

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
Other sites outside of the SPD area			
Addenbrooke			
19/2, 221/24	Local residents	Addenbrooke care home should be developed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - into a stylish luxury care home (19/2). - redeveloped for housing. (221/24) 	HCC, as landowners are currently considering development options for this site.
286/20	Local resident	Is the Addenbrooke Centre by ASDA being considered for development.	
Alver Valley Country Park			
60/11	Local resident	Users of the Alver Valley Country Park are already suffering when it floods. We pay for parking and then cannot access past Apple Dumpling Bridge. Tides appear to be getting higher with global warming – responsible building should only take place a significant distance from the coast. (60/11)	Accept that this is an important issue which needs to be resolved in order that the Alver Valley Country Park is as successful as possible. The Council is currently working with the Environment Agency and the Eastern Solent Coastal partnership to deliver both a short term and long term solution which is primarily caused by the outfall at Stokes Bay being blocked by shingle.
237/16	Local resident	The play park, and BMX initiatives which have happened are really good.	Noted. Further improvements are being planned particularly with regard to Grange Farm.
Blockhouse			
256/14	Local resident	Development of any of the land and buildings at Fort Blockhouse in the future would open up even greater opportunities (for employment) at Gosport.	Agree Blockhouse offers tremendous opportunities for employment particularly in relation to the marine, heritage/tourism sectors.
355/25	Local resident	Potential at Fort Blockhouse from 2020.	
68/27	Gosport Marine Scene	Opportunities to use Blockhouse to develop yachting service industries further, including for large yachts.	As part of the Council's forthcoming review of the Local Plan further assessment and understanding of the issues will need to be considered in order to determine a potential mix of uses. The current GBLP recognises that the site could be released and identified it as a mixed-use site with the potential for marine employment and leisure as well as some residential to assist with the viability of the scheme and enable some historic buildings to be converted.
12/25, 291/5, 292/9, 371/6	Local residents	Agree that the Blockhouse/Dolphin site should be development to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential (291/5) • Hotel (291/5) to link up with the submarine museum (371/6) • Museum (12/25, 292/9) • Ferris wheel (12/25) • Swimming pool (12/25) 	

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ski ramp. (12/25) 	
292/9	Local resident	<p>With Gosport abundance of Listed ex MoD buildings (including the soon vacated Fort Brockhurst) overtures could be made to the National Maritime Museum (NMM) with view to have an 'outpost in Gosport</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In partnership with NMM make an application for Heritage Lottery to create museum outpost in Fort Blockhouse (like the Tate outpost in St Ives & Liverpool; Royal Armouries in Leeds, the Turner in Margate. - This will create employment and attract visitors - Would be a fitting home for NMM collections in one of the Listed Buildings at Fort Blockhouse. - Will complement the existing museums at Portsmouth Dockyard and the Submarine Museum in Gosport. 	<p>Linking the site with the Town Centre and the Haslar Hospital site offers great potential for the economy of Gosport and opportunities for a more coherent coastal path.</p> <p>Some of the uses identified by respondents have real potential, other need further consideration. Some other may be more difficult given the constraints on the site. All will be included in the Ideas Compendium.</p> <p>The suggestion regarding the National Maritime Museum or similar is a very good idea and will need further consideration. There are so many historic assets on this site that it is certainly worthy to be part of a national collection, particularly as the Submarine Museum is already located on the site.</p>
99/6	Local resident	Roads cannot support extra development at Blockhouse.	Further advice on the road will need to be considered once further work has been undertaken on the mix and scale of uses. HCC, as highway authority, will advise the GBC on these matters throughout the process. It is important to recognise that the road did support a fully functioning Base and Hospital.
357/92	Local resident	Include waterside pedestrian and cycle access at Blockhouse and potentially improve Haslar bridge or provide new bridge.	It is the Council's intention to incorporate improved access for pedestrians and cycles as part of any forthcoming development at Blockhouse. This includes securing a coastal path. The GBLP seeks to secure such opportunities.
Brockhurst Gate			
370/3	Local resident	Brockhurst Gate: instead of a Lidl can we consider a Wilkinson farm foods, a B & Q. By bringing these big	The operators are decided by the market. The site is being developed by a private landowner and

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		names local residents won't want to go out to Fareham and Portsmouth as these will be on the doorstep.	therefore the Council has no influence over the businesses that want to operate from this site.
Browndown Camp			
275/2	Local resident	Browndown camp should be used for caravans / holiday park.	Such options will be examined as part of the forthcoming Local Plan Review.
63/10	Local resident	Not (new homes) in the Town Centre – re-use Browndown Camp. Regenerate that area with new housing combined with a small shopping & medical centre. With the new bypass planned there would be access to this new road releasing pressure on the A32.	Residential development would not be acceptable in principle as the site is located outside of the urban area boundary and within the settlement gap as defined by the GBLP. Options for this site will be considered further as part of the forthcoming Local Plan Review.
Elson Road			
229/2		Reduced ability of traffic to circulate due to parked cars, most notable in Elson. (229/2)	Noted.
Former Haslar Immigration Removal Centre			
78/9, 152/12p, 343/5, 381/22, 291/5,	Local residents	Use former Haslar Immigration Removal Centre for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The homeless (78/9) - Residential (152/12p, 291/5, 381/22) - A hotel (291/5) - Not a good idea building on present car parks – if anywhere new housing could go to Haslar Detention Centre which is a massive brownfield site (available soon). This should include affordable housing. (343/5) 	Proposals for the Immigration Removal Centre will be progressed through the forthcoming Local Plan Review. However it does seem an appropriate site for some form of residential use.
Fort Brockhurst			
294/96	Gosport Society	Fort Brockhurst has the potential to become a superb visitor attraction and a venue for a variety of events. It is one of the remaining Palmerston Forts not already allocated for either residential or navy /military uses.	Strongly agree. The Council has had discussions with English Heritage (owners) to discuss making the site ore available to the public. The Council recognises that this site is a major asset to the Borough and should be utilised more.
Gasometers by ASDA			
286/21	Local resident	The gasometers by ASDA have not been used for years –	Agree this site in principle is suitable for

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		suitable for development?	development. It is currently safeguarded for employments used in the GBLP (Policy LP16). The options for this site will be reconsidered as part of the forthcoming Local Plan Review.
292/6	Local resident	<i>Turn Haslar Bridge into a swing bridge, dredge Haslar Creek and provide deep water access to the Gunboat Sheds and a new marina in Haslar Creek. This will create employment opportunities and bring further investment into Gosport.</i>	There are currently no plans for such a bridge. HCC as the highway authority would need to lead such a proposal and be convinced that a business case. It is also acknowledged that there is scope for the Gunboat Shed to be re-used for marine employment. Any dredging proposals will need to be considered in liaison with MMO and Natural England.
HMS Sultan			
371/9	Local resident	Keep fighting for HMS Sultan to remain open.	It is the Council's position to continue to strongly press the Government to keep HMS Sultan open.
207/13	Local resident	Plans needed for HMS Sultan, potentially attract financial services there.	
107/18	Local resident	If MOD vacating parts of Gosport by 2025 there must be opportunity to utilise this land and buildings to build more than enough homes to meet the actual demands of Gosport residents.	It is the Council's position to continue to strongly press the Government to keep HMS Sultan open.
Haslar Hospital			
23/5, 63/39, 221/11	Local resident	Haslar should not have been sold (221/11) Haslar projects seems to have stalled (23/5) Hurry up & approve the redevelopment of Haslar Hospital. There you will solve lots of your housing problems – housing should only be bought by local residents to live in & include council homes. (63/39)	The decision to sell Haslar Hospital was made by central Government despite intense lobbying by the Council and the community. The speed of development has been much slower than the Council would have liked. This has ultimately been due to the developer. The development will include a mix of residential and care accommodation.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
90/7	Local resident	Haslar hospital needs to be developed to bring housing and employment opportunities to the area.	Development has now started at this site to provide residential, employment and care facilities.
256/13	Local resident	The hotel, conference and care home facilities proposed at Haslar will provide employment opportunities.	Agree.
64/5	Local resident	Redevelopment of Haslar and proposed release of Blockhouse will increase traffic problems.	These aspects have been assessed as part of the planning application for the Haslar Hospital by HCC and any further development at Blockhouse will also be considered and any necessary mitigation measures will be required where necessary.
97/2	Local resident	New housing should be at Haslar instead of the SPD area-double check.	Haslar is being development as a mixed use site with some residential. Similarly proposals for the town centre will respect the Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings. The Town Centre is seen as an appropriate area for new development given its choice of transport and access to local facilities.
258/9	Local resident	Redevelopment of Haslar Hospital site is not beneficial for residents. Housing will be sold off for over £1 million.	There will be a mix of dwellings and care facilities on this site.
344/18	Local resident	Get Haslar moving, Fort Blockhouse , bus station and leave the rest alone.	The speed of development at Haslar is dictated by the developers. Blockhouse is yet to be released by the MoD. The SPD includes proposals for the Bus Station. It is considered that there are additional opportunities in the Town Centre and Waterfront as identified in the SPD.
357/37	Local resident	Haslar needs to be prevented from becoming a gated community, access to the waterfront is key.	Agree. There are legal agreements in place as part of the planning permission that will prevent this from happening.
350/9	Local resident	Haslar and other heritage buildings have the potential to be successful commercially and as visitor attractions.	Agree.
Priddy's Hard and Forton Lake			
294/95, 342/37, 350/36	Gosport Heritage Open Days, local resident	Inclusion of the Forton Creek shoreline and the ramparts at Priddy's Hard as they fit with the Millennium Promenade and former ramparts. - Priddy's Hard Ramparts Area : natural historic	It is proposed that the area between the Ramparts and the Millennium Promenade will form part the Gosport Lines Walk linking the Northern Ramparts to the Millennium Promenade.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<p>connection with the Northern Ramparts and Gosport Lines (342/37) and should be considered with the proposals for the Northern Ramparts and the Gosport Lines (294/95)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Forton Lake/Creek shoreline: has many interesting features, wrecks and areas of natural habitat and forms part of the Gosport Waterfront, the Millennium Promenade and the proposed Coastal Path (294/95, 342/37). The shoreline walks run in recent years by CITIZAN for GHODs in this area are always popular. 	The wider shore and the Priddy's Hard Ramparts is not included as part of the wider SPD area but future proposals will be informed by the SPD objectives and how the two areas can be linked.
366/7	Local resident	The eyesore of sadly abandoned buildings at Priddy's Hard is a crying shame. These buildings have huge potential.	Agree. The Council is expecting a planning application to re-use this site in the near future.
108/13	Local resident	The area around Explosion needs to be tidied up, and rampart opened up.	The GBLP allocates this area as a mixed use site which could include some residential. The Ramparts are proposed as a public park The landowners are currently considering proposals.
135/19	Local resident	Priddy's hard historic buildings need redevelopment for housing.	
202/8	Local resident	What is happening to the derelict land between Explosion and the bridge?	
359/18	Local Resident	Publicise the historic attractive area at Priddy's Hard make it so people can site outside and be aware and attracted to the area.	The site is marketed as part of the Historic Dockyards.
Privett Park			
271/23	Local resident	Privett Park has potential to be improved for children, and be used for events.	Agree. Such opportunities have been highlighted in the Council's Open Space Monitoring Report. This document is used to justify the use of developer contributions for such improvements.
207/14	Local Resident	New ground for Gosport FC.	This is a decision for the football club to consider and is outside the scope of this SPD.
Stoke Lake (west)			
24/20	Local resident	For flood risk reasons important not to develop residential development west of Stokes Lake.	This site is protected in the GBLP as open space.
Stokes Road			
359/5	Local resident	Empty shops in the Stoke Road.	Acknowledge that Stoke Road has higher level of

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			vacancies than other main centres in the Borough. This is outside the scope of this particular SPD.
24/8	Local resident	Local architecture is important includes along Stokes Road (seemingly abandoned).	Acknowledge that more needs to be done to improve frontages along Stoke Road. This is outside the scope of this particular SPD.
71/12, 116/7, 278/16, 362/5	Local residents	Buses cause problems along Stoke Road because of parked cars and deliveries. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Parking on one side of the road would help (71/12). - Buses using South Street rather than Stoke Road would assist (71/12,278/16). - Consider one way system particularly for bus routes- Stoke Road (in) South Street (out). (116/7, 362/5) 	The issue of bus routeing along South Street is currently being considered by HCC.
63/25	Local resident	Make Stoke Road one-way coming into town that would relieve congestion in that area.	Noted that traffic can be constrained on this road when buses or other large vehicles are trying to pass other. -see above comment.
229/2	Local resident	Reduced ability of traffic to circulate due to parked cars, most notably in Stoke Road.	
63/26	Local resident	Stoke Road – easier parking on one side of the road and probably increase number of parking spaces.	
350/1	Local resident	Reduce the numbers of cars parked on Stoke Road in order to reduce congestion, provide parking to the rear instead.	
367/11	Local resident	Stoke Road is not in the 21 st C. There are no brand name shops, no decent restaurant, no cinema, no bowling alley and only a couple of second rate nightclubs. Gunwharf has won the battle.	
29/21	Local resident	Encourage Stoke Road businesses into the High Street and let Stoke Road become more residential. The town is not big enough to sustain two locations.	This is an issue that will need to be considered as part of the forthcoming Local Plan Review on whether the commercial frontages need to be amended.
289/14	Local resident	Consider the whole of Gosport when developing retail businesses. Encourage businesses from Stoke Road, Brockhurst Road and Forton Road to relocate closer to the town centre and create a more varied and vibrant retail centre. This may create opportunities to redevelop other former retail sites.	

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
70/35	Local resident	Shopowners of Stoke Road should take responsibility for the appalling state of the shop frontages (empty ones).	Noted.
76/11	Local resident	Stokes Road needs a lift. It seems to be losing much of its character.	Noted.
35/5	Local resident	Toilets at Jamaica Place should be re-opened.	Noted.
100/19	Local resident / Councillor Raffaelli	Stoke Road could have benefitted from inclusion in the SPD.	Accept that Stoke Road could have benefitted from inclusion in the SPD area. It may be that further work in this area could adopt similar principles as this SPD.
342/36	Gosport Heritage Open Days	Aware the future of Nicholson Hall in Stoke Road is uncertain. GHOD consider this to be an interesting building in a useful location which could provide opportunities for community groups and events.	This site is protected as a community facility in the GBLP and agree that it is in a useful location to provide opportunities for community groups and events.
Stokes Bay			
271/22	Local resident	Stokes Bay has so much potential.	Noted.
69/17	Local resident	Spalsh Park at Stokes Bay too small and is plagued by faults and repairs.	The Splash Park has proved very popular. The Council continue to deal with any faults that occur.
237/9	Local resident	An open air theatre on the beach or near the Institute of Naval medicine. Perhaps in Gillkicker with a glass roof over it.	There are currently no plans for an open-air theatre at this location. Gilkicker is being developed for residential development.
258/35	Local resident	Gilkicker development – the area adjacent earmarked for ‘ecological restoration’ looks suspiciously like the intended site for dumping spoil removed from the walks surrounding the Fort. This is public land and not owned by the developers. Shall the Council comment?	Response to respondent on this matter has been provided separately.
Workhouse Lake			
61/5	Local resident	Include the area around to Workhouse Lake – as this could be such a lovely space If it wasn’t just used as a dumping ground for shopping trolleys and old boats.	Agree this is a lovely area. The SPD proposes linked walkway with Walpole Park. Proposals for flood defence scheme in this area with some environmental improvements may assist with issue.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
Proposals for other sites			
29/17	Local resident	The waterfront at Quay Lane should be improved.	Accept that improvements could be made to this frontage. The GBLP has policies which aim to secure such improvements as opportunities arise.
Management issues of other areas			
45/15	Local resident	Most offices are empty at Gosport Station.	Noted-these issues are outside of the remit of this SPD.
84/4	Local resident	Properties along the A32 to be improved.	
120/15	Local resident	Forton Road needs tidying up.	
98/11	Local resident	Parking is poor at the War Memorial Hospital.	This issue is being addressed by Streetscene.
103/3	Local resident	Illegal boat parking at Hardway needs tackling.	
104/4	Local resident	Camper vans and delivery vans should not be allowed to park by Workhouse Lake SSSI / RAMSAR.	This is a matter for the police if they are not taxed or insured.
147/2p	Local resident	The hedge adjacent to the walkway from Keyes Lane to the Bus Way is overgrown, making access difficult.	If this remains to be an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
166/2p	Local resident	Rubbish dumping behind Turner Ave	If this remains to be an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
144/2p	Local resident	Trees to the rear of 45 Nobes Avenue are overgrown.	
153/2p	Local resident	Parking needs to be resolved by the Church on Wych Lane.	
169/2p	Local resident	Vehicles parked on pavement around Vian Close.	
160/2p	Local resident	Parking is a problem around Osbourne Crescent and Kent Road.	Noted.
173/3p	Local resident	Toilets needed opposite Leesland Centre.	There are currently no proposals for a facility at this location.
163/1p, 323/6p, 326/6p	Local resident	Speeding an issue in Wych Lane/Tukes Avenue	This matter should be raised with the Police directly. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
174/2p	Local resident	Parking issues in the Drive at school drop off times, making it difficult for emergency vehicles.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
176/2p	Local resident	Car parking is a problem along the pavement in Osbourn Crescent.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			the SPD.
177/2p	Local resident	People leaving dog mess on pavements, Brookers Lane.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
179/2p	Local resident	Can the dog bins outside flats 18-21 The Fairway be moved to another location as it smell and attracts flies.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
331/6p	Local resident	Weeds in Springcroft.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
57/19	Local resident	Wheeled vehicle on pavements make them feel unsafe.	Noted
312/6p	Local resident	The bushes need to be trimmed and the grass cut at Hanbridge Crescent. Old tarmac area were play area used to be on Hanbridge Walk is an eyesore.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
328/6p	Local resident	Broken pavement on the corner of Springcroft caused by removal company.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
329/6p	Local resident	Overgrown tree in no 35 Conifer Grove interfering with pavement.	If this remains an issue the respondent is requested to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website. This is outside of the scope of the SPD.
237/15	Local resident	Stanley Park needs a local interest committee to promote it.	Noted- these issues are outside of the scope of the SPD.
324/6p	Local resident	Improved / new disabled scooter crossing points at Conifer Grove/ Wych Lane junction and Harwood Road and Chatfield Road.	
326/6p	Local resident	Tukes Avenue needs resurfacing.	
332/6p	Local resident	Poor road surface in Laphorn / Brookers, Parking on corner of Meadow Walk/ Tukes Ave/ Woodside a problem.	
63/46p	Local resident	Speeding on Gregson Ave. Box marking needed for the	

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		junction of Wych Lane and the A32.	
334/6p	Local resident	Commercial vehicles parked in residential roads overnight and at weekends.	
335/6p	Local resident	Box junctions are needed in the following locations: Rowner Road traffic lights west bound junction with Carisbrooke Road, At the Exit of Wych Lane onto the A32.	
362/27	Local resident	Spring Garden Lane is already a race track and used by heavy lorries/trucks. Please put traffic calming measures in place and make heavy goods vehicles use Mumby Road or South Street.	
357/65	Local resident	Signage for the shared cycleway between Priddy's Hard and the Jolly Roger.	
272/13	Local resident	The beach needs to be kept clean, potentially restrict fishing permits to prevent littering.	
SPD Boundary			
225/16	Local resident	The area boundary could potentially be extended to Elson / Hardway. Monks Walk is an underutilised space.	The SPD boundary was seen as a practical boundary to link with the GBLP. The multi-site approach of this SPD if successful could be used as a model for other areas, linking with the principles of this SPD.
Borough boundary			
134/10	Local resident	There is a boundary issue between Gosport and Portsmouth with areas including the Oil Fuel Depot jetty and Burrow island being in Portsmouth's jurisdiction. Activities / pollution and contamination in these areas can affect Gosport.	Noted. The Council works with Portsmouth City Council to tackle joint issues.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
Other comments			
63/40	Local resident	Anything people of Gosport wants never happens, strong leadership required.	Noted.
64/37	Local resident	Please stop referring to under utilised space just because there isn't a building on it. Such space is valuable and needed.	Open space is being protected in the SPD. However, there is space which could be better used to create homes and jobs in the SPD area.
65/20	Local resident	A small regeneration of the town centre would be welcome - but to flood the whole area with buildings would be start of turning Gosport into Portsmouth.	The sites identified are relatively small scale and will need to be assessed in accordance with the policies of the GBLP.
288/29	Local resident	Developments at RCY and Haslar hospital are incomplete and yet the Council is taking on another major development. What lessons have been learnt from these earlier developments?	These sites are not Council sites but led by developers. The proposed development opportunities are owned by a number of organisations and would take place at different times over the plan period.
240/18	Local resident	If a developer says X number of houses this should be binding on the developer. (Should) NOT let them say they need more housing after an agreement has been reached.	Noted.
259/18	Local resident	What is there for children (all ages) to do in Gosport all year round facilities very limited.	The Borough has a wwide range of outdorr facilities including within the Alver Valley Country park and other parks. There are a number of indoor facilities particularly associated with the Gosport Leisure park. further work will be undertaken as part of the Local Plan Review to ascertain whether there is demand for other facilities including invetsors to provide such facilities.
245/11	Local resident	Do the authors of these schemes actually live in Gosport? The colossal amount spent on the whole business would have been better spent on improvements to some areas which just need a facelift such as the bus station	Noted.
258/31	Local resident	Gosport is at present a charming and diverting place that has yet to make the	The comments received on the SPD have been fully considered and significant changes to the document are being proposed in the light of

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		horrendous mistakes made by Portsmouth City Council in its Town Centre, the Guildhall Square and Tricorn. Hope this is not just a paper exercise that ignores public concerns.	public comment.
304/27, 305/27	Local residents	The voice of the people of Gosport is more important than consortium profits and should be heard.	
304/28	Local resident	There should be an embargo on new build housing to maintain open space, encourage the young to stay and improve the range of shops by maintaining footfall.	The SPD will create opportunities to improve the mix of housing, retail, leisure and employment opportunities for residents of the Borough.
344/21	Local resident	Please be sympathetic to the existing building and first use empty buildings that can be converted and with the hindsight that Fort Blockhouse will create a large number of homes without taking up green areas and cramming in properties which will encroach on local residents living in the areas affected.	
107/64	Local resident	How many Councillors actually live in the SPD boundary and will be directly impacted by the proposals?	According to the latest contact information for Councillors no Councillors currently reside in the SPD area.
107/67, 107/68	Local residents	If as much interest had been shown in the Gosport Plan (2015) as in the SPD the Plan would have been a significantly different document. Something the public had read, understood and supported it would be a different document rather than a document used to justify all manner of change with limited auditable support. The next Gosport Plan should be bought forward subjected to extreme public review	The adopted GBLP was the subject of public consultation throughout the preparation of the plan in accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and was rigorously tested at public examination by an independent Planning Inspector. A public consultation statement was prepared and submitted to the Secretary of State alongside other evidence studies used to underpin the policies and proposals in the Plan. The evidence base to support the Local Plan can be seen on the Council's website at: www.gosport.gov.uk/localplan2029-evidencestudies under LP/A1

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<p>using lessons learned from the SPD and a revised SPD developed soon afterwards.</p> <p>It would be good to see how many comments were received from the public on the Local Plan, how many were incorporated and where they changed the draft.</p>	<p>The emerging Local Plan will also be subject to public consultation and independent public examination through the Planning Inspectorate.</p>
Consultation arrangements			
20/27, 23/22, 26/3, 60/14, 79/9, 67/34, 67/35, 354/1	Local residents	<p>The Council should speak to the public before development schemes go-ahead More than enough of the waterfront destroyed already and promises not fulfilled by GBC (26/3)</p> <p>Give more details about the plans (20/27, 79/9)</p> <p>The local councillors seem to totally ignore the people that they represent (60/14) Proposals seem to be cut and dried (79/9) These so called consultations will be ignored due to the financial needs of the developer (67/34)</p> <p>They should consider (very carefully) what the public say & want. (67/35)</p>	<p>The consultation period for the draft SPD was substantially in excess of what is normally undertaken for this type of document. The consultation period was extended to allow further opportunity to comment. The Council have used a range of consultation methods throughout the whole of the consultation period to consult on the draft SPD. (Also see other responses to this section on consultation arrangements).</p> <p>It is also important to note that the adopted SPD will be the start of the planning process and that the details of individual schemes coming forward through planning applications will also be subject to public consultation.</p>
340/38, 340/39	Local residents	They should consider properly, honestly and fairly what a mess has already been made of the town. How the grabbing of any parcel of land to build concrete blocks has already	Public consultation is an important part of the development of the SPD and the comments received on the draft SPD have been fully considered and a number of key changes to the document have been put forward.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<p>ruined to old character. They need we think to include residents of Gosport into a “think tank”. To sit and listen and include us in their secret plans.</p> <p>We think it is a shame that regardless of the lovely ideas that the document would have you believe is behind the proposal, in reality, all this is, is an opportunity for the building of more concrete blocks. We feel that it matters not that we have spent hours on reading the document and adding our comments – the deal has, we think already been done and that all that will happen is that more concrete will clothe our town.</p>	
119/12, 238/23, 238/24, 238/25	Local residents	<p>Residents of Gosport not consulted properly – leaflet drop should have been made in doors. I spoke to a representative of every shop in Gosport High Street and there wasn't one business aware of this (238/23)</p> <p>The Council has advertised it in the Daily Echo which is not a paper associated with Gosport and I'm not sure it is even on sale in Gosport (238/24)</p> <p>No update on social media since late June whilst I appreciate you may have complied with what is legally required the strength of feeling from residents and businesses show that more communication is required. (238/25)</p>	<p>The consultation period for the draft SPD was substantially in excess of what is normally undertaken for this type of document. The consultation period was extended to allow further opportunity to comment. The Council have used a range of consultation methods throughout the whole of the consultation period to consult on the draft SPD. These include an extensive article in Coastline which is distributed to 36,000 households in the Borough. A public exhibition and 10 staffed drop in sessions at the Discovery Centre. A number of local radio interviews, a leaflet drop to local businesses and posters put up in Gosport Town Centre and a variety of locations around the Borough. There were also a number of press reports over the consultation period in The Portsmouth News, the Gosport Globe and the Southern Daily Echo (both on-line and printed articles). Copies of and weblinks to these articles can be found in the Council's Statement of Consultation.</p> <p>The Council has produced a Statement of Consultation setting out further details of how it carried out consultation on the draft SPD. This can be viewed on the Council's website</p>

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			at: www.gosport.gov.uk/waterfrontspd
9/13, 9/14, 9/15, 10/17, 10/18, 31/1, 60/15, 60/16, 69/24, 79/9, 83/25, 119/14, 119/15, 132/47, 195/31, 283/6, 238/20, 289/1, 354/1,	Local residents, local business	<p>Residents and businesses have not been consulted properly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should not be hidden among internet pages that nobody reads (40/3) - That the consultation period is extended by at least a month to enable more residents to comment (3/3/17) (79/9) (83/25) (60/15) - The lack of people who are still not aware of this consultation I know the Council has done more than legally required but it has not worked (238/20) - Date for consultation should be extended. Regular straw polls in café show less than 1 in 15 residents are aware that the process is underway. Although not a scientific study these figures if extrapolated show that fewer than 11,000 people out of adult population of 72,000 are aware of it indicating that publicity vehicles chosen were woefully inadequate (10/17) - The effects of some of the proposals might have on people's lives and livelihoods is far too important to have adopted the minimum exposure required by law. The Planning Department and the Council have a duty to undertake all 	<p>The consultation period was from 28th June to the 19th September with an extension to the 29th September to allow for a longer opportunity for local residents and businesses to respond. This resulted in a consultation period lasting almost 14 weeks also taking into account the summer holiday period. This is substantially longer than the Council was required to do under the Regulatory requirements for publicity relating to the preparation of Supplementary Planning Documents as set out under Part 5 (Regulation 12: Public Participation) Under the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.</p> <p>http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2012/767/pdfs/ukxi_20120767_en.pdf which stipulate public consultation on a draft SPD should not be less than 4 weeks. It is worth noting that as a general rule of thumb, the Council normally applies the same length of time for public consultation on its draft SPDs as it would to publicise draft Local Plans which has a regulatory public consultation period requirement of 6 weeks.</p> <p>The Council undertook a wide range of consultation methods over a substantial period of time in order to publicise the draft SPD and provide ample opportunity to make comments. Further details can be found in the Statement of Consultation but the methods used are broadly summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letters and emails; • Press releases to the media; • Press articles (including Coastline); • Local radio interviews; • Council's social media platforms; • Council's website; • Local Meetings; • Public Exhibitions (Town Hall and Discovery Centre)

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<p>they are possibly able to do when dealing with such an important issue (10/18)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consultation period should be extended by 4 weeks with a further advertising campaign prior to this extension – suggest Royal Mail leaflet drop (9/13) - I don't agree that you sufficiently drawn this important matter to the residents of Gosport attention. Doing so via Southampton Echo and an unheard of radio station for example is not acceptable (83/26), (9/14) - Larger and longer events (132/47) - Individually inform each Gosport Resident (132/47) - More time to respond after coastline went out. (132/47) - Consultation period not long enough (195/31) - Proper consultation with local residents (119/14)(119/15) - Much of the time allowed for the consultation coincided with summer holidays (60/16) - Plans should have been better promoted with people having more time to look at them at length (283/6) - Chairman of Economic Development Board made clear in June 2017 he wanted everyone to have their say current process has 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • and public 'Drop-in' sessions (Discovery Centre); Posters and Leaflets (at a wide range of venues around the Borough and includes a leaflet drops to local businesses in Gosport Town Centre and Stoke Road); • Teenage Summer Passport scheme.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<p>in my opinion fallen woefully short of this (9/15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Council have not done a good job in promoting this consultation as many I have spoken to have not heard about it (289/1) - All residents of the area should have been informed (354/1) - More leaflets, posters, questionnaires etc. (354/1) 	
9/16	Local resident	<p>The teenagers of the Borough have not been engaged in the process to enable them to have their views heard. Have there been roadshows at the Borough's senior schools and colleges?</p>	<p>The draft SPD was promoted at the Council's Summer Passport Scheme event where participating youngsters were asked about what sort of things they liked about the Waterfront and the High Street and Town Centre along with what they liked about open spaces and parks and what sort of improvements they would like to see.</p> <p>In addition to this, posters were also put up in the local libraries, Gosport Leisure Centre, St Vincent College and St Vincent Leisure Centre and community centres.</p>
9/17	Local resident	<p>Important crossroads for Gosport to dramatically reenergize the town. There have been some planning decisions in the past that although well-meaning have not always turned out to be so good in the long run. The Bus Station and Town Hall are good examples.</p> <p>Tendency in planning to plan with rose-tinted spectacles to project outcomes that in reality have very little prospect of being delivered. Public consultation counter balances this. It is important that this is not rushed, curtailed and everyone has equal knowledge and equal opportunity to "have their say".</p>	<p>The public consultation process on the draft SPD has been lengthy and comprehensive. It is also important to note that the adopted SPD will be the start of the planning process and that the details of individual schemes coming forward through planning applications will also be subject to public consultation.</p>

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
340/36	Local resident	Our major concern and one which we know will not affect it the plan for more housing. We think that this plan has been evolving, silently, secretly between the Council and "Developers" and all that this document is trying to do, is to soften the blow of what will be a monstrous over development.	The ideas and proposals in the draft SPD have been available for public comment over a substantial period of time. The comments received have been fully considered and a number of substantive changes have been proposed. Once adopted the SPD along with the GBLP will set the planning framework for the area and detailed proposals coming forward through planning applications will need to be tested against the policies in the adopted local plan as well as the SPD. Planning applications are also subject to public consultation and therefore members of the public will have a further opportunity to consider and comment on schemes coming forward.
18/12	Local resident	Should the consultation include marina users?	A wide range of marina users including the umbrella organisation Gosport Marine Scene were invited to make comments on the draft SPD. A full list of the organisations who were invited to make comments on the draft document can be seen in Appendix 1 of the Statement of Consultation.
33/1	Local resident	Does the consultation also include the two companion documents?	The consultation relates primarily to the proposals in the draft SPD. However the supporting background information in the two companion documents was also made available and comments could also be made on these.
33/3	Local resident	Presumably the Council is not consulting on documents set out in paragraph 1.8 of the SPD - Gosport Borough Local Plan, Design SPD, Parking SPD.	These documents are not subject to the SPD consultation. They have previously been consulted upon and have been adopted by the Council.
291/23	Local resident	It would be useful if future consultation events could include sessions after 7pm.	Noted.
36/11, 83/1, 86/1, 88/1, 89/1, 91/1, 92/1, 276/9	Local residents	The consultation period should be extended for a one month period to allow time for residents to respond. (2 nd , 3 rd Sept).	The consultation period was extended following comments received from members of the public to allow further opportunity to respond.
83/24	Local resident	Other issues can have their profiles raised by the use of social media that's how I know about your proposals! Embrace social media.	The Council used its own social media accounts to assist with the publicity for the draft SPD.
132/49	Local resident	The documents are too long and have too much information to be easily understood.	Summary document and public exhibition material was published at the same time as the draft SPD and supporting documentation to provide

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			key headline information alongside the more detailed requirements of the SPD.
132/50	Local resident	Potential for council members to reverse changes made by officers based on public consultation.	The revised SPD will be presented to members of the Council's Economic Development Board. In addition to the revised SPD, the Statement of Consultation and this Summary and Analysis of Consultation Responses report will also be publicly available. Copies of the representations received in full (personal details redacted) are also publicly available to view prior to the revised SPD being adopted.
238/21	Local resident	Think carefully about what residents want.	<p>All comments received are considered in full prior to making any revisions to the draft SPD following public consultation. All representations are publicly available and key issues arising are summarised and addressed in the Summary and Analysis of Consultation Responses document.</p> <p>The revised SPD will be presented to members of the Council's Economic Development Board.</p>
132/51	Local resident	What mechanisms are in place to ensure the consultation has been carried out in an effectively and timely manner and in line with the Statement of Community Involvement.	<p>The consultation arrangements were carried in accordance with The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and the adopted Gosport Statement of Community Involvement (September 2012).</p> <p>Part 5 of the Regulations relates to the regulatory requirements that need to be met in preparing SPDs. Regulation 12 relates to public participation prior to the adoption of a draft SPD. This includes the preparation of a Statement of Consultation which must include information about who was consulted (Regulation 12 (a) (i); a summary of the main issues raised through consultation (Regulation 12(a) (ii) and how those issues have been addressed (Regulation 12(a) (iii) and make this document available (Regulation 12(b)).</p> <p>The Council has prepared a Statement of Consultation to accompany the draft SPD which contains much more detailed information about</p>

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			how the Council undertook consultation than is required to meet the statutory regulations in this regard. The Statement of Consultation is a factual document and will be publicly available it meets the key aims set out in the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.
134/11	Local resident	I found the SPD documents to be comprehensive and well presented, and valued the opportunity to talk to officers at the Library.	Noted.
137/3	Local resident	Unlike a survey monkey survey where everything is quantified, the approach taken of accepting comments requires human interpretation which could lead to things being ignored. Questions should be simply framed for example: do you want housing on the bus station.	All comments received are considered in full prior to making any revisions to the draft SPD following public consultation. All representations are publicly available and key issues arising are summarised and addressed in the Summary and Analysis of Consultation Responses document. In order to get the best understanding of the issues and concerns from the local community it was considered that a restrictive format such as that provided by Survey Monkey would not be appropriate in this case as it would not allow respondents the scope to make comments in the fullest level of detail they may wish to make.
193/3	Local resident	All Gosport residents should be able to vote on all Council matters.	Noted.
197/21	Local resident	Will you want to build more houses on Sultan too?	HMS Sultan is identified in the adopted Local Plan as an Employment Priority Site in order to ensure that if the site is released by the MOD it retains its role as a major employment site in the Borough. In November 2016, the Government announced proposals to release this site by 2026. The Council strongly considers that HMS Sultan should be retained as a major MOD training facility and will continue to work with partners to achieve this objective.
216/16	Local resident	Underhand process- the deals already done.	The SPD sets out key principles which will assist in determining planning applications which will be subject to further public consultation.
119/13	Local resident	All seems to be kept as quite as possible in	The Statement of Consultation explains how the Council has carried

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		order that the dinosaurs in the town hall push through proposals to suit their own ends – not what is best for the town or residents.	out consultation on the draft SPD.
47/28	Local resident	Doing proposals without any input from anyone else seems a waste of time. If this is going to end up like the Hall Affair from 1950s/1960s I shall be going to The News.	See earlier responses on consultation.
272/21	Local resident	The Council needs to be more transparent in its communication to win the trust of the public.	The Council has carried out extensive public consultation with a wide range of organisations and interest groups and has produced a detailed statement setting out how and where the draft SPD and supporting documents were made publicly available.
338/3	Local resident	Would have liked an extension to make more detailed comments.	The consultation period was initially for 12 weeks from 28th June – 19th September 2017. This period of consultation was extended until 29th September to allow further opportunity to submit comments.
344/20	Local resident	I do not feel this has been thought through very well and the general public were not made aware of this until it was almost too late. Advertising on an unknown and not used radio stations not eye catching advertising. Gosport has been changed often over the years and not always for the best long term views have to be considered of future changes over populated areas, overstretched roads. I could go on but I am sure none of this will be taken into consideration.	<p>The Council undertook a wide range of consultation methods over a substantial period of time in order to publicise the draft SPD and provide ample opportunity to make comments. The methods used to publicise the consultation were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letters and emails; • Press articles (including Coastline); • Local radio interviews; • Council's social media platforms; • Council's website; • Meetings; • Public Exhibitions and public 'Drop-in' sessions; • Posters and Leaflets. <p>It should be noted that in accordance with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement, that with the exception of the use of letters and emails to publicise consultation, all the other methods used are discretionary.</p>
355/32	Local resident	Please take account of local peoples' views.	Local peoples' views are taken into consideration through the public consultation process. The key issues arising from these are
356/13	Local	Gosport residents' viewpoints need to be	

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
	Residents	taken into account, especially given the increased presence of social media.	summarised and the Council sets out its response to these which are made publicly available through the preparation of this document (Summary and Analysis of Consultation Responses report). This is presented to Members at the appropriate Council Board alongside the revised draft SPD and other supporting information.
380/5	Local resident	Your efforts to engage with residents are really appreciated. In future better publicity and greater simplification may be needed to be more inclusive.	Noted.
358/34	Local resident	The Council's consultation needs to be wider as many people do not pick things up from the Council's web page. There is also potential to spend out paper newsletters more regularly, and to work more to sell the Borough to visitors.	The Council undertakes a wide range of consultation methods and aims to reach as many people as possible within the resources it has available.
107/7, 107/73	Local residents	The SPD will not be finalised or presented to the Council until all the comments from this first rough draft have been considered, analysed and presented back to the public for their feedback.	All the representations received on the draft SPD have been fully considered, analysed. The issues raised are reported to Members at the appropriate board and the draft SPD amended as necessary.
295/2	Local resident	No consultation has taken place with residents prior to this amenable statement (reference to Brewhouse Square RCY).	The SPD is the starting point for consultation and further consultation will take place as individual proposals come forward in more detail.
107/69	Local resident	Propose page-by-page scrutiny of the SPD as well as a video streamed Town Hall style meeting to get direct public feedback on the content. Looking at short to medium term initiatives many appear to be Council led initiatives with a common agenda that appears to conflict with people's wishes	The Council has fully considered all the comments received on the draft SPD. Accordingly a number of significant changes to the SPD have been proposed. The adoption of the SPD is the starting point of the process and individual development proposals will be subject to public consultation through the planning applications process.
Consultation material			
31/10, 39/22, 235/1, 289/2	Local residents	Comments form not very user –friendly/ overly complicated	Comments noted. The form was designed to try to allow for as wide a range of views as possible to be submitted to the Council.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Specific proposals could have been given with a related specific question (numbers of flats, height of building proposals) - Too bureaucratic in language that could easily intimidate or confuse. 	
39/23	Local resident	<p>Pictures would have helped to better understand it</p> <p>-Lighting issues, views, designs etc</p>	Noted.
126/1	Local resident	Errors when filling in the online form.	<p>Although most respondents were able to successfully submit comments using the on-line form; there were some technical issues relating to the completion/submission of the on-line form. This was investigated by the Council's IT department and they appeared to be as a result of particular combinations of devices and operating systems.</p> <p>Where respondents experienced difficulties in submitting their comments electronically they were advised that they could still send in comments either via email or post.</p> <p>Once aware of the issue, the Council published an apology on its website for any inconvenience caused and explained that anyone unable to complete the online form could still send in comments via email or post and paper copies of the comments form could be left at the Town Hall Reception or with the Discovery Centre.</p>
126/2	Local resident	The form doesn't link to current Policy and the document does not look at past policy lessons / successes of ourselves or neighbouring authorities.	<p>The SPD is linked to current planning policy in the adopted Gosport Borough Local Plan 2011-2029 (adopted October 2015). Principally policy LP4: Gosport Waterfront and Town Centre. Once adopted the SPD will provide a detailed framework for planning proposals to be determined alongside the planning policies in the adopted Local Plan.</p> <p>The form simply provides a way to make comments about the draft proposals and ideas in the SPD.</p>
132/48	Local resident	The comments form should be more simply presented, and include simple options for each site.	The comments form was divided into sections with the page numbers from the draft SPD for guidance. This approach also provided Council officers with assistance in relating specific comments and issues raised

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			back to the relevant part of the SPD for further consideration.
60/17	Local resident	The proforma the Council produced was too complicated for many people to complete.	Noted see earlier response above.
234/1	Local resident	The information provided at the Discovery centre was not enough to answer all the questions.	The public exhibition at the Discovery Centre was designed to provide an overview of the details contained within the draft SPD. Copies of the full draft SPD, Summary document and supporting background information and comments forms were also available. There were also 10 staffed 'Drop-in' sessions throughout the consultation period where Council staff were available to answer specific questions from members of the public. These were well attended throughout the consultation period.
239/12	Local resident	Form does not submit properly, use survey monkey instead.	Apologise for any inconvenience concerned. The IT Department did look into this issue at the time and could not replicate the fault. Alternative methods were offered at the time as part of the consultation. Given the level of detail and variety of responses the Council were seeking on the proposals it was considered that Survey Monkey would not be able to provide the opportunity to make detailed comments The Council will be looking at this issue further when it undertakes further consultation in the future.
273/1	Local resident	Problem with form, lost response, emailed instead.	The IT Department did look into this issue at the time and could not replicate the fault. There may have an issue with scrolling on certain devices whereby it looked to the user that the material had been wiped clear but in fact it was still there. The Council will be looking at this issue further when it undertakes further consultation in the future. Alternative methods were offered at the time as part of the consultation.
283/1	Local resident	Not read whole monster of a document therefore cannot sensibly complete online form.	A summary document containing key headline information was also available to support the main SPD.
379/18	Local resident	Document too big. Could not find the part I was looking for and should not have been	See comment above relating to preparation of a shorter summary document. In addition to the draft SPD being available on-line, all the

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		on the internet difficulty in meeting consultation deadline.	documents including comments forms were available in paper format from the Town Hall, the Discovery Centre and the other Gosport Libraries. Availability of all the documents was well publicised and the consultation period was extended.
5/9	Local resident	the sign for the M27 at Segensworth should be moved to the roundabout so that you can decide where or not to avoid the motorway and continue on the A27. Once you get down the slipway to the M27, you are then stuck!!	This is outside the Borough and outside the cope of the SPD.
83/22	Local resident	Clean, tidy and inviting – GBC to work with its contractors to do a thorough & proper job GBC to take proactive action to stop littering dog fouling.	The Council has procedures in place to deal with these issues. If there is a partiucler pronlem it is possible to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website.
61/17	Local resident	Closer working relationship with the Harbour Authority i.e. being told that there is problems when trollies in the creeks have been reported	
No comment			
1/1	Health and Safety Executive	No comment in this instance	Noted
3/1	Natural England	Do not wish to comment on the SPD	Noted