshire County Council	The £400,000 scheme was funded by SUSTRANS who were commissioned by the Department for Transport through Cycling England.	Completed Summer 2011
Tichborne Way to Gosport Leisure Centre Cycle Track Strategic cycle link to the BRT busway / cycle track and the new Gosport Leisure Park, improving access between Gosport and Fareham.	Hampshire County Council	£72,200 Developer Contributions and other sources of funding.	Completed August 2012
Marine Parade East Cycle Track 2.5m shared use cycleway along Marine Parade east between Portsmouth Road and Lee-on-the Solent. Works also include the conversion of the pelican crossing to a Toucan crossing and hard landscaping	Hampshire County Council	£241,000 Developer Contributions / LSTF / Sustrans Grant	Completed May 2014

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Marine Parade West Cycle Track A shared use extension of the Marine Parade East cycle track to Salterns Promenade	Hampshire County Council	£290,000 LSTF / Sustrans Grant / LTP	Completed June 2014
Alver Way Cycle Track A shared use cycle track in the Alver Valley Country Park from Apple Dumpling Bridge to Privett Road	GBC	£44,000 GBC / PfSH	Completed March 2015
Alver Way – Kingfisher Path Improvement to existing footpath between Privett Road and Browndown Road	GBC	£16,000 Solent LEP Growth Deal	Works completed during 2015/16
Carters Copse Cycle Track A shared use cycle track from Grange Road to the Alver Valley	GBC	£12,500 Solent LEP Growth Deal	Works completed by 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2016
Privett Road (LHTF 2015-16) Military Road to Browndown Road improve existing footway to provide shared cycle track on the southern side. Including works to the Cocked Hat Roundabout.	HCC	£24,000 HCC Minor Works LR-LHTF	Works completed by 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2016
Privett Road (adj. Grange Road Roundabout) Refuge to provide access from shared cycle track to Alver Valley. Refuge constructed as part of the Privett Road scheme	HCC	£12,000 HCC Minor Works Budget	Works completed 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2016
Rowner Road/Grange Road (LHTF 2015-16) Pedestrian and cycle access and crossing improvements.	HCC	£25,000 HCC Minor Works LR-LHTF	Works completed March

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Including the Widening of central island on Rowner Road to accommodate cyclists and resurfacing works and reapplication of red surface to highlight the cycle crossing on Tichborne Way			2016
South Street/Dock Road Covert existing priority junction to traffic signal control to provide controlled pedestrian crossings and improve cycle safety	HCC	£233,000 developer contribution (£42,500) / HCC Safety Budget	Works completed 17 <sup>th</sup> March 2016
Leesland Park Provision of a shared use path across Leesland Park (Gosport Borough Council Land) linking Leesland Schools to Lavinia Road. The path will provide for pedestrians and cyclists as part of the Safer Routes to Schools initiative.	HCC with GBC	£39,000 HCC Minor Works – LHTF 2016-17	Scheme completed 4 <sup>th</sup> November 2016
Highway Improvements in Support of Development			
Access Improvements to Daedalus Enterprise Zone			
Junction at Hangars West / Gosport Road  Junction at Hangars East / Broom Way	Developer (Homes and Communities Agency)	Developer funded	Completed 2012 Completed 2013
Daedalus Drive - Link to existing junction at Broom Way / Cherque Way to form principle access to the Enterprise Zone and internal access roads.	Developer (Homes and Communities Agency)	£7.09 million allocated from Growing Enterprise Fund for essential infrastructure, including roads and services, at Daedalus	Completed 2017
New junction on Marine Parade West at Ross House to form secondary access		Government / Homes and Communities Agency funding	Signalised junctions in place and currently

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
			providing access to Ross House only. The junction is expected to open fully once the Daedalus roads have been Adopted by HCC.
Strategic Highway Improvements to Gosport and Fare residents	ham –predominately	outside the Borough but serving (	Sosport Borough
Newgate Lane Northern Section Improvements Palmerstone Drive to Tanners Lane (outside Gosport Borough) New junction to Collingwood, additional traffic lanes and capacity improvements at Speedfields Park and Longfield Avenue junctions to improve journey time reliability and pedestrian and cycle accessibility. (STIP 2013 and SSEP Transformational Scheme)	TfSH	£6.9 million allocated Growth Point Fund /HCC/Developer contributions	Completed and operational since Autumn 2015
Newgate Lane Southern Section Improvements Tanners Lane to Peel Common Junction (predominately outside Gosport Borough) To improve local access and strategic access to Gosport and to provide a cycle track. A key scheme in delivering suitable access to the Daedalus Enterprise Zone (STIP 2013 and SSEP Transformational Scheme).	TfSH	£9 million Local Growth Fund committed	Completed Spring 2018
Peel Common Interim Junction Improvements (predominantly outside of Gosport Borough boundary) Traffic signals on Broom Way, Rowner Road and Newgate Lane to better control traffic demands and congestion. To include pedestrian and cycle facilities on the south side of the	TfSH	£3.25 million Local Growth Fund / HCC	Complete and has been operational since May 2016

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
roundabout. Additional works or amendments will likely be required when Stubbington bypass progresses (ref STIP 2013 and SSEP Transformational Scheme)			
A27 St Margaret's Lane Roundabout, Fareham Works to improve the management of traffic to ease congestion at peak times and improve facilities for pedestrians and cyclists including additional traffic lanes and signalisation of roundabout.		£4.85 million	Works complete and has been operational since 2nd June 2016.
A27 Segensworth to Titchfield Improvement Scheme Upgrading of single carriageway sections to dual carriageway and provision of cycle facilities. Improved access form A27 Southampton Road to Segensworth Roundabout. (ref SSEP 2014 Pipeline Major Scheme).		£10.265 million	Completed and operational since August 2018
Bishopsfield Rd – Station Roundabout Changes to Station Road roundabout to improve traffic flows and access for pedestrians and cyclists to railway station and improved bus stop facilities and modifications to subway, Widening of The Avenue (A27) to increase westbound capacity. (SSEP 2014 LTB Prioritised Scheme).		£6.6million	Works complete
Local Access Schemes Various minor schemes have been completed in Gosport since April 2011.	Hampshire County Council	Schemes falling into this category have been detailed in GBC's Authority Monitoring Reports.	

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Strategic Public Transport Improvements			
Interchange Improvements	T	T	1
Gosport Bus & Ferry Interchange Improvements (in Gosport Borough) Replacement of bus station and improved multi-modal access for cycles, buses, taxis and ferry passengers in conjunction with the proposed Waterfront redevelopment proposals (TDP 2013).	Hampshire County Council / Gosport Borough Council	Transforming Cities Fund (TCF) and other funding sources.  £5.2million of TCF Funding allocated for the Gosport Interchange transport schemes	Award of TCF Funding announced on the 28 <sup>th</sup> September 2020.  Programme currently being developed.  TCF Funding deadline is end of March 2023
BRT Busway Extensions, Gosport to Fareham	<u> </u>		
The following bus way extensions are proposed to build upon the step change in service levels and reliability achieved by BRT phase 1 and the Eclipse bus services and improve access to planned developments at Waterfront and Welborne (TDP 2013, STIP 2013 and SSEP 2014 Transformational Scheme):-			
Phase 1B – extension south to Rowner Road (in Gosport Borough)  Dedicated bus / cycleway Tichborne Way to Rowner Road along route of existing cycle track <a href="https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/brtphase">https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/brtphase</a> ii	Solent Transport	A bid for funding from the National Productivity Investment Fund has been successful.  A further £1.4million has been secured from Tranche 1 of the Transforming Cities Fund	The scheme was redesigned in order to provide an improved option for connecting the busway to Rowner Road which retains the Rowner Road bridge and pedestrian/cycle underpass.

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
			The scheme is currently under construction by Dyer and Butler and works commenced June 2020.
Phase 2 – extension north to A27 / Fareham Rail Station (outside Gosport Borough) Dedicated bus / cycleway from Redlands Lane to A27 / Railway Station on route of disused railway line.	Solent Transport	£20 million (est.) Local Growth Fund or other government funding required.	STIP indicates post 2026. Not being progressed at this time.
BRT / South East Hampshire Bus Rapid Transit Future	Phases		
BRT – Future Phase serving Welborne (outside Gosport Borough):- Extension of Eclipse BRT service from Fareham Rail Station through Fareham town centre to Welborne and links to new bus routes to Portsmouth via the A27 and M27 using a package of measures, including priority measures at junctions and sections of bus lane.  (Fareham Borough Council's The Welborne Plan – Adopted June 2015, Policy WEL26 and SSEP 2014 Transformational Scheme / Gosport – Fareham Growth Package).	Developer	S106 / Local Growth Fund	Consistent with progress of the Welborne site development.
Strategic Highway Improvements			,
Motorway Improvements			T
M27 Smart Motorways Junctions 4 to 11 (outside Gosport) The M27 smart motorway scheme stretches from junction 4 (M3 Interchange) to junction 11 (Fareham), and will directly connect to the M3 junction 9 (Winchester / A34 Interchange) to junction 14 (M27) smart motorway scheme. The scheme is 24km (15 miles), and all links on the M27 between junction 4	Highways England (HA)	£244 million	Scheme under construction and works expected to be complete by end of 2020/21

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
to 11 will be upgraded to smart motorway, dual four all-lane running. The hard shoulder will be retained between junctions 7 and 8.			
The scheme will involve:			
<ul> <li>permanent conversion of the hard shoulder to create a fourth lane and changing the junction slip roads to accommodate this</li> <li>new CCTV cameras and electronic information signs and signals on gantries - these will show variable mandatory speed limits and manage traffic flow and incidents</li> <li>emergency refuge areas throughout the length of the scheme</li> <li>the hardening of the central reserve and installation of a reinforced barrier to improve safety</li> <li>https://highwaysengland.co.uk/projects/m27-junctions-4-to-11-smart-motorway/</li> </ul>			
M27 Junctions Improvements, Junctions 9, 10 and 11.			
Access to Welborne / Improvements to Junction 10 The proposed improvements for M27 Junction 10 include the provision of a new underpass underneath the M27 west of the existing junction; the provision of two new slip west facing roads and a replacement for the existing west-bound off-slip to create an all moves junction. Pedestrian and cycle facilities will be provided for throughout the scheme and a new dedicated BRT link will be provided. Further information can be found at:- <a href="https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/m27junc">https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/m27junc</a>	Developer	To be confirmed	Amended planning application resubmitted December 2018 to include revised scheme for M27 Junction 10, a revised public transport strategy, and a revised walking and cycling strategy.

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
tion10  https://bucklanddevelopment.com/A%20Simple%20Guide%20t o%20the%20Planning%20Application%20-%20FINAL%20- %20December%202018.pdf			
M27 Junction 9 and Parkway South (Whiteley) Improvement scheme to widen Junction 9 of the M27 as well as the Parkway South roundabout (first roundabout approaching Whiteley) to reduce congestion and unlock economic development potential.	Highway England / HCC	Total scheme cost of £23 million: - £13.8million from Highways England £9.2million from HCC	Completion expected summer 2022
https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/m27junction9			
M27 Junction 11.  The delivery or funding of necessary off-site improvements to the M27 including junctions 9 and 11 to mitigate the impacts of development at Welborne and Whiteley.  (Ref. Draft Welborne Local Plan Published Feb. 2014, Chapter 7 and Policy WEL24 Strategic Road Access)  (ref. SSEP 2014 Transformational Scheme to provide access to strategic sites in Gosport and Fareham Area as part of Gosport / Fareham Growth Package)	Developer	Developer Funding / Local Growth Fund	
A27 Corridor / Western Access Improvements	I		
A27 Corridor Improvements (outside Gosport Borough) Schemes as follows to improve western access to Fareham- Gosport and address traffic congestion and improve BRT / Eclipse bus services including junction improvements to improve accessibility to development areas including the Daedalus Enterprise Zone		Funding from Local Growth Fund / HCC / Developer Funding	

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
(STIP 2013 & SSEP 2014, Transport Proposals).  A27 Titchfield Gyratory to Gudge Heath Lane Upgrading single carriageway to dual carriageway and junction improvements (SSEP 2014 Pipeline Major Scheme).			Not programmed
Stubbington Bypass, Peel Common Junction to Titchfield Road (Gosport Western Access) (outside Gosport Borough)			
<ul> <li>New bypass to north of Stubbington and improvements to existing roads including Titchfield Gyratory. The scheme will:-</li> <li>Divert Traffic around the outskirts of Stubbington and reduce journey time and peak hour congestion onto and off the Gosport Peninsula</li> <li>Remove transport barriers to growth and encourage investment and regeneration, including the Solent Enterprise Zone at Daedalus</li> <li>Improve connectivity and provide additional network resilience.</li> <li>The Bypass proposals comprise:</li> </ul>	HCC Strategic Transport / Major Schemes teams	£34 million – comprising:  • £8.5m from HCC (approved September 2016)  • £25.7 m from Local Growth Fund 3 (February 2017)	Scheme currently under construction.  For accurate updates please refer to HCC's website: <a href="https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/stubbingtonbypass">https://www.hants.gov.uk/transport/transportschemes/stubbingtonbypass</a>
<ul> <li>Construction of a new single carriageway road between the B3354 Titchfield Road and Gosport Road, passing to the north and east of Stubbington</li> <li>On-line widening of Titchfield Road between the Bypass and the A27</li> <li>Improvements of the A27 Titchfield Gyratory and further improvements to the Peel Common Roundabout (Gosport Road Arm)</li> <li>Traffic Management measures in Stubbington Village</li> </ul>			

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Improved cycle infrastructure.			
Local Highway Improvements			
Access to Daedalus Enterprise Zone (Outside and within Gosport Borough) Existing points of access at Drake Road and Brambles Road to be reopened.		Unknown	Linked to triggers in the Planning consents
Access to Daedalus Enterprise Zone (Outside and within Gosport Borough)			
New junction on Marine Parade West at Ross House to form secondary access	Developer (Homes England)	Funded as part of the Daedalus Infrastructure works.  Government / Homes England	Awaiting adoption of roads by HCC before the junction is fully opened. Currently allows access to Ross House for residents only.
A32 Junction Improvements (within Gosport Borough) Measures as follows to reduce delays and improve journey time reliability:- Improved traffic signal control / demand management on A32 Fareham Road to improve junction efficiency (GBC Transport Statement)	HCC	£800,000 (est) Developer Contributions / CIL funding required.	To be investigated
Wych Lane / A32 Fareham Road Right Turn Lane Improvements to southbound right turn lane to reduce delays.	HCC	£1.5million Funding to be provided from the Safer	The necessary land and funding have been

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
(GBC Transport Statement & HCC Scheme Tracking Report April 2014)		Road Fund phase 2 allocation awarded to HCC	secured. Scheme to be delivered during 2021.
A32 – M27 junction 10 to Gosport Town Centre. Safer Road Fund		C140,000 Safar Boada Fund Phase	To be delivered by 2004
Sign rationalization – removing unnecessary road sign furniture, enhancement of remaining signing and lining to increase road users' awareness of road layout ahead, providing passively safe structures/sign posts and new signing to improve delineation. An emphasis will be placed on providing consistency throughout the route.		£149,000– Safer Roads Fund <i>Phase</i> 1 bid (£149,000)	To be delivered by 2021
https://www.hants.gov.uk/news/june27roads			
Walking & Cycling			
Shoot Lane, Cycle Track & Traffic Management Measures, Rowner to Daedalus To provide a safer route to Lee on the Solent, Alver Valley, the CEMAST college and Daedalus Enterprise Zone from Rowner for pedestrians and cyclists. (GBC Transport Statement).	HCC	Not known at this stage	Original scheme not being progressed – alternatives to be considered through the emerging Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for Gosport
Fareham Road Cycle Track, Heritage Way to Rowner Road Conversion of east side footway to shared use. (GBC Transport Statement).	HCC	£40,000 required. Developer Contributions	Not programmed

Scheme Type and Details (relevant strategies / plans)	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known / Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Daisy Lane Cycle Track, St Andrew's Road to Whitworth Conversion of footpath to shared use to improve access to local schools, Stoke Road shops and town centre (GBC Transport Statement).	HCC	Not known at this stage	Not programmed
Huhtamaki Cycle Track Construction of shared use path between Grange Road and the existing cycle track to the west of Brune Park School. HCC detail this as a Safer Routes to School	GBC / HCC	Developer Contributions (£150,000 with a £30,000 contingency)	Awaiting transfer of land from Huhtamaki to GBC.

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
EDUCATION			
Schen	nes delivered since	April 2011	
Primary Education			
Refurbishment of Siskin School	Hampshire County Council as Education Authority	£2,500,000 to refurbish Siskin Federation school. This scheme is adjacent to the Alver Village Regeneration Area. New facilities include multi-function hall, parent room, music and drama studio and library/computer room.	Completed 2012/13
Leesland CE (Controlled) Junior School – expand school	Hampshire County Council as Education Authority	£1,000,000	Completed 2015/16
Post-16 Education			1
The Centre for Engineering Advanced Skills Training (whilst located within Fareham Borough Council area it will provide training for local residents employed by companies on sites such as Daedalus)	Fareham College	Skills Funding Agency, HCC, Fareham College and the Solent LEP have contributed to the required £12 million new building	Opened September 2014
Relocation of the Quayside Education Centre (now called The Key Education Centre) from Mill Lane to Tukes Avenue.	Young Persons Learning Agency	Unknown	Opened 2013
Nursery Schools			
Demand led privately funded provision – no additional public sector facilities planned.	Private provision	Unknown – further provision likely to be demand-led and funded privately.	On-going throughout the plan period

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Primary Education			
Replacement of Grange Junior School	Hampshire County Council as Education Authority	£7.924m	Planned to start in 2020/21
Demand led provision	Hampshire County Council as Education Authority	Funding requirements unknown – but developer contributions would continue to support need for additional spaces in accordance with the HCC Policy incorporated into GBC Planning Policy.	As and when additional school places are required.  Ongoing throughout the plan period
Secondary Education			
Demand led provision	Hampshire County Council as Education Authority	Funding requirements unknown – but developer contributions would continue to support need for additional spaces in accordance with the HCC Policy incorporated into GBC Planning Policy.	As and when additional school places are required.  Ongoing throughout the plan period
HEALTH / CARE			
Local Health Care			
Schem	es Delivered Since	April 2011	
Merger of neighbouring doctors' surgeries in Manor Way	Fareham & Gosport Clinical Commissioning Group	Unknown	Completed April 2019

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Provision at Daedalus	Fareham & Gosport Clinical Commissioning Group	Unknown	Early stages of assessment. Delivered potentially over the next 5 years
Supported Accommodation			
Redevelopment of the Addenbrooke Older Person's Home to provide 60 extra care units and community facilities.	Hampshire County Council in partnership with Gosport Borough Council, and private sector providers	Potential sources of funding include HCC Capital Programme, Homes England, GBC, developer funding.	Planning application 19/00166/FULL approved subject to conditions and Section 106 agreement at Regulatory Board 22 <sup>nd</sup> July 2020.  Construction expected to commence within 3 years
Schemes to meet other needs of those accessing various forms of supported accommodation.	Hampshire County Council and Gosport Borough Council	Unknown	On-going throughout the Plan Period subject to demands placed on the services.
Potential at Haslar Regeneration Site	T		
Health / Care schemes as part of the Haslar Hospital site	Private developer	Unknown	Within 3-7 years

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
COMMUNITY FACILITIES (including Cultural and	Built Leisure)		
Schen	nes Delivered Since	April 2011	
Sports Centres and Swimming Pools			
Gosport Leisure Centre- New leisure centre with swimming pool, indoor courts, outdoor pitch facilities and a gym.	GBC	£7 million. Funding for Phase 1 is provided by GBC Capital Programme (£6 million) and £1 million from the enabling development.	Completed December 2012
Bay House School – replacement sports hall and multi-use artificial turf pitch. School facility with some public usage	Bay House School	School facilities	Opened September 2015
Other schemes identified			
Community facilities		,	
Re-use of specific buildings on major developments for community use- including at  • Daedalus  • Haslar	Developers	Developer contributions  Service provider	Throughout the Plan Period as opportunities arise
Other Indoor Sports facilities		1	1
None identified at this stage			
Libraries			
HCC have published a Library Strategy to 2020. In January 2020, Hampshire County Council launched a consultation on	Hampshire County Council	Service provider	HCC plans for the majority of library

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Proposed Changes to Hampshire's Library Service. Following this consultation, the County Council approved the closure of eight libraries in Hampshire. Two of these libraries, Elson and Lee-on-the-Solent, are in Gosport Borough.  The report detailing the outcome of the consultation and the decision to close these libraries can be read online: <a href="https://democracy.hants.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=66">https://democracy.hants.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=66</a> <a href="https://democracy.hants.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=66">https://democracy.hants.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=66</a> <a href="https://democracy.hants.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=66">https://democracy.hants.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=66</a>		Community-led initiatives are aiming to ensure a seamless handover from HCC to community groups to maintain a library service and community asset. This is being supported by GBC.	closures to take effect from 1 <sup>st</sup> January 2021.
OPEN SPACE			
Schem	es delivered since	April 2011	
Alver Valley Country Park			
Eastern gateway- Play park	GBC	Developer contributions. A total of £640,000 of developer contributions has been spent on the Alver Valley Western Gateway, Eastern Gateway and interpretation signage throughout the park.	Completed 2015
Alver Way - Pedestrian/cycle path	GBC	PfSH funded scheme	Completed 2015
Western Gateway access road, car park, associated paths, interpretation boards and welcome sign	GBC	Government's Growth fund via the Solent LEP and GBC capital funds and developer contributions. A total of £640,000 of developer contributions has been spent on the Alver Valley Western Gateway, Eastern Gateway	Completed June 2016

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		and interpretation signage throughout the park.	
Eastern Gateway refurbished and extended car park, new pedestrian and cycle link with associated access paths, interpretation board and welcome signs	GBC	Government's Growth fund via the Solent LEP/ and GBC capital funds and developer contributions. A total of £640,000 of developer contributions has been spent on the Alver Valley Western Gateway, Eastern Gateway and interpretation signage throughout the park.	Completed August 2016
Eastern Gateway- international standard BMX track and a new pump track	GBC	Sport England	Completed 2017
Western Gateway- Premier play areas and picnic area	GBC	GBC/Developer contributions. A total of £640,000 of developer contributions has been spent on the Alver Valley Western Gateway, Eastern Gateway and interpretation signage throughout the park.	Completed October 2017
Noah Lake improvements- new viewing platform, bird hide and sand martin nesting area	GBC	GBC/ Developer contributions. A total of £640,000 of developer contributions has been spent on the Alver Valley Western Gateway, Eastern Gateway and interpretation signage throughout the park.	Completed Spring 2018

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Children's Play Facilities			
Splashpark at Stokes Bay (splashpool and dry play facilities)	GBC	Developer contributions secured by Section 106 Agreements £250,000	Completed June 2013
Re-provision of sports pitches at Grange Lane and Stokes Bay to replace senior pitches at Gosport Leisure Park	GBC	Developer contributions secured by Section 106 Agreements. As part of redevelopment of Gosport Leisure Park which includes a new sports centre and outdoor 5-a-side and 7-a-side pitches	Completed Autumn 2013
Bridgemary School- new 3G floodlit synthetic sports pitch for school and community use	Bridgemary School	Developer contributions secured by Section 106 Agreements School facilities	Autumn 2014
	GBC	Developer contributions	Completed 2015
Gosport Park - Additional equipment	GBC	Developer contributions	Completed 2015/2016
Walpole Park - Gym equipment installed	GBC	Developer contributions	Completed 2013
Elson Recreation Ground Play Area- Area refurbished	GBC	Developer contributions	Completed 2013
Grange Road Football Pitches	GBC	Developer contributions	Playable from 2014

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
			Football Season
Privett Park Cricket Practice Nets	GBC/Gosport Borough Cricket Club	Developer contributions	Completed 2013
Pathway Lighting Schemes at Forton Recreation Ground, Grove Recreation Ground, Lee-on-the-Solent Recreation Ground, Privett Park and Bridgemary Park Cunningham Drive	GBC	Developer contributions, £33,200 spent so far. £166,616 allocated for ongoing spending.	Completed 2015-2019 and on-gong scheme
Leesland Play Area- Skatepark equipment & safety railings	GBC	Developer contributions £8,500	Completed 2015
St Nicholas Avenue Play Area refurbishment	GBC	Developer contributions	Completed 2016
Bridgemary Park Skate Park Facility	GBC	Veolia Grant	Completed 2017
Lee-on-the-Solent Recreation Ground Play Area, replacement of Multi Play area	GBC	GBC	Completed 2017/18
Grove Road Recreation Ground Play Area refurbishment	GBC	GBC	Completed 2018
Splash Park at Lee-on-the-Solent	GBC	Funding provided through developer contributions £122,000	Completed 2019
Alver Valley Country Park Western Gateway Car Park Extension	GBC	Funding through developer contributions. A total of £640,000 of developer contributions has been	Completed 2019/2020

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		spent on the Alver Valley Western Gateway, Eastern Gateway and interpretation signage throughout the park.	
Other schemes identified			
Alver Valley Country Park			
Alver Valley Country Park Western Gateway Toilet (Changing Places Standard)/Cafe	GBC	Funding through developer contributions	Nearing completion November 2020
Grange Farm gateway proposals	GBC/developer	Private scheme	Planning application currently under consideration 2021
Apple Dumpling Bridge-flooding issue Linked with Beach Management Scheme (see Flood Defences section)	GBC	Circa £250,000k GBC. Possible funding sources include Environment Agency, environmental grants, developer contributions.	Not currently programmed
Other Green Infrastructure Projects			
<ul> <li>Extension of Millennium Promenade (as identified in the Gosport Waterfront and Town Centre Supplementary Planning Document)</li> <li>Priddy's Hard, Ramparts Park</li> </ul>	Lead provider is scheme dependant. Some will be developer led as part of specific development schemes, whereas	Private Investment / Developer Contributions / CIL	Unknown at this stage
<ul> <li>Improve appearance and quality of access around the creeks (Haslar Lake, Workhouse Lake and Stoke</li> </ul>	others will be led by the Borough Council		

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Lake			
Walpole Park Cockle Pond, refurbishment of footway and water circulation			
Heritage Action Zone (HAZ) related projects	1		
Gosport Lines (as identified in the Gosport Waterfront and Town Centre Supplementary Planning Document)	Historic England, Hampshire Property Services and GBC	Approx. £500,000	By March 2024
Alver Valley Trail	Gosport Voluntary Action and GBC	£15,000 potentially from GBC funding	By March 2024
Improvement to land west of Fort Brockhurst	English Heritage and GBC	Unknown at this time. Potential for English Heritage funding, GBC funding and/or developer contributions.	By March 2024
Creekside Walk at Haslar Lake	GBC	Unknown at this time. Potential for GBC funding and/or developer contributions from Town Centre development.	By March 2024
Allotments	1	,	
<ul> <li>Enhanced facilities on existing sites</li> <li>Creation of additional allotment plots</li> </ul>	GBC in liaison with key stakeholders including the Gosport Allotment Holders and Gardeners Association	Potential for GBC funding and/or developer contributions.  Local groups may be able to access certain types of funding sources for site improvements.	Not known at this stage

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Manor Way, Lee-on-the-Solent Open Space and Allotments	GBC	Unknown	Public consultation undertaken
Sports Pitches, Other Outdoor Sports, Parks and Play	Space		
Tukes Avenue Open Space Play Zones – the provision of playground/exercise equipment adjacent to the existing facility. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.	GBC	£120,000 from developer contributions, 100% funded from CIL.	1-5 years
Stokes Bay Gym Equipment – individual items of gym equipment along Stokes Bay frontage to complement the existing Golden Mile. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.	GBC	£45,000 from developer contributions including £22,500 from S106 contributions (50%) and £22,500 from CIL (50%).	1-5 years
Nobes Avenue New Pavilion – demolition of existing pavilion to provide new facility. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.	GBC	£350,000 from developer contributions including £240,000 from S106 contributions and £110,000 from CIL.	1-5 years
Walpole Park Inclusive Play Zone – Additional play equipment for inclusive play. Approved at Community Board 11.09.2019.	GBC	£135,000 from developer contributions, 100% funded from CIL.	1-5 years
Other small-scale schemes to be identified by GBC	GBC	Funding to be provided through developer contributions, either S106 contributions or CIL.	On-going throughout the plan period

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress	
PUBLIC SERVICES				
Schen	nes delivered since	April 2011		
Waste				
Gosport Household Waste Recycling Centre – Refurbishment works	Hampshire County Council	Funding of £475,000 from Hampshire County Council Capital Programme	Completed 2011	
Other schemes identified  Cemeteries				
Additional capacity to be provided at the existing Ann's Hill Cemetery. Considering other sites for further capacity.	GBC	GBC	Work on-going	
EMERGENCY SERVICES				
Police				
The Police Service relocated from the Police Station in Gosport to Gosport Town Hall.	Hampshire Constabulary	N/A	Complete 2016/17	
Ambulance				
The Ambulance Station at Privett Road Gosport is used as a location point for Patient Transport Services	South Central Ambulance Service (NHS Trust)	Specific costs not defined	Completed	

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Fire and Rescue			
None identified	Hampshire Fire and Rescue Service	N/A	N/A
UTILITIES			
Gas			
No response received to date	Scotia Gas Networks	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage
Electricity			
Reinforcement of EHV (Extra High Voltage) network	Scottish and Southern Energy	Funding requirement unknown, but developers would be liable	Unknown. The extent of any requirement will be evaluated at the time of application when anticipated loads are better understood.
Water Supply			
Individual development sites may need local mains reinforcements, but Portsmouth Water will work with developers to deliver this.	Portsmouth Water	Costs unknown at this stage	Phased as each development progresses
Wastewater		1	1
Improvements to wastewater treatment works	Southern Water	Will be funded by Southern Water through the price review process	In parallel with development

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Sewerage network reinforcement will be required for a number of proposed development sites in advance of occupation. This will need to be delivered in phase with the development.	Southern Water	Will be funded through the New Infrastructure Charge. Any additional investment required will be funded through Southern Water's Capital Works programme.	Phased as each development progresses
Telecommunications – British Telecom	I		
Roll-out of Super-fast Fibre Access exchange using "Fibre to the Cabinet" (FTTC) infrastructure	Openreach (British Telecom)	Unknown at this stage	On-going
Telecommunications – Mobile Operators			
Provision will be demand led – Mobile operators provide annual roll-out plans	Various private mobile phone companies	No specific details defined	On-going throughout the plan period via annual roll-out plans
FLOOD DEFENCES			
The River Hamble to Portchester Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Strategy has been developed by the ESCP on behalf of Gosport and Fareham Borough Councils. It was adopted by Gosport Borough Council in Spring 2015 and Approved by the Environment Agency in Spring 2016.	The Strategy identifies a series of Strategic Management Zones (SMZ). SMZ2 covers the shoreline from Fareham Creek to Gilkicker Point and SMZ3 covers the area from Gilkicker Point to Titchfield Haven. A high level	It is recognised that a large proportion of the funding required to deliver the Strategy will have to come from funding sources other than Flood and Coastal Risk Management Grant in Aid. Financial contributions may take some years to be realised through investment plans, community funding, Local Authority contributions and coastal re-development opportunities. There will be a requirement to think creatively and deliver differently to	None of the schemes or works identified below are guaranteed. Funding must be sought and identified and further staged technical and financial assurance will need to be sought from the Environment Agency.  For full details of the Strategy please visit

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
	summary of the flood defence infrastructure required is set out below. For the shorter term priority works, information has been progressed beyond the strategic level therefore more detailed information is presented. Only projects planned for delivery within the 1st epoch of the Strategy are shown below (2015-2030).	ensure that the Strategy's recommendations are implemented for the communities of Gosport and Fareham	www.escp.org.uk/strategy
SMZ2: Upper Quay (Fareham) to Fort Monckton (Gosp	ort)		
Priority Scheme - Seafield Flood & Coastal Erosion Risk Management Scheme (Option Development Unit 17)  The aim of this scheme is to provide the recommended 1% Annual Exceedance Probability [AEP] (1:100 year) standard of protection, immediately reducing present day tidal flood risk to 72 Seafield homes and 8 residential properties at risk from erosion in the short term (less than 20 years). In 50 years the scheme will protect 141 residential properties at risk from a	GBC	£4,500,795 cash costs including 95% risk contingency and inflation.  Secured Funding Sources  - FCERM Grant in Aid (£130,802 including contingency was secured for outline design).  Potential Funding sources	Business case seeking funding for options appraisal submitted to Environment Agency in Spring 2016.  FCERM 4 submitted in August 2017 seeking contingency funds.
1% AEP coastal event and 30 residential properties at risk of longer term (above 20 years) erosion.		- FCERM Grant in Aid (£1,682,450 including 30% contingency applied for Detailed	FCERM 4 submitted to EA in 2018 for funds to

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		Design and Construction to be applied for later with OBC submission).	undertake enhanced outline design.
		- £3,014,053 external contribution needed	Pending funding Approval:
		- GBC Capital Investment	Detailed Design 2021/22 – 2023/24
		External - at least 15% even if fully grant funded.	Construction 2026/27 – 2028/29.
Priority Scheme - Alverstoke Flood & Coastal Erosion Risk Management Scheme (Option Development Unit 17)	GBC	£1,291,623 cash costs including 30% contingency and inflation.	Business case seeking funding for options appraisal submitted to
The aim of this scheme is to provide the recommended 1% Annual Exceedance Probability [AEP] (1:100 year) standard of protection, immediately reducing present day tidal flood risk to		Secured Funding Sources - FCERM Grant in Aid (£129,502 including contingency was	Environment Agency in Spring 2016.
99 Alverstoke homes and 9 commercial properties. In 50 years the scheme will protect 121 residential properties at risk		secured for outline design).	FCERM 4 submitted in August 2017 seeking
from a 1% AEP coastal event and 9 commercial properties.		Potential Funding sources - FCERM Grant in Aid	contingency funds.
		(£1,291,623 including 30% contingency will be applied for	Seeking funding for detailed design and
		Detailed Design and Construction).	construction to be submitted to Environment Agency in March 2018.
		<ul> <li>External - at least 15% even if fully grant funded.</li> </ul>	Planning application

(S)	Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		submitted to GBC (no. 20/00298/FULL) and under consideration at October 2020.
		Pending funding Approval:
		Detailed Design 2018/19 – 2019/20
		Construction 2020/21
GBC / Private	£1,060,213 cash costs including 30% contingency and inflation.	Business case seeking funding for options appraisal submitted to
	This figure is for flood risk scheme only and will require further engagement with St Vincent College	Environment Agency in Spring 2016.
	who have their own development aspirations.	FCERM 4 submitted in August 2017 seeking contingency funds.
	Secured Funding Sources - FCERM Grant in Aid (£129,244 including contingency was secured for outline design).	Project will be paused for 6 months from December 2017 to allow College's scheme to develop and
	GBC / Private	contingency and inflation.  This figure is for flood risk scheme only and will require further engagement with St Vincent College who have their own development aspirations.  Secured Funding Sources - FCERM Grant in Aid (£129,244 including contingency was

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		<b>Committee</b> (£157,000 approved <b>for</b> Detailed Design and Construction).	parties to be aligned. College not able to contribute or be part of the scheme.
		Potential Funding sources  - FCERM Grant in Aid (£531,180 incl. 30% contingency.  - Further contribution required (£347,000 Inc. contingency) for funding shortfall). This will require third party funding from relevant landowners.  External - at least 15% even if fully	Pending funding Approval but additional funding may be required for construction  Detailed Design 2018/19 – 2019/20 Construction 2021/22
Gosport Waterfront (Option Development Units 13-16)	Private / GBC	grant funded.  £5,093.00 – Strategic costings only including contingency.  Potential Funding sources Developer contributions and work in kind, LEP Growth fund, private owners.	Timing dependent upon development opportunities coming forward.
SMZ3: Fort Monckton (Gosport) to Hill Head Sailing C	lub (Fareham)		
Hill Head to Portsmouth Harbour Entrance Beach Management Plan (Option Development Units 21 + 22)	GBC / Fareham Borough Council / HCC / Private	£95,900 including contingency to develop the Beach Management Plan Study.	Business case seeking funding to develop Beach Management Plan was submitted to Environment

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		Secured Funding Sources  - FCERM Grant in Aid (£95,900 incl. contingency was secured for the study).  Potential Funding sources  - FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed.  - GBC / Fareham Borough Council Capital Investment	Agency in 2016.  FCERM 4 submitted in December 2017 seeking contingency funds, approved January 2018.  Operational works subject to funding from 2023/24 subject to securing circa £500,000.
Other Potential Works/Projects	T	,	1
Gosport property level protection flood risk mitigation schemes – in various Option Development Units sites across GBC – to be investigated from 2021	Private / GBC	£186,000 cash costs  Potential Funding sources FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed. External - at least 15% even if fully grant funded. Private homeowners	From 2022/23 subject to funding
Gosport property level protection Scheme option development unit 11 (Lichfield Drive to Parham Road at Parham Road) – Property level protection is required to address flood risk to a small number of properties at the seaward end of Parham Road. To be investigated from 2021.	Private / GBC	Potential Funding Sources FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed. GBC Capital Investment External - at least 15% even if fully	From 2022/23 subject to funding

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		grant funded. Private homeowners	
Gosport property level protection Scheme option development unit 15 (Rope Quays to Haslar Bridge) – Present day flood risk is localised and can be managed through property level protection until 2030. To be investigated from 2021.	GBC / EA / Private	Potential Funding sources FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed. GBC Capital Investment External - at least 15% even if fully grant funded. Private homeowners	From 2022/23 subject to funding
Gosport property level protection scheme option development unit 17 (Wills Road to Dolphin Crescent) – Property level protection is required to address flood risk until 2030, alongside capital works. To be investigated from 2021.	GBC / EA / Private	Potential Funding sources FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed. GBC Capital Investment External - at least 15% even if fully grant funded. Private homeowners	From 2022/23 subject to funding
Gosport property level protection Scheme option development unit 19 (Park Road to Haslar Royal Naval Cemetery) – Property level protection is required to a small number of properties at St Mark's Road. To be investigated from 2021.	GBC / EA / Private	Potential Funding sources FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed. GBC Capital Investment External - at least 15% even if fully grant funded. Private homeowners	From 2022/23 subject to funding

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
Capital Replacement Schemes – At sites where defences have reached the end of their lives or have failed and are likely to require capital expenditure for replacement. Not funded by central government grant given no properties at risk. Objectives driven by environment, Health and safety amenity, access, recreation. E.g. Stokes Bay Sea Wall.  In addition to these identified schemes, there will also need to be site-specific measures, which will be sought through the Development Control processes.	GBC		
Cockle Pond is a seawater fed saline lagoon. The policy for this unit is to maintain existing structures to address the localised flood and erosion risks, with capital works from 2060 to mitigate the increasing flood risk as sea levels rise. Works for the Cockle Pond will be split into two phases; Footway repair (Phase 1) and Drainage improvements (Phase 2). Cockle Pond is currently in poor to very poor condition, with an estimated length of time before failure of 1-5 years.	GBC	Secured Funding Sources £200,000 GBC Capital Investment  Potential Funding Sources External	Works required currently being reviewed. Unlikely to be led by the ESCP.
Stokes Bay Seawall Study - A scoping study has been completed. The project is now awaiting funds to carry out a feasibility study. Options Appraisal will form part of this study to identify the preferred option.	GBC / EA / HCC	Potential Funding Sources  FCERM Grant in Aid – not guaranteed.  Regional Flood & Coastal Committee  GBC Capital Investment	Emergency short term works phase 1 complete. Significant phase 2 maintenance works required following further failure 2019/20 construction works early 2021 for 100m section of
		External - at least 15% even if fully	seawall. Options appraisal and

Scheme Type and Details	Lead Provider (S)	Known or Estimated Costs / Known/Potential Funding Sources	Timing / Progress
		grant funded	outline design study to commence in late
			2020/21 subject to
			funding.
			Current contributions
			from HCC and GBC,
			seeking additional
			funding from Southern
			Regional Flood and
			Coastal Committee.

## **Abbreviations and Glossary**

BRT	Bus Rapid Transit
CIL	Community Infrastructure Levy
EA	Environment Agency
ESCP	Eastern Solent Coastal Partnership
FCERM	Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management
GBC	Gosport Borough Council
GBLP or GBLP 2011-2029	Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (Adopted October 2015)
HAZ	Heritage Action Zone
HCC	Hampshire County Council
IDP	Infrastructure Delivery Plan
IFS	Infrastructure Funding Statement
LEP	Local Enterprise Partnership
LSTF	Local Sustainable Transport Fund
LTP	· ·
PfSH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
S106	
SMZ	
Solent Strategic Transport Investment Plan	Published May 2016. Available from: <a href="https://www.solentlep.org.uk/media/1514/tip-final-web-">www.solentlep.org.uk/media/1514/tip-final-web-</a>
	<u>version.pdf</u>
SSEP	Draft Solent Economic Plan (2014)
	www.solentlep.org.uk/media/1121/solent_strategic_economic_plan.pdf
STIP	,
	Transforming Cities Fund
TDP	
	(now Solent Transport). Available from: <a href="http://documents.hants.gov.uk/transport-for-">http://documents.hants.gov.uk/transport-for-</a>
	south-hampshire/TransportDeliveryPlan.pdf
TfSH	Transport for South Hampshire (also known as Solent Transport). www.solent-
	transport.com/about
Transforming Solent	
	www.solentlep.org.uk/media/1121/solent strategic economic plan.pdf