| | | | | | Ham | pshire C | ounty Counc | ough Council I Il Response S | chedule – Se | ptember 201 |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| To which part of Plan does this representation relate? | | | 2. Do you think the Local Plan is: | | | | 3. Tests of soundness | | | |
| a. Para | b. Policy | c. Document | a. Legally compliant | | b Sound | | a. positive | b. justified | c. effective | d. consistent |
| | | | Yes | No | Yes | No | | | | |
| 12.31 | Policy LP39 Water Resources | | x | | | | | | ************************************** | |
| 4. Why is | the Local Plan i | not legally co | mpliant or | unsound? | | | | | | |
| SuDS on r | new development | t is the same a | as it current | re-SAB adopt tly is through | tion role. the planr | It is importa ing proces | ant that this is r ss, i.e. the Loca | nade clear in the I Planning Autho | e supporting te ority with the Er | xt. Approval of |
| Agency. T | new development hat position is rei nanges are nece | t is the same a | as it current rery recently | re-SAB adopt tly is through / issued Gove | tion role. the planr ernment o | It is importa ling proces consultation | ant that this is r is, i.e. the Loca n document on | nade clear in the I Planning Autho | e supporting te ority with the Er | ne SuDS do no xt. Approval of nvironment |
| SuDS on r Agency. T | new development hat position is rei | t is the same and inforced in a vector of the same is | as it current very recently ke the Loca | re-SAB adopt tly is through / issued Gove al Plan legal | tion role. the planr ernment o | It is importa- ing proces consultation iant or sou | ant that this is ress, i.e. the Loca n document on und? | nade clear in the landing Author the future of Su | e supporting te prity with the Er DS. | xt. Approval of |
| SuDS on r Agency. T 5. What cl HCC as Li The SuDS | new development hat position is rei | t is the same and inforced in a vector of the same to the same the | as it current very recently ke the Loca Council and exist and is | re-SAB adopted ity is through y issued Government for the parting for second at the parting for second its parting | tion role. the planrernment of the planrer of the p | It is importating process consultation in the second in th | ant that this is res, i.e. the Loca n document on und? und? to be passed, to be passed, to be passed, to the passed, the passed, the passed, the passed, the passed, the passed, the passed the passed, the passed | nade clear in the I Planning Author the future of Su | e supporting te prity with the Er DS. tlined above: | xt. Approval of nvironment |

If Yes, on what grounds?

6. Speak at EiP?

No

X

Yes