Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
Implementation	on		
Organisations			
292/18	Local resident	When seeking development partners (for example at the Bus Station site, make it a condition of the contract that they bring serious operators with them to open and operate restaurant and leisure facilities. Don't end up with another Royal Clarence Yard where most of the retail units are empty.	The Council as landowner will be working with the developer regarding occupiers of commercial areas.
192/1	Local resident	Is it planned to involve consultants at an early stage.(192/1)	It is likely that consultants will be involved as and when needed to deliver specific schemes and sites.
Strategies			
292/17	Local resident	Develop a strategy to access Arts Council and Heritage Lottery funding for some big ticket iconic projects such as - an outpost of the National Maritime Museum - consider how a live performance venue can be provided in the area of the High Street. (292/17)	Agree that it is important to be able to access funding sources. The SPD provides a framework which other detailed projects can follow on from.
107/7	Local resident	Do not work with companies that require complete commercial in confidence. Make information public and transparent so the people know what they are getting for their money. Without significant change in approach to become a proactive, communicative and transparent Council, able to look beyond party politics nothing will change and the people of Gosport will remain disillusioned and let down.	Noted.
Funding/Finance			
107/65	Local resident	Each proposed development initiative must include the financial impact and benefit to the people of Gosport. The financial implications are important but not at all evident in any documentation.	This type of work is undertaken by the Council's Property section rather than as part of the planning process and therefore outside the scope of the SPD itself. Development decisions relating to the Council's property will be made by the relevant elected Council Board.
294/77	Gosport Society	Welcome suggestion to apply for Heritage Lottery Funding to	Noted.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		improve the public realm of the High Street. The Gosport Society is willing to assist where possible on the preparation of materials for better/more interpretation boards.	
Land ownership			
294/92	Gosport Society	Broadly supportive of ambitions but concerned about practicalities of delivery as much of the land remains in private ownership and without real incentive for landowners to agree to some of these plans (e.g. routeing of paths and cycleways through their properties).	It is accepted that there will be practical difficulties achieving some of the ambitions set out in the SPD. However as the SPD consider the long term to at least 2029 with the potential to extend to 2036 as opportunities arise the Council as local planning authority can negotiate with landowners to deliver projects highlighted in the SPD. Without such a framework such opportunities would be difficult to secure.
28/9	Local resident	Land belonging to Gosport should remain so.	Land transactions will need to be negotiated on a case by case basis depending on the nature of the development. Such decisions will be made by the relevant elected Council Board. Such matters are outside the scope of the SPD which is largely related with planning matters.
Resources			
294/93	Gosport Society	Also concerns whether the vision is deliverable given current financial and staffing constraints.	The adoption of the SPD will assist in securing increased resources as part of the GBC Capital programme and the potential to secure external funding which could also assist with additional staff resources.
381/6	Local resident	How is it possible to have these proposed redevelopments if HCC has to make budget cuts? Would it not be better to maintain/improve what we have already? Then the money could be better spent on education, medical facilities and keep the bus routes.	The GBLP and the SPD set out the planning policy framework to allow development opportunities to come forward in the Borough through the private sector rather than Hampshire County Council. In terms of infrastructure provision To support the
			GBLP work was carried out to identify current infrastructure assessment and future

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
00/0	Connect Marine	CMC apparts CDC in bringing process to bear on the	requirements needed to support the proposed development in the adopted GBLP over the plan period to 2029. An Infrastructure Delivery Plan was prepared (baseline June 2014) with subsequent updates setting out completed schemes and including new schemes coming forward. This included consultation with all the infrastructure providers on their requirements to support the level of development in the GBLP. The SPD does not promote development over and above that identified in the approved Local Plan.
68/2	Gosport Marine Scene	GMS supports GBC in bringing pressure to bear on the relevant government agencies to speed the release of various tracts of defence and other government land (Fort Blockhouse, Royal Clarence Yard and the Immigration Removal Centre). Such releases create the first opportunity in several centuries for a co-ordinated approach to the development of the Gosport Waterfront and Haslar peninsula.	Noted.
68/3	Gosport Marine Scene	GBC should use its influence and authority to set out a clear picture of how the waterfront and town centre should look and function, as part of a coherent programme to market the area as it is recognised that many of the elements of the SPD depend on private developers.	Compendium.
68/28	Gosport Marine Scene	GBC should build on the meetings convened by GMS between the commercial marinas (Haslar, Gosport Premier and Royal Clarence) and the services marinas (Hornet Services Sailing Club and defence sailing units, and Portsmouth Offshore Group/Civil Service Sailing Association). These were convened to discuss shared opportunities and interests, and potential sharing of facilities and it was clear that there is enough in common to make it worth the same group reviewing the SPD.	This is something that could be investigated further and will be included in the Ideas Compendium.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
5/21	Local resident	Proposals look very ambitious and expensive.	Most schemes will be privately funded through
237/3	Local Resident	The vision will be very expensive to deliver. In reality it could be little more than cherry picking features that can be delivered.	the development industry and will require planning permission. The Council as a major landowner will work with partners to deliver development on its key sites.
12/29	Local resident	Development should start quite quickly.	Noted.
12/30	Local resident	Development will bring-in needed revenue to Gosport.	Agree.
18/20	Local resident	The Solent LEP could assist although this should not detract from this being a very local project.	Noted.
18/21	Local resident	Coastal Communities Fund- This will come with interference and councillors must be aware of politically motivated funding.	The Council will take opportunities to bid for this source of funding.
18/23	Local resident	The Coastal Communities Team sounds a good idea but does not appear to do much.	
18/22	Local resident	Assisted Area Status is good.	Noted.
294/7	Gosport Society	Whilst supportive of the ambition the Gosport Society has concerns whether the Local Authority actually has the resources, both financial and qualified/skilled/knowledgeable staff, to be able to deliver this ambitious vision. The SPD does not address this question.	The SPD is linked to the policies in the adopted GBLP which has a plan period to 2029. Therefore it is considered that the vision is deliverable in this timescale.
22/21	Local resident	Plans are unrealistic in times of austerity. Whilst much may be private money but look where that has got us at Haslar Hospital and Clarence Yard.	It is considered that the proposals are deliverable. Table 5 of the SPD sets out an indicative timetable for delivery. However, it must be borne in mind that most of the opportunity sites identified in the SPD are not owned by the Council and ultimately the owner's long-term plans and aspirations are key. However having an up to date adopted SPD in place will help with investor confidence decision making.
77/12	Local resident	Ensure the Borough's and is not sold at low market value. Planning applications should be assessed on the value it brings to the town –not just on quick revenue and how many	Planning applications will be determined in accordance with the policies and proposals of the GBLP and appropriate SPDs.

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		homes it ticks off the Government target.	
65/26	Local resident	Where is the money coming from?	Most schemes will be privately funded through the development industry and will require planning permission. The Council as a major landowner will work with partners to deliver development on its key sites.
57/38	Local resident	A town warden could be used to check issues in the town and report back to the council for action.	Noted. This could be considered further in an 'Ideas Compendium'.
240/17	Local resident	Concerned that after all the consultation Gosport just ends up with more and more cheap housing as Gosport Council seems to always end up with.	The SPD will provide more detail guidance to developers on the standards the Council expects to see delivered on sites. Alongside the SPD proposals will also be tested against policy LP10: Design this is a detailed policy setting out the Council's approach and requirements relating to design. In addition to this Council has also published a Design SPD and this will also be a material consideration in determining planning applications.
268/29	Local residents	Gosport to retain ownership of all land in perpetuity, not to be sold off.	Land transactions will need to be negotiated on a case by case basis depending on the nature of the development. Such decisions will be made by the relevant elected Council Board. Such matters are outside the scope of the SPD which is largely related with planning matters.
256/47	Local resident	Please consider all the comments made by residents of Gosport and act upon them. Gosport is and interesting and delightful place to live and overdevelopment can only lead to disaster we don't want a return to the 60s.	All comments received on the draft SPD have been fully considered.
271/21	Local resident	Seems set in stone listen to what residents want.	All comments received by the Council have been fully considered and a number of significant changes to the draft SPD have been proposed. As planning applications come forward these too are also subject to public consultation.
276/8	Local resident	The process needs to be more open, residents should have	The SPD has been the subject of extensive

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		a say on how taxpayers money is spent. (276/8)	consultation and is the start of the process of regenerating the Gosport Waterfront and Town Centre SPD.
283/3	Local resident	In the past every time a developer puts in some grandiose plans for housing, extra roads, schools and even cinema – have been promised none of it ever happens. Rich developer bullies poor Council into having more houses then walks away leaving these new areas with all the obvious problems with transport/facilities.	The Council's GBLP sets out the Council's requirements for infrastructure relating to development proposals. This can come in the form of a Community Infrastructure Levy paid for new residential development as well as other measures secured by a Section 106 agreement which are required to make the development proceed. The quantum of development proposed by the
			SPD does not exceed those set out in the GBLP and as such this quantum was considered by a Planning inspector at the Examination in Public in 2015. This included ensuring that there is sufficient infrastructure and policies in place to support new development.
336/68	Lee Residents Association	Concern around ambitions on private land where there is no incentive for land owners for example the routing of footpaths.	Developer proposals will be considered against the policies of the GBLP and the adopted SPD. There may be opportunities to secure environmental improvements through S106 contributions and the Community Infrastructure Levy.
336/69	Lee Residents Association	Concern as to whether the vision is deliverable given current financial and staffing constraints at the Council.	The SPD is linked to the policies in the adopted GBLP which has a plan period to 2029. Therefore it is considered that the vision is deliverable in this timescale.
344/19	Local resident	They should consider residents views more and any changes to be sympathetic to the building around them and not making them look too dated and grubby.	The Council received a large number of comments on the draft SPD. All comments have been fully considered and a number of key changes have been proposed.
367/16	Local resident	Who pays for all these grandiose schemes? Nobody wants	The adopted GBLP and the SPD set out the

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
		to form a partnership with a town that did not concentrate on forward planning when there was talk of closing the naval bases. Cyanamid has gone to be replaced by small labour efficient units.	planning framework for planning applications to be considered against. Proposals will come forward throughout the Local Plan period (up to 2029) and will largely be determined by the market, availability of land, funding, ability to overcome site constraints and viability at a given time.
371/30	Local resident	GBC to retain sole ownership, in perpetuity of all its properties. NO development company or partnership with GBC to have any part in the title deeds and ownership of any re-generated /refurbished property. All the properties owned by GBC belong to the people of Gosport and NOT to any future company, individual or institution, corporate group or foreign government.	Land transactions will need to be negotiated on a case by case basis depending on the nature of the development. Such decisions will be made by the relevant elected Council Board. Such matters are outside the scope of the SPD which is largely related with planning matters.
107/17	Local resident	Biggest challenge (therefore opportunity) is making a change in the way things are being managed examples of poor decisions, poor negotiations, poor communications, poor management and poor leadership that have cost the people of Gosport dearly.	Noted.
Summary Table 194/2	MoD / DIO	The summary table on page 95, opportunity site (opportunity site 9) It should be amended to read: DIO intend to sell the site, although an area will be retained for MOD operational purposes. The exact shape and size of the area is subject to further work.	Amend the Summary Table accordingly.
274/3	Local resident	Some of the sites are identified as having un-firm timescales for delivery, so some plans are a bit pie in the sky.	As the sites in the table are opportunities that may or may not come forward over the plan period to 2029 (or even rolled forward to 2036) the timescale are intentionally approximate. The sites are dependent on many issues including the willingness of landowners to bring forward sites at a particular time. However, in order to provide

Ref No.	Name of Individual/ Organisation	Summary of Key Points	GBC Officer Comment/Action (paragraph references refer to numbers assigned in Consultation Draft)
			an overview of opportunities it is considered useful to summarise the opportunities with approximate timescale.
107/51	Local resident	There needs to be a simple to understand but comprehensive timetable of development which the Council can be held accountable.	See response to representation 274/3 above.
Maintenance			
9/8,110/3	Local resident	Once improvements are undertaken they need to be maintained in a clean and tidy - and fully operational manner which means adequate funding for maintenance (9/8) - otherwise it becomes vandalised. (110/3)	The council has contracts in place to ensure the Borough is maintained. If there are particularly issues remain outstanding respondents are encouraged to use the 'Report It' facility on the Council's website.
13/4	Local resident	The appearance of the Town Centre's appearance would be improved by removing the weeds along the roads in the pavements.	
245/3	Local resident	Keeping it (High Street/Town centre) clean and free from litter, chewing gum would be more efficient and cheaper than 'improved frontages'. (245/3)	
369/6	Local resident	Lack of maintenance at focal points in the Waterfront area. For example Timespace needs cleaning and repairs. It's not attractive (369/6). Also the tall clock situated by the sea wall at Falklands Gardens Is not working. These things matter.	Agree these elements are important. A public realm audit/action plan will look at these detailed matters in more detail as part of a wider programme.
Crime and Disorder			
35/10	Local resident	There should be more police patrolling Gosport There has been an increase of crimes and petty criminals know there is less police in the area	The number of patrols is ultimately a police matter.
206/12	Local resident	Improve signage regarding prohibition of cycling, drinking, skateboarding etc along the promenade and gardens and enforce them.	Noted.